Human Translation and Machine Translation

Philipp Koehn

1 December 2009



Overview



- Machine Translation
- Human Translation
- Assistance to Human Translators
- User Study 1
- User Study 2

Overview



Machine Translation

- Human Translation
- Assistance to Human Translators
- User Study 1
- User Study 2



- Foreign input is segmented in phrases
 - any sequence of words, not necessarily linguistically motivated
- Each phrase is translated into English
- Phrases are reordered

Translation options





• Many translation options to choose from

Translation options





• Many translation options to choose from



Decoding process: find best path



Why Machine Translation?



Assimilation — reader initiates translation, wants to know content

- user is tolerant of inferior quality
- focus of majority of research (GALE program, etc.)

Communication — participants don't speak same language, rely on translation

- users can ask questions, when something is unclear
- chat room translations, hand-held devices
- often combined with speech recognition, IWSLT campaign

Dissemination — publisher wants to make content available in other languages

- high demands for quality
- currently almost exclusively done by human translators

Why Machine Translation?



Assimilation — reader initiates translation, wants to know content

- user is tolerant of inferior quality
- focus of majority of research (GALE program, etc.)

Communication — participants don't speak same language, rely on translation

- users can ask questions, when something is unclear
- chat room translations, hand-held devices
- often combined with speech recognition, IWSLT campaign

Dissemination — publisher wants to make content available in other languages

- high demands for quality OUR
- currently almost exclusively done by human translators

FOCUS



Goal: Helping Human Translators

If you can't beat them, join them.

- How can machine translation help human translators?
- First question: What do translators do?

Overview



- Machine Translation
- Human Translation
- Assistance to Human Translators
- User Study 1
- User Study 2

Setup



- 10 students at the University of Edinburgh
 - half native French speakers
 - half native English speakers with advanced French
- Each student translated
 - news stories
 - French-English
 - about 40 sentences
 - easy task: familiar content, no specialized terminology
- Keystroke log

Keystroke Log



Input: Au premier semestre, l'avionneur a livr 97 avions.Output: The manufacturer has delivered 97 planes during the first half.



black: keystroke, purple: deletion, grey: cursor move height: length of sentence

Analysis



- We can observe
 - slow typing
 - fast typing
 - pauses
- Pauses
 - beginning pause: reading the input sentence
 - final pause: reviewing the translation
 - short pauses (2-6 seconds): hesitation
 - medium pauses (6-60 seconds): problem solving
 - big pauses (>60 seconds): serious problem



				Pause	S		
User	total	initial	final	short	medium	big	keystroke
L1a	3.3s	0.1s	0.1s	0.2s	1.0s	0.1s	1.8s
L1b	7.7s	1.3s	0.1s	0.3s	1.8s	1.9s	2.3s
L1c	3.9s	0.2s	0.2s	0.3s	0.7s	-	2.5s
L1d	2.8s	0.2s	0.0s	0.2s	0.4s	0.1s	1.8s
L1e	5.2s	0.3s	0.0s	0.3s	1.9s	0.5s	2.2s
L2a	5.7s	0.5s	0.1s	0.3s	1.8s	0.7s	2.2s
L2b	3.2s	0.1s	0.1s	0.2s	0.4s	0.1s	2.2s
L2c	5.8s	0.3s	0.2s	0.5s	1.5s	0.3s	3.1s
L2d	3.4s	0.7s	0.1s	0.3s	0.6s	_	1.8s
L2e	2.8s	0.3s	0.2s	0.2s	0.3s	0.1s	1.9s

L1 = native French, L2 = native English average time per input word



		not muc	ch time	Pause	S		
User	total	initial	final	short	medium	big	keystroke
L1a	3.3s	0.1s	0.1s	0.2s	1.0s	0.1s	1.8s
L1b	7.7s	1.3s	0.1s	0.3s	1.8s	1.9s	2.3s
L1c	3.9s	0.2s	0.2s	0.3s	0.7s	-	2.5s
L1d	2.8s	0.2s	0.0s	0.2s	0.4s	0.1s	1.8s
L1e	5.2s	0.3s	0.0s	0.3s	1.9s	0.5s	2.2s
L2a	5.7s	0.5s	0.1s	0.3s	1.8s	0.7s	2.2s
L2b	3.2s	0.1s	0.1s	0.2s	0.4s	0.1s	2.2s
L2c	5.8s	0.3s	0.2s	0.5s	1.5s	0.3s	3.1s
L2d	3.4s	0.7s	0.1s	0.3s	0.6s	-	1.8s
L2e	2.8s	0.3s	0.2s	0.2s	0.3s	0.1s	1.9s

