Discovering Conversation Spaces in the Public Discourse of Gender Violence: a Comparative Between Two Different Contexts

Meliza M. De La Paz Ateneo de Manila University Katipunan Ave., Quezon City Philippines, 1108 azidelapaz@gmail.com Ma. Regina E. Estuar Ateneo de Manila University Katipunan Ave., Quezon City Philippines, 1108 restuar@ateneo.edu John Noel C. Victorino Ateneo de Manila University Katipunan Ave., Quezon City Philippines, 1108 jvictorino@ateneo.edu

Abstract

A huge factor in gender-based violence is perception and stigma, revealed by public discourse. Topic modelling is useful for discourse analysis and reveals prevalent topics and actors. This study aims to find and compare examples of collectivist and individualist conversation spaces of gendered violence by applying Principal Component Analysis, N-Gram analysis and word association in two gender violence cases which occured in the different contexts of the Philippines and the United States. The data from the Philippines consist of 2010-2011 articles on the 1991 Vizconde Massacre and the data from the United States consist of 2016-2017 articles from the 2015 Stanford Rape Case. Results show that in both cases' conversation space there is a focus on institutions involved in the cases that does not really change over time, and a time-dependent conversation space for victims. Even in two different contexts of gender violence, patterns in conversation space appear similar.

1 Introduction

In 2010, around 20 people in the United States were being physically abused by a partner every minute (Black, Basile, Breiding, et al, 2011). Gender-based violence is a prevalent problem, even until today: 1 in 3 women have experienced some form of physical or sexual violence worldwide(World Health Organization, 2016). The emphasis on gender points to the context that this violence happens because of unequal power relations between women and men. Gendered expectations and structures of power are passed down and learned through interactions and discussions - discourse datasets are a potential source to analyze for this (Butler, 1988).

This study uses principal component analysis, word frequency counts, word associations, and Ngram analysis to compare two different public discourses on gender violence, specifically articles written about the Stanford rape case and the Vizconde massacre. This is done between two sets of discourse that happens in an individualist society(U.S.) and a collectivist society(Philippines). It aims to analyze a conversation space to see what aspect of gender violence discourse appears to be the primary focus - victims, perpetrators, institutions or society as an initial diagnosis of how gender violence is framed in such discourses.

People v. Brock Allen Turner(the official name of the legal case of the Stanford rape) began on January 18, 2015 when a college student athlete named Brock Turner was indicted for charges of rape and sexual assault. Turner was convicted on March 30, 2016 for charges of sexual assault. On June 2, 2016, he was sentenced to 6 months of jail. This case raised controversy because of the constant defense of the Turner family, claiming their son's reputation would be ruined, as well as the short amount of time given to Brock Turner for his crime.

On the other hand, the Vizconde massacre in June 30, 1991 was a homicide case where one of the victims was raped before being killed. Several men were involved as suspects in the case, including Hubert Webb, Joey Filart, Artemio Ventura, Michael Gatchalian, Hospicio Fernandez and Anto-

31st Pacific Asia Conference on Language, Information and Computation (PACLIC 31), pages 376–383 Cebu City, Philippines, November 16-18, 2017 Copyright ©2017 Meliza De La Paz, Maria Regina Estuar and John Noel Victorino nio Lejano II. All of them were convicted in regional court as well as the court of appeals. However, the Supreme Court chose to reverse this decision and acquit the men on December 14, 2010. Recent discussion on the memory of the case emerged once more during Lauro Vizconde's death on February 13, 2016.

The study is limited to the data of articles about the Stanford rape case starting from when its decision was released on June 2, 2016, until 2 weeks afterward, as well as articles written 6-7 months afterwards. The articles chosen for the Vizconde massacre are the ones written after the announcement of the Supreme Court's reversal and acquittal on December 14, 2010 up to two weeks afterward, as well as articles written 6-7 months afterwards.

2 Related Literature

Discussions on rape frame how it is understood by readers. It is thought that whoever's story is believed is the story that gets to determine the definition of what rape is (Kaiser, 2002). This is aggravated by several rape myth acceptance factors that exist in society today - things which can shift responsibility from victims to perpetrators, or only accept certain kinds of events as 'real rape' (Frese, 2004). Individualist and collectivist societies have displayed differences in gender violence perception due to different notions of responsibility (Lo, So and Zhang, 2010; Yamawaki, 2007).

