A language comparison of Human Evaluation and Quality Estimation

ebay

Silvio Picinini - eBay Adam Bittlingmayer - ModelFront

MT Quality

Human Evaluation

Quality Estimation

Quality scores by human linguists Quality scores by machine

No reference translation used

Also called "confidence score" and "risk prediction"

Aggregated for automatic quality *evaluation*



Goals

"How does machine QE correlate with human evaluation?"

• Compare line-level and aggregate numbers

"What causes differences between QE and human evaluation?"

- Analyse QE line-level issues
 - Get insights for QE





Human Evaluation

The content:

- 200 segments
 - Various lengths
 - With and without placeholders/tags
- 4 MT outputs per language one customized
- 2 languages pt-BR and es-CO

Three expert evaluators per language - reliable results

Scores range from 1 to 4 stars - normalized to 0-100





Quality Estimation

Generic production system - no custom data, no locales, no context, used for many use cases

Originally a Risk Prediction (0% good, 100% bad), which includes *source-side ambiguity*

Risk is reversed to become QE score (0 bad, 100 good)

Very convenient, but challenging for the QE system to match humans operating with many more inputs.



Numbers





Comparison for the set

QE for pt-BR was closer to the HE, es-CO was a little further

QE was close to the best HE and further away for the worse



Comparison QE HE histograms - pt-BR





QE better for best MT

Mostly misses the concentration of near perfect.

Histogram of QE C



QE C





Comparison for Placeholders

HE had lower scores for segments with placeholders - in { } format

QE had higher results with placeholders



HE A, HE B, HE C and HE D - es-CO





Comparison for differences HE - QE

QE in general overestimates the quality (most differences are negative)

The worst the HE, the greater the difference to the QE



pt-BR Difference HE - QE for Placeholders



Comparison for Length

HE clearly scored Long < Med < Short

QE did not differentiate, but results for Short are close



es-CO by Length

Language Issues





Language Issues

Where we looked:

• HE is much higher than QE - QE underestimates quality

• HE is much lower than QE - QE overestimates quality

• HE has a wide range of values among the 4 MT outputs (shows varied translations, from good to bad)



If we don't hear back, this request will be closed on
{1} and the hold on this transaction will be removed.Si no recibimos una respuesta, esta solicitud se cerrará el {1}
y se borrará la cuenta de esta transacción.

Mistranslation: the meaning changed from "the hold on the transaction will be removed" (positive) to "the account will be erased" (negative).

The HE noticed that but the QE did not.

If we don't hear back, this request will be closed on {1}Si no recibimos respuesta, esta solicitud se cerrará el {1} y seand the hold on this transaction will be removed.eliminará la retención de esta transacción.



QE:89



 Click to learn about Top Rated Sellers
 Clique para saber mais sobre vendedores nível Top

 Glossary SRC
 Glossary TGT

 Top Rated Seller
 Vendedor nível Top

Terminology: eBay has a specific terminology for "Top RatedHE:92Seller", which includes the use of an "untranslated" word Top.QE:8

The QE may see this as a possible defect and rate the translation low. HE is aware that in our context the translation is perfect.



Silver shooting star for feedback score from 1,000,000 or
moreEstrela de tiro de prata para a pontuação de feedback de 1
milhão ou mais

Idioms and figurative meaning:

The expression "shooting star" was translated as "a star of the activity of shooting a gun".



Basketball? Also a shooting star.





HE:11

QE:66



{1}Not a registered user{2}

{1}Not a registered user{2}	{1} Não é um utilizador registado {2}

Locale: One MT is more influenced by data from European Portuguese. The MT above contains two examples of that.

{1}Não é um usuário cadastrado{2}

H	E:0
Q	E:81





A decision has been made about the dispute that was	Uma decisão foi feita sobre A disputa que foi registrada em {1}.
filed by {1}.	

Placeholders: they introduced an ambiguity for the MT, which was clear for HE. The source says "filed by {1}" and it means "filed by a person". The MT and the QE thought that it meant "filed by this date".

A decision has been made about the dispute that was	Foi tomada uma decisão sobre a disputa que foi apresentada	
filed by {1}.	por {1}.	





PostePay	Envío postal
PostePay	PostePay

Untranslatable:

The name of a service was translated as "Postal shipping".

The HE noticed that, the QE somewhat.

HE:0 QE:24



Semplicemente, il futuro





We're aware of this issue and are working to fix it as	Este problema y estamos tratando de solucionar el problema
soon as possible.	lo antes posible.

Omission:

The translation just says "This issue", omitting "We're aware of".

We're aware of this issue and are working to fix it as soon as	Somos conscientes de este problema y estamos trabajando para
possible.	solucionarlo lo antes posible.



QE:96

Language Issues

What are some of the reasons for discrepancy between HE and QE?

- Mistranslations not recognized
- Terminology
- Idioms and figurative meaning
- Locale
- Placeholders
- Untranslatables
- Omissions





Takeaways

- The main generic QE system has aggregate scores in a similar range as HE. This is promising.
- Customization is key to QE for evaluation, to shape the output to the custom translation and evaluation guidelines
- Findings in custom data can help improve accuracy on non-custom errors
- QE is a rising technology that will be widely present in many MT uses in the near future

Future step: Use a trained engine



Acknowledgements

Our thanks to the language experts that worked on this:

Melany Laterman and Patricia Lawler

eBay Language Specialists

for Brazilian Portuguese and Latin American Spanish





Questions?

Thanks Obrigado Gracias