L1 = native French, L2 = native English

average time per input word



		not muc	h time	Pauses			similar
User	total	initial	final	short	medium	big	keystroke
L1a	3.3s	0.1s	0.1s	0.2s	1.0s	0.1s	1.8s
L1b	7.7s	1.3s	0.1s	0.3s	1.8s	1.9s	2.3s
L1c	3.9s	0.2s	0.2s	0.3s	0.7s	_	2.5s
L1d	2.8s	0.2s	0.0s	0.2s	0.4s	0.1s	1.8s
L1e	5.2s	0.3s	0.0s	0.3s	1.9s	0.5s	2.2s
L2a	5.7s	0.5s	0.1s	0.3s	1.8s	0.7s	2.2s
L2b	3.2s	0.1s	0.1s	0.2s	0.4s	0.1s	2.2s
L2c	5.8s	0.3s	0.2s	0.5s	1.5s	0.3s	3.1s
L2d	3.4s	0.7s	0.1s	0.3s	0.6s	-	1.8s
L2e	2.8s	0.3s	0.2s	0.2s	0.3s	0.1s	1.9s

L1 = native French, L2 = native English

average time per input word



		not muc	h time	Pauses	differen	ces	similar
User	total	initial	final	short	medium	big	keystroke
L1a	3.3s	0.1s	0.1s	0.2s	1.0s	0.1s	1.8s
L1b	7.7s	1.3s	0.1s	0.3s	1.8s	1.9s	2.3s
L1c	3.9s	0.2s	0.2s	0.3s	0.7s	-	2.5s
L1d	2.8s	0.2s	0.0s	0.2s	0.4s	0.1s	1.8s
L1e	5.2s	0.3s	0.0s	0.3s	1.9s	0.5s	2.2s
L2a	5.7s	0.5s	0.1s	0.3s	1.8s	0.7s	2.2s
L2b	3.2s	0.1s	0.1s	0.2s	0.4s	0.1s	2.2s
L2c	5.8s	0.3s	0.2s	0.5s	1.5s	0.3s	3.1s
L2d	3.4s	0.7s	0.1s	0.3s	0.6s	-	1.8s
L2e	2.8s	0.3s	0.2s	0.2s	0.3s	0.1s	1.9s

L1 = native French, L2 = native English

average time per input word

Pauses Reconsidered



- Our classification of pauses is arbitrary (2-6sec, 6-60sec, >60sec)
- Extreme view: all you see is pauses
 - keystrokes take no observable time
 - all you see is pauses between action points
- Visualizing range of pauses:

time t spent in pauses $p \in P$ up to a certain length l

$$sum(t) = \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{p \in P, l(p) \le t} l(p)$$



Overview



- Machine Translation
- Human Translation
- Assistance to Human Translators
- User Study 1
- User Study 2

Related Work: Tools used by Translators²¹



- Translators often use standard text editors and additional tools
- Bilingual dictionary
- Spell checker, grammar checker
- Monolingual concordancer
- Terminology database
- Web search to establish and verify meaning of terms

Translation Memory



• Source:

This feature is available for free in the QX 3400.

• Fuzzy match in translation memory:

This feature is available for free in the QX 3200. Diese Funktion ist kostenlos im Modell QX 3200 verfügbar.

• Translator inspects the fuzzy match and uses it in her translation.