Several feminist scholars have talked about concepts such as masculine aggressiveness and feminine weakness(MacKinnon, 1989), constant victim narratives for women (Sjoberg, 2010; Maeda, 2011), entitlement over female bodies and promiscuity as invitation(MacKinnon, 1989; Maeda, 2011). There has also been analysis that incidents of gender violence talk about perpetrators as outliers(du Toit, 2010; Murphy, 2007). Several of these play into how victims of violence are perceived (Menaker and Franklin, 2015; Olwan, 2013), and how this violence is potrayed in artworks (Nixon, Rodier and Meagher, 2012; Yarbro-Bejarano, 2013).

Other studies have also looked at various institutions and how they affect gender violence perception (Joyce-Wojitas and Keenan, 2016; Hudson, 2002; Morrison, Ellsberg and Bott, 2007). What these various literature show are different aspects at play when rape narratives are framed - for the purpose of this study, these can be summarized into four entities: victims, perpetrators, institutions, and society/culture.

3 Methodology

Two datasets are used in this study. The first is the set of articles on the Stanford rape committed by Brock Turner starting from June 2, 2016 on the day Brock Turner's sentence was given. The second is the set of articles on the Vizconde murders starting from December 14, 2010 when the suspects of the case were acquitted by the Supreme Court. These articles were gathered from various media sources. To account for different media biases, a single source was never to exceed a fourth of the total dataset. Data for each event was divided into two sets: one dataset of articles starting from the day of acquittal or sentence for up to two weeks afterward. The second dataset would be for articles occuring six months after the event.

3.1 Pre-Processing

Stop words, filler words and punctuation are removed from articles, and documents converted to lowercase. Aside from the standard dictionary of English stopwords, profanities are also removed from the data because of the vague emotions often associated with their use. Names of individuals involved in the cases are also removed for most processing steps. These are retained for bigram frequency count to see which actors in the discourse are more mentioned than others.

3.2 Word Frequency, Bi-Gram Frequency, and Word Association

Document-term matrices are generated for both unigrams and bigrams and collapsed into a wordfrequency and bi-gram-frequency tables, arranged in descending order. Word association is done for the top fifteen unigrams and top five bigrams, using a minimum correlation value of 0.5.

3.3 Principal Component Analysis on Unigrams and Bi-Grams

Using document-term matrices generated for unigramas and bi-grams, principal component analysis is applied for each. Generated document-term matrices had sparse terms removed, allowing for 85% to 90% maximum sparsity. Topics are located using PCA results, using all words in the first and second dimensions with a correlation value of 0.5 and above.

Principal component analaysis is applied three times to the Stanford Rape and Vizconde Massacre datasets. Once for the set immediately after the chosen events, once for the set six months afterward, and one for the datasets as a whole.

3.4 Comparison

Lastly, the results of the two datasets are compared by looking into similarities and differences in key topics and actors in the conversation space. First, comparisons are drawn by looking into any changes over time for both events. Secondly, comparisons are drawn by looking at any similarities and differences between the two cultures. These are contextualized and analyzed by looking at cultural differences between the Philippines and United States as collectivist and individualist states.

4 Results and Discussion

Results are analyzed with the overall goal of finding out (a) if there are changes in the conversation space for the same gender violence event over a period of six months (b) what particular aspect of gender violence discourse appears to be the focal point of a particular set (victim, perpetrator, institution, or society), and (c) if there are similarities between two different contexts of gender violence(between the Philippines and the United States) even if the events occur in different times and societies.

4.1 Frequency Count and Associations

Frequency counts for words and bi-grams in the Stanford dataset can be found in table 1. Some things are worthy of note. First of all, words such as "victim" and "woman" disappear from the top 10 frequently mentioned words six months afterwards. "Campus", most possibly referring to the Stanford Rape, disappears as well. "Judge" appears to be a consistent entity mentioned even six months afterward.