Bilingual Concordancer

+ -	Windkraft (noun, feminine) (also: Windenergie)	wind power (noun)	V	
	Zum Vergleich: Windkraft schafft fast sieben Mal mehr.	By way of comparison, wind power generates almost seven times as much.		
	E> German: www.goethe.de/wis/umw/thm/ntr/de92305.htm	G> English: www.goethe.de/wis/umw/thm/ntr/en92305.htm		
	Einführung von Windcube, einer neuen Generation von Wind Lidar für Windkraft. E> German: www.husumwindenergy.com/index.php?LhowUid]=1177	Introducing Windcube, a new generation of wind Lidar for wind power.		
	Windkraft ist eine etablierte, wettbewerbsfähige Technologie mit hoher Zuverlässigkeit E> German: www.powergeneration.siemens.de/abouns- services/	Wind power is an established, competitive technology with high reliability		
amples	Windkraft (noun, feminine) (also: Windenergie)	wind energy (noun)	\checkmark	
	Je mehr aber klimapolitische Sonntagsreden von der Politik auch in Taten umgesetzt werden, desto höher steigt dieser Preis und desto wettbewerbsfähiger werden saubere Energien wie die Windkraft . E→ German: emagazine.credit-suisse.com/app /art4382 (=DE	But as the focus of the climate change issue shifts increasingly from policy to action, this price will increase and cleaner energy sources like wind will become more competitive. □→ English: emagazine.credit-suisse.com/app /art4382 (=en		
	,			
	Nur wenige befürchten hingegen, dass dies auch bei erneuerbaren Energieträgern wie Biomasse oder Windkraft der Fall sein wird. ⇒ German: www.eu2006.gv.at/de	However, only a few fear that this will also be the case with renewable energy sources such as biomass or wind energy. □→ English: www.eu2006.gv.at/en		

show translations in context (www.linguee.com)



Our Types of Assistance

- Sentence completion
 - tool suggests how to complete the translation
 - one phrase at a time
- Translation options
 - most likely translations for each word and phrase
 - ordered and color-highlighted by probability
- Postediting machine translation
 - start with machine translation output
 - user edits, tool shows changes

Technical Notes



- Online at http://www.caitra.org/
- User uploads source text, translates one sentence at a time
- Implementation
 - AJAX Web 2.0 using Ruby on Rails, mySQL
 - Back end: Moses machine translation system



Predicting Sentence Completion

[1] Paul Newman le magnifique >>

Paul			
enter	Newman	×	

- Tool makes a suggestion how to continue (in red)
- User can accept it (by pressing TAB), or type in her own translation
- Same idea as TransType, with minor modifications
 - show only short text chunks, not full sentence completion
 - show only one suggestion, not alternatives

How does it work?



- Uses search graph of SMT decoding
- Matches partial user translation against search graph, by optimizing
 - 1. minimal string edit distance between path in graph and user translation
 - 2. best full path probability, including best completion to end
- Technical notes
 - search graph is pre-computed and stored in database
 - matching is done server-side, typically takes less than 1 second
 - completion path is returned to client (web brower)



Translation Options

Paul	Newman	le	magnifique
Paul	Newman	the	wonderful
Mr	Newman ,	the	magnificent
Mr Paul	Newman here	th	e wonderful
as Paul	Committee		beautiful
another	Newman , who speaks		magnificent
with Paul		t	ne splendid
, Paul		th	ne excellent
of Paul		tł	ne beautiful
work of Paul			it
the words of Paul			great

- For each word and phrases: suggested translations
- Ranked (and color-highlighted) by probability
- User may click on suggestion \rightarrow appended to text box



Translation Options - How does it work?²⁹

- Uses phrase translation table of SMT system
- Translation score: future cost estimate
 - conditional probabilities $\phi(\bar{e}|\bar{f})$, $\phi(\bar{f}|\bar{e})$
 - lexical probabilities $lex(\bar{e}|\bar{f})$, $lex(\bar{f}|\bar{e})$
 - word count feature
 - language model estimate
- Ranking of shorter vs. longer phrases by including outside future cost estimate

Translation Tool translate -	Mozilla Firefox _ 🗆							
<u>F</u> ile <u>E</u> dit <u>V</u> iew Hi <u>s</u> tory <u>B</u> ookmarks <u>T</u> ools <u>H</u> elp								
 								
🗁 Status 🖆 Wiki 🖂 Mail 🕅 gMail 🗀 EdU 🗀 News								
Translation Tool pkoehn logout								
Sentence 2 of 20 [1] [2] [4] [6] [8] [11] [13] [16] [19]								
 [1] Spitzen von Hamburger CDU und Grünen öffnen Weg zu Koalitionsverhandlungen [2] Das erste schwarz-grüne Bündnis auf Landesebene rückt näher: Die Spitzen von CDU und Grünen in Hamburg halten ihre Differenzen für überwindbar. [3] In einer Sondierungsrunde beschlossen sie, in den Parteigremien über den Start von Koalitionsverhandlungen zu beraten. [4] Hamburg - Sechs Stunden sprachen sie miteinander. [5] Dann verkündeten CDU-Chef Michael Freytag und Grünen-Chefin Anja Hajduk, das Trennende zwischen den Parteien sei überbrückbar. 								
Seine and Seine auf Landesebene rückt näher: Die Spitzen von CDU und Grünen in Hamburg halten ihre Differenzen für überwindbar. >>								
enter the first								
das erste schwarz @-@ grüne Bündnis auf Landesebene	rückt näher : die Spitzen							
the first black @-@ green alliance in favour of	is approaching : the leaders							
the first black @-@ green the alliance in favour	approaches that the people at the top							
for the first black Green Alliance on national	we are coming to at the top							

cooperation in

the Greens NATO seek to

Belarus approaches

we closer

the top

the this

in black and white @-@ green

this

the first of the black



Postediting Machine Translation

<< [2] L'inoubliable interprète de "Butch Cassidy et le Kid" est mort des suites d'un cancer, à l'âge de 83 ans, dans sa maison du Connecticut. >> The unforgettable interpreter actor of "Butch Cassidy and the Sundance Kid " died as a result of cancer 7 at the age of 83 years 7 in his house in Connecticut . (9 edits)

The unforgettable actor of "Butch <u>Cassidy</u> and the <u>Sundance</u> Kid" died as a result of cancer at the age of 83 in his house in Connecticut.

- Textbox is initially filled with machine translation
- User edits translation
- String edit distance to machine translation is shown (blue background)

Overview



- Machine Translation
- Human Translation
- Assistance to Human Translators
- User Study 1
- User Study 2

Evaluation



- Recall setup
 - 10 students, half native French, half native English
 - each student translated French-English news stories
 - about 40 sentences for each condition of assistance
- Five different conditions
 - unassisted
 - prediction (sentence completion)
 - options
 - predictions and options
 - post-editing

Quality



- We want faster translators, but not worse
- Assessment of translation quality
 - show translations to bilingual judges, with source
 - judgment: fully correct? yes/no

Indicate whether each user's input represents a fully fluent and meaning-equivalent translation of the source. The source is shown with context, the actual sentence is bold.

- Average score: 50% correct lower than expected
 - judges seemed to be too harsh
 - when given several translations, tendency to judge half as bad



Example of Quality Judgments

Src.	Sans se démonter, il s'est montré concis et précis.	
MT	Without dismantle, it has been concise and accurate.	
1/3	Without fail, he has been concise and accurate. (Predict	tion+Options, L2a)
<mark>4/0</mark>	Without getting flustered, he showed himself to be concise and precise	. (Unassisted, L2b)
<mark>4/0</mark>	Without falling apart, he has shown himself to be concise and accura	te. (Postedit, L2c)
1/3	Unswayable, he has shown himself to be concise and to the point.	(Options, L2d)
<mark>0/4</mark>	Without showing off, he showed himself to be concise and precise.	(Prediction, L2e)
1/ <mark>3</mark>	Without dismantling himself, he presented himself consistent and pre-	ecise.
	(Predict	tion+Options, L1a)
2/2	He showed himself concise and precise.	(Unassisted, L1b)
3/1	Nothing daunted, he has been concise and accurate.	(Postedit, L1c)
3/1	Without losing face, he remained focused and specific.	(Options, L1d)
3/1	Without becoming flustered, he showed himself concise and precise.	(Prediction, L1e)


Faster and Better

Assistance	Speed	Quality
Unassisted	4.4s/word	47% correct
Postedit	2.7s (-1.7s)	55% (+8%)
Options	3.7s (-0.7s)	51% (+4%)
Prediction	3.2s (-1.2s)	54% (+7%)
Prediction+Options	3.3s (-1.1s)	53% (+6%)