This trend continues even with bi-gram analysis, in table 1. The closest bi-gram which could refer to

Table 1: Stanford Rape: Frequency Words and Bi-Grams After Sentencing		
victim	197	
sentence	137	
woman	130	
judge	121	
time	114	
statement	114	
	99	
campus	99 98	
night life		
	96 01	
unconscious	91	
After 6 Months	76	
judge	76 62	
commission	62 42	
sentence	43	
judicial	40	
recall	39	
probation	29	
campaign	28	
misconduct	28	
months	28	
jail	26	
After Sentencing		
brock turner	65	
stanford university	42	
santa clara	29	
county jail	28	
aaron persky	25	
probation officer	25	
clara county	24	
minutes action	23	
unconscious woman	22	
pine needles	20	
After 6 Months		
judge persky	49	
brock turner	19	
commission judicial	15	
santa clara	15	
clara county	14	
judicial performance	14	
recall campaign	13	
stanford university	13	
evidence bias	10	
former stanford	10	

the victim in this case is "unconscious woman", not even "Emily Doe" as she used a pseudonym. "Aaron Persky", "Brock Turner", and "Stanford University" appear more consistent.

Table 3:	Stanford Rape:	Word	Associations	for	'Sen-
tence'	Immed	intaliz	A ftor		

Table 2: Stanford Rape: Word A confirmed	0.71
crime	0.7
actions	0.65
serious	0.64
caused	0.63
remembered	0.63
county	0.62
attempt	0.61
lives	0.61
meet	0.61
conversations	0.6
lines	0.6
remorse	0.6
request	0.6
genuine	0.59
letter	0.59
punishment	0.59
tried	0.59
legal	0.58
leniency	0.58

What this is presenting so far is a discourse that inconsistently talks about victims. An analysis of some words associated with "victim" that can be found in table 2 in the Stanford Rape dataset reveal that many words that are associated with "victim" are still in reference to legal institutions - words such as "legal", "leniency", "crime" and "punishment" which are more tied to the legal aspect of the cases. However, one set of word association results shows a break from this - when looking at associated words for "sentence" in table 3, there does appear to be a sudden association with the victim 6 months afterward with words such as "emily" and "victim" which were not present earlier.

The emerging trend of a more institution-centric discourse is consistent with findings in the Vizconde Massacre dataset, presented in table 4. Top words are "court" and "nbi", referring to the Supreme Court of the Philippines and the Bureau of Investigations in the top words without any words that could be attributed to victims, and "Supreme Court"

Immediately A	After
law	0.7
county	0.68
felony	0.67
minutes	0.66
hours	0.64
clara	0.61
leniency	0.61
probation	0.61
santa	0.61
send	0.61
viral	0.61
urged	0.6
dedicated	0.59
pages	0.59
superior	0.59
action	0.58
convicted	0.58
california	0.57
class	0.57
court	0.57
6 Months At	fter
6 Months At prison	fter 0.89
prison	0.89
prison jail	0.89 0.82
prison jail judicial	0.89 0.82 0.82 0.81 0.8
prison jail judicial recommended	0.89 0.82 0.82 0.81 0.8 0.8
prison jail judicial recommended defense excuses independent	0.89 0.82 0.82 0.81 0.8 0.8 0.8
prison jail judicial recommended defense excuses	0.89 0.82 0.82 0.81 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8
prison jail judicial recommended defense excuses independent	0.89 0.82 0.81 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.78
prison jail judicial recommended defense excuses independent looked	0.89 0.82 0.82 0.81 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8
prison jail judicial recommended defense excuses independent looked assessment	0.89 0.82 0.81 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.78
prison jail judicial recommended defense excuses independent looked assessment decision	0.89 0.82 0.81 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.78 0.77 0.75 0.75
prison jail judicial recommended defense excuses independent looked assessment decision critics	0.89 0.82 0.81 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.78 0.77 0.75 0.75 0.74
prison jail judicial recommended defense excuses independent looked assessment decision critics probation national prosecutors	0.89 0.82 0.81 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.78 0.77 0.75 0.75 0.74 0.74
prison jail judicial recommended defense excuses independent looked assessment decision critics probation national prosecutors emily	0.89 0.82 0.81 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.78 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.74 0.74 0.73
prison jail judicial recommended defense excuses independent looked assessment decision critics probation national prosecutors emily offender	0.89 0.82 0.81 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.78 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.74 0.73 0.73
prison jail judicial recommended defense excuses independent looked assessment decision critics probation national prosecutors emily offender performance	0.89 0.82 0.81 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.78 0.77 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.74 0.74 0.73 0.73
prison jail judicial recommended defense excuses independent looked assessment decision critics probation national prosecutors emily offender performance victim	0.89 0.82 0.81 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.78 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.74 0.73 0.73 0.73 0.72
prison jail judicial recommended defense excuses independent looked assessment decision critics probation national prosecutors emily offender performance	0.89 0.82 0.81 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.78 0.77 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.74 0.74 0.73 0.73