Faster and Better, Mostly

User	Unassisted	Post	tedit	Ор	tions	Prec	liction	Predicti	on+Options
L1a	3.3sec/word	1.2s	-2.2s	2.3s	-1.0s	1.1s	-2.2s	2.4s	-0.9s
	23% correct	39%	+16%)	45%	+22%	30%	+7%)	44%	+21%
L1b	7.7sec/word	4.5s	-3.2s)	4.5s	-3.3s	2.7s	-5.1s	4.8 s	-3.0s
	35% correct	48%	+13%	55%	+20%	61%	+26%	41%	+6%
L1c	3.9sec/word	1.9s	-2.0s	3.8s	-0.1s	3.1s	-0.8s	2.5s	-1.4s
	50% correct	61%	+11%	54%	+4%	64%	+14%	61%	+11%
L1d	2.8sec/word	2.0s	-0.7s	2.9s	(+0.1s)	2.4s	(-0.4s)	1.8s	-1.0s
	38% correct	46%	+8%	59%	(+21%)	37%	(-1%)	45%	+7%
L1e	5.2sec/word	3.9s	-1.3s	4.9s	(-0.2s)	3.5s	-1.7s	4.6s	(-0.5s)
	58% correct	64%	+6%	56%	(-2%)	62%	+4%	56%	(-2%)
L2a	5.7sec/word	1.8s	-3.9s	2.5s	-3.2s	2.7s	-3.0s	2.8s	-2.9s
	16% correct	50%	+34%	34%	+18%	40%	+24%	50%	+34%
L2b	3.2sec/word	2.8s	(-0.4s)	3.5s	+0.3s	6.0s	+2.8s	4.6s	+1.4s
	64% correct	56%	(-8%)	60%	-4%	61%	-3%	57%	-7%
L2c	5.8sec/word	2.9s	-3.0s	4.6s	(-1.2s)	4.1s	-1.7s	2.7s	-3.1s
	52% correct	53%	+1%	37%	(-15%)	59%	+7%	53%	+1%
L2d	3.4sec/word	3.1s	(-0.3s)	4.3s	(+0.9s)	3.8s	(+0.4s)	3.7s	(+0.3s)
	49% correct	49%	(+0%)	51%	(+2%)	53%	(+4%)	58%	(+9%)
L2e	2.8sec/word	2.6s	-0.2s	3.5s	+0.7s	2.8s	(-0.0s)	3.0s	+0.2s
	68% correct	79%	+11%	59%	-9%	64%	(-4%)	66%	-2%
avg.	4.4sec/word	2.7s	-1.7s	3.7s	-0.7s	3.2s	-1.2s	3.3s	-1.1s
	47% correct	55%	+8%	51%	+4%	54%	+7%	53%	+6%

Slow Users 1: Faster and Better





- Unassisted
 - more than 5 seconds per input word
 - very bad (35%, 16%)
- With assistance
 - much faster and better
 - reaching roughly average performance

Slow Users 2: Only Faster





- Unassisted
 - more than 5 seconds per input word
 - average quality
- With assistance
 - faster and but not better



- Unassisted
 - fast: 3-4 seconds per input word
 - L1a is very bad (23%), L1c is average (50%)
- With assistance
 - faster and better
 - L1a closer to average (30-45%), L1c becomes very good (54-61%)



- Use the assistance sparingly or not at all, and see generally no gains
- The two best translators are in this group
- Postediting
 - mixed on quality (2 better, 1 worse, 1 same), but all faster
 - best translator (L2e, 68%) becomes much better (record 79%)

Further Analysis



- How does the assistance change translator behaviour?
- How do translators utilize assistance?
- How is the translation produced?



orange: click on translation option

Analysis: Segment into periods of activity: typing, tabbing, clicking, pauses

one second before and after a keystroke is part of typing interval



User: L1b	total	init-p	end-p	short-p	mid-p	big-p	key	click	tab
Unassisted	7.7s	1.3s	0.1s	0.3s	1.8s	1.9s	2.3s	-	-
Postedit	4.5s	1.5s	0.4s	0.1s	1.0s	0.4s	1.1s	-	-
Options	4.5s	0.6s	0.1s	0.4s	0.9s	0.7s	1.5s	0.4s	-
Prediction	2.7s	0.3s	0.3s	0.2s	0.7s	0.1s	0.6s	-	0.4s
Prediction+Options	4.8s	0.6s	0.4s	0.4s	1.3s	0.5s	0.9s	0.5s	0.2s



User: L1b	total	init-p	end-p	short-p	mid-p	big-p	key	click	tab
Unassisted	7.7s	1.3s	0.1s	0.3s	1.8s	1.9s	2.3s	-	-
Postedit	4.5s	1.5s	0.4s	0.1s	1.0s	0.4s	1.1s	-	-
Options	4.5s	0.6s	0.1s	0.4s	0.9s	0.7s	1.5s	0.4s	-
Prediction	2.7s	0.3s	0.3s	0.2s	0.7s	0.1s	0.6s	-	0.4s
Prediction+Options	4.8s	0.6s	0.4s	0.4s	1.3s	0.5s	0.9s	0.5s	0.2s

Slighly less time spent on typing



User: L1b	total	init-p	end-p	short-p	mid-p	big-p	key	click	tab
Unassisted	7.7s	1.3s	0.1s	0.3s	1.8s	1.9s	2.3s	-	-
Postedit	4.5s	1.5s	0.4s	0.1s	1.0s	0.4s	1.1s	-	-
Options	4.5s	0.6s	0.1s	0.4s	0.9s	0.7s	1.5s	0.4s	-
Prediction	2.7s	0.3s	0.3s	0.2s	0.7s	0.1s	0.6s	-	0.4s
Prediction+Options	4.8s	0.6s	0.4s	0.4s	1.3s	0.5s	0.9s	0.5s	0.2s

Less pausing Slighly less time spent on typing



User: L1b	total	init-p	end-p	short-p	mid-p	big-p	key	click	tab
Unassisted	7.7s	1.3s	0.1s	0.3s	1.8s	1.9s	2.3s	-	-
Postedit	4.5s	1.5s	0.4s	0.1s	1.0s	0.4s	1.1s	-	-
Options	4.5s	0.6s	0.1s	0.4s	0.9s	0.7s	1.5s	0.4s	-
Prediction	2.7s	0.3s	0.3s	0.2s	0.7s	0.1s	0.6s	-	0.4s
Prediction+Options	4.8s	0.6s	0.4s	0.4s	1.3s	0.5s	0.9s	0.5s	0.2s

Less pausing

Especially
less time
in big
pauses

Slighly less time spent on typing



User: L2e	total	init-p	end-p	short-p	mid-p	big-p	key	click	tab
Unassisted	2.8s	0.3s	0.2s	0.2s	0.3s	0.1s	1.9s	-	-
Postedit	2.6s	0.4s	0.3s	0.2s	1.0s	0.1s	0.7s	-	-
Options	3.5s	0.1s	0.3s	0.4s	0.6s	0.2s	1.7s	0.1s	-
Prediction	2.8s	0.1s	0.3s	0.3s	0.3s	-	1.4s	-	0.3s
Prediction+Options	3.0s	0.1s	0.3s	0.2s	0.5s	-	1.9s	-	-



User: L2e	total	init-p	end-p	short-p	mid-p	big-p	key	click	tab
Unassisted	2.8s	0.3s	0.2s	0.2s	0.3s	0.1s	1.9s	-	-
Postedit	2.6s	0.4s	0.3s	0.2s	1.0s	0.1s	0.7s	-	-
Options	3.5s	0.1s	0.3s	0.4s	0.6s	0.2s	1.7s	0.1s	-
Prediction	2.8s	0.1s	0.3s	0.3s	0.3s	-	1.4s	-	0.3s
Prediction+Options	3.0s	0.1s	0.3s	0.2s	0.5s	-	1.9s	-	-

Little time spent on assistance



User: L2e	total	init-p	end-p	short-p	mid-p	big-p	key	click	tab
Unassisted	2.8s	0.3s	0.2s	0.2s	0.3s	0.1s	1.9s	-	-
Postedit	2.6s	0.4s	0.3s	0.2s	1.0s	0.1s	0.7s	-	-
Options	3.5s	0.1s	0.3s	0.4s	0.6s	0.2s	1.7s	0.1s	-
Prediction	2.8s	0.1s	0.3s	0.3s	0.3s	-	1.4s	-	0.3s
Prediction+Options	3.0s	0.1s	0.3s	0.2s	0.5s	-	1.9s	-	-

Does not use both assistances, little overall change Little time spent on assistance