and "de Lima"(the head of the Department of Justice) being the top bi-grams. Bi-gram analysis for the Vizconde Massacre dataset does, however, have "Lauro Vizconde" as a more consistent bi-gram immediately after the events and even 6 months afterwards. "Carmela Jennifer" appears in the case six months afteward, referring to two of the victims who were murdered in the case, Carmela and Jennifer Vizconde.

4.2 Principal Component Analysis

Principal component analysis results for the Stanford Rape case are in tables 5 and 6. The topics continue to show more of a focus towards institutional aspects of the case, with a topic on cultural discussion that emerged in the dataset six months after. A topic that would be close to the victim is the rape event itself which she herself narrated in the letter that she had written - a topic which can no longer be found in the later set's PCA results. However, when PCA is applied to the overall dataset, the topic of the "victim" does emerge.

Meanwhile, in the case of the Vizconde Massacre in tables 7 and 8, the victims of the case, the Vizconde Family, emerge as a topic only six months afterwards. It is possible that this is a response to their family announcing things such as their remembrance masses and 20th anniversary of the deaths of the victims. The institution of the Supreme Court appears prominent throughout time, as "failure of prosecution" is still being talked about six months afterwards. Running PCA for the overall dataset reveals the topic "perceived injustice".

5 Conclusion

In both datasets from the United States and the Philippines, discourse appears to be primarily institution-centric, though it could possibly be argued that there is a very prominent space for the perpetrator as well. This is based on the consistency of their prominence even across a change of 6 months - with topics such and words such as 'court' 'judge', 'nbi' and topics such as 'court decision', 'judge persky' and the like. Victims, however, do not appear to have a very consistent space in the conversation as conversations seem likelier to change focus over time. Instead, victims are promiTable 4: Vizconde Massacre Frequency Words and Bi-Grams

After Acquittal	
court	306
justice	129
accused	110
decision	108
supreme	94
crime	92
family	82
witness	81
evidence	72
nbi	72
After 6 Months	
nbi	54
investigation	41
evidence	40
witnesses	40
crime	38
court	36
justice	33
time	29
country	22
doj	22
After Acquittal	
supreme court	92
hubert webb	55
jessica alfaro	39
lauro vizconde	37
trial court	31
court appeals	30
reasonable doubt	30
beyond reasonable	28
co accused	28
associate justices	24
After 6 Months	4.5
de lima	45
hubert webb	24
supreme court	22 15
lauro vizconde	15 11
bureau investigation	
carmela jennifer	10
national bureau	10
leila de	9
crime scene	8
double jeopardy	8

Table 5: Stanford Rape: PCA Dimensions Immediately After

Rape as Crime			
santa clara	0.8620547		
clara county	0.8562122		
minutes action	0.6171062		
intent commit	0.5957213		
intoxicated person	0.5732411		
former stanford	0.5321426		
county jail	0.5047081		
Rape Eve	nt		
night	0.9308822		
time	0.9091522		
body	0.9084846		
life	0.8999215		
family	0.8937538		
told	0.8856298		
drinking	0.8837296		
party	0.8835866		
happened	0.8788474		
consent	0.8695934		
attorney	0.8629541		
dumpster	0.851985		
unconscious	0.8511993		
naked	0.8508088		
Turner's Sen			
clara	0.7986594		
santa	0.7986594		
county	0.6862388		
sentence	0.6565878		
law	0.6543873		
california	0.6096214		
sentencing	0.5948697		
media	0.5923465		
report	0.5858502		
felony	0.5478694		
prison	0.5177417		
court	0.5151062		
national	0.5084797		
judge	0.5053399		