User: L2e	total	init-p	end-p	short-p	mid-p	big-p	key	click	tab
Unassisted	2.8s	0.3s	0.2s	0.2s	0.3s	0.1s	1.9s	-	-
Postedit	2.6s	0.4s	0.3s	0.2s	1.0s	0.1s	0.7s	-	-
Options	3.5s	0.1s	0.3s	0.4s	0.6s	0.2s	1.7s	0.1s	-
Prediction	2.8s	0.1s	0.3s	0.3s	0.3s	-	1.4s	-	0.3s
Prediction+Options	3.0s	0.1s	0.3s	0.2s	0.5s	-	1.9s	-	-

Does not use both assistances, little overall change Postediting: less typing (-1.2s) more medium pauses (+0.7s)

Little time spent on assistance



Origin of Characters: Native French L1b⁵²

User: L1b	key	click	tab	mt
Postedit	18%	-	-	81%
Options	59%	40%	-	-
Prediction	14%	-	85%	-
Prediction+Options	21%	44%	33%	_



Origin of Characters: Native French L1b⁵³

User: L1b	key	click	tab	mt
Postedit	18%	-	-	81%
Options	59%	40%	-	-
Prediction	14%	-	85%	-
Prediction+Options	21%	44%	33%	-

Translation comes to large degree from assistance



Origin of Characters: Native English L2e⁵⁴

User: L2e	key	click	tab	mt
Postedit	20%	-	-	79%
Options	77%	22%	_	-
Prediction	61%	_	38%	-
Prediction+Options	100%	_	-	_



Origin of Characters: Native English L2e⁵⁵

User: L2e	key	click	tab	mt
Postedit	20%	-	-	79%
Options	77%	22%	-	-
Prediction	61%	-	38%	-
Prediction+Options	100%	_	-	_

Although hardly any time spent on assistance, fair amount of characters produced by it





Pauses: English-Native User L2e



Learning Curve





User Feedback



- Q: In which of the five conditions did you think you were most accurate?
 - predictions+options: 5 users
 - options: 2 users
 - prediction: 1 user
 - postediting: 1 user
- Q: Rank the different types of assistance on a scale from 1 to 5, where1 indicates not at all and 5 indicates very helpful.
 - prediction+options: 4.6
 - prediction: 3.9
 - options: 3.7
 - postediting: 2.9

User Feedback



- $\bullet~Q:$ In which of the five conditions did you think you were most accurate?
 - predictions+options: 5 users
 - options: 2 users
 - prediction: 1 user
 - postediting: 1 user
- Q: Rank the different types of assistance on a scale from 1 to 5, where1 indicates not at all and 5 indicates very helpful.
 - prediction+options: 4.6
 - prediction: 3.9
 - options: 3.7
 - postediting: 2.9

• Note: does not match empirical results

Summary



- Assistance made translators faster
 - average speed improvement from 4.4s/word to 2.7-3.7s/word
 - reduction of big pauses
 - reduction of typing effort in post-editing
- Assistance made translators better
 - average judgment increased from 47% to 51-55% with help
 - even good translators get better with postediting
- Some good translators ignored the assistance
- Fastest and (barely) best with postediting, but did not like it

Overview



- Machine Translation
- Human Translation
- Assistance to Human Translators
- User Study 1
- User Study 2

Monolingual Translators



- Translating when only knowing the target language?
- Why?
 - Low-cost first draft
 - Evaluating machine translation quality: meaning preservation

Setup



- 10 monolingual translators
- 2 types of assistance: Postediting and Options+Prediction
- 2 language pairs: Arabic–English and Chinese–English
 - systems developed under the GALE program
 - close to state of the art (at least for Arabic)
- 8 news stories (4 Arabic, 4 Chinese) from NIST Eval 2008 set
- also in competition: 3 human reference translations

Stories



Story	Headline	Sent.	Words
1: Chinese	White House Pushes for Nuclear Inspectors to Be Sent to	6	207
	Monitor North Korea's Closure of Its Nuclear Reactors		
2: Chinese	Torrential Rains Hit Western India, 43 People Dead	10	204
3: Chinese	Research Shows a Link between Arrhythmia and Two Forms	7	247
	of Genetic Variation		
4: Chinese	Veteran US Goalkeeper Keller May Retire after America's	10	367
	Cup		
5: Arabic	Britain: Arrests in Several Cities and Explosion of Suspicious	7	224
	Car		
6: Arabic	Ban Ki-Moon Withdraws His Report on the Sahara after	8	310
	Controversy Surrounding Its Content		
7: Arabic	Pakistani Opposition Leaders Call on Musharraf to Resign.	11	312
8: Arabic	Al-Maliki: Iraqi Forces Are Capable of Taking Over the	8	255
	Security Dossier Any Time They Want		

Results



Assistance	Arabic	Chinese
Bilingual	63±8%	$68\pm7\%$
Postediting	39±6%	23±5%
Options + Prediction	40±6%	34±6%

- Judges are very critical... again...
- Monolingual translators not much worse than bilingual translators
- Options help (especially for Chinese)



Individual Translators

Translator	Arabic	Chinese	
bi1	73±14%	62±14%	
bi2	48±15%	$65{\pm}14\%$	
bi3	$70{\pm}14\%$	$76{\pm}11\%$	
mono1	$48{\pm}15\%$	30±14%	
mono2	37±15%	12±9%	
mono3	$36{\pm}16\%$	$15{\pm}11\%$	
mono4	$60{\pm}14\%$	28±13%	
mono5	$37{\pm}16\%$	$31{\pm}15\%$	
топоб	$26{\pm}12\%$	$18{\pm}12\%$	
mono7	20±12%	$21{\pm}12\%$	
mono8	$53{\pm}15\%$	$59{\pm}14\%$	
mono9	$41{\pm}15\%$	$31{\pm}15\%$	
mono10	35±14%	37±14%	

Individual Stories



Story	Language	Bilingual	Postediting	Options+Prediction	
1	Chinese	80±20%	34±18%	56±19%	
2	Chinese	76±13%	$36{\pm}11\%$	34±12%	
3	Chinese	$61{\pm}16\%$	$10{\pm}9\%$	$16{\pm}10\%$	_
4	Chinese	64±13%	13±8%	$41{\pm}11\%$	bad
5	Arabic	$69{\pm}25\%$	$12{\pm}12\%$	$14{\pm}13\%$	
6	Arabic	50±20%	39±13%	54±15%	
7	Arabic	$74{\pm}12\%$	$45{\pm}11\%$	$36{\pm}11\%$	good
8	Arabic	$55{\pm}16\%$	42±12%	45±13%	

Story 3: political news, story 4: sports report about American soccer player

What was Hard?



• Mistranslated / untranslated name

MT: Strong zhuo, pointing out that the two presidents ...

Mono: Qiang Zhuo pointed out that the two presidents ...

Bi: Johndroe said the two presidents ...

No chance to recover...

What was Hard?



• Relationship between entities

MT: The colombian team for the match, and it is very likely that the united states and kai in the americas cup final performance.

Mono1: The Colombian team and the United States are very likely to end up in the Americas Cup as the final performance.

Mono2: The next match against Colombia is likely to be the United States' and Keller's final performance in the current Copa America.

Bi: The next game against the Colombian team will very probably be the last performance of the U.S. team and Keller in this year's Copa America.

What was Hard?



• Badly muddled machine translation

MT: He is still being head coach bradley appointed to important, it's even a fist ", four young guards at the beginning of the ", the united states is...

Mono: He is still being considered important by head coach Bradley who appointed him. It is a fight with "four young guards at the beginning of their careers", but the United States..

Bi: He was still entrusted with the important task by head coach Bradley, but what can one man do against overwhelming odds; a US team of "Elite Young Guards" setting out to do battle...

Summary



- Very good results
 - assisted monolingual translators competitive with bilingual translators
 - most of the meaning comes across
- Some outstanding issues can be addressed: name transliteration
- Domain knowledge important



Outlook: More experiments

- Different types of users
 - experienced professional translators
 - volunteer / amateur
 - no/little knowledge of source language
- Different types of language pairs
 - target-side morphology a problem
 - large-scale reordering maybe a problem
- Different types of translation tasks
 - familiar content for translator?
 - very similar to previously translated text?





http://www.caitra.org/

questions?

Philipp Koehn

Human Translation and Machine Translation

1 December 2009

Interactive Post-Editing?



- word alignment to source
- confidence estimation of likely faulty parts
- integration with translation memory











Pauses: Postediting