Table 6: Stanford Rape: PCA Dimensions 6 Months After

Cultural Discussion			
discipline	0.893028		
social	0.8883636		
bias	0.8625273		
conclude	0.8556272		
convincing	0.8556272		
warranting	0.8556272		
published	0.8554577		
thousands	0.8258422		
party	0.8134658		
online	0.790534		
authority	0.7841988		
california	0.7760179		
prosecutors	0.7727551		
media	0.7695833		
engaged	0.7258757		
received	0.7150418		
misconduct	0.7033931		
passed	0.6973579		
ignited	0.6958733		
concluded	0.6665783		
system	0.6654961		
Judge Persky			
judicial performance	0.7815202		
commission judicial	0.7355416		
judicial misconduct	0.6994272		
california commission	0.696224		
turner months	0.5849886		
law professor	0.5161074		
stanford law	0.5145941		

 Table 7: Vizconde Massacre: PCA Dimensions Immediately After

Court Decision			
court		0.868481	
prosecu	tion	0.808735	
accused		0.777947	
evidenc	e	0.76032	
associat	æ	0.758914	
testimor	ny	0.736475	
trial		0.716811	
crime		0.697268	
justices		0.69006	
paranaq	ue	0.680054	
inconsis	stencies	0.643145	
appeals		0.607427	
sister		0.599042	
ruling		0.591526	
dna		0.581791	
midas		0.560098	
released	l	0.551964	
decisior	1	0.547805	
prove		0.54019	
witness		0.53984	
	Hubert Web	b	
senator		0.744941	
father		0.652629	
son		0.615067	
home		0.602323	
prison		0.582448	
family		0.546761	
day		0.531314	
former		0.50988	
Jessica Alfaro			
positive	identification	0.786539	
credible	witness	0.726663	
court co	ourt	0.709272	
court ap	•	0.678953	
substitu	te witness	0.648001	
lower co	ourt	0.589628	
defense		0.587118	
witness	nbi	0.559133	
nbi asse		0.557574	
trial cou		0.548972	
	testimony	0.506208	
physica	l evidence	0.503106	

Table 8: Vizconde Massacre: 6 Months After Crime Investigation		
national	0.768269	
investigation	0.725393	
secretary	0.676848	
bureau	0.668087	
period	0.651219	
suspects	0.610525	
country	0.589908	
crime	0.577354	
reinvestigation	0.565068	
nbi	0.544061	
evidence	0.512984	
file	0.502901	
Vizconde Fam	ily	
friends	0.750561	
people	0.746146	
family	0.66433	
wife	0.660527	
paraaque	0.630516	
involved	0.621915	
homes	0.618088	
told	0.607636	
supposed	0.596577	
murders	0.525381	
witness	0.511999	
daughters	0.502754	
Failure of Prosec	ution	
co accused	0.715868	
corroborated testimony	0.697234	
period apply	0.697234	
testimony witness	0.697234	
national police	0.6949	
defense alibi	0.66142	
time crime	0.66142	
charges filed	0.630004	
crime happened	0.630004	
failed establish	0.630004	
police pnp	0.630004	
prescriptive period	0.615335	
prove guilt	0.592484	
based testimony	0.53435	
acquitted supreme	0.520877	
crime evidence	0.520877	
file charges	0.520877	

nent when there is a particularly striking occurence within the case events - such as Emily Doe's letter in the Stanford rape case leading to the topic "rape event" and the words "victim", "woman" and "unconscious woman" being more prominent in the discourse; while the Vizconde Family's remembrance mass in the Vizconde massacre case lead to people discussing the topic "Vizconde family". Thus, it can be said that victims have a time-dependent role in the conversation space. Societal discussions, on the other hand, appear inconsistent as well - with topics such as "cultural discussion" or "perceived injustice" not being as prominent.

Even in two different contexts- in two different cultures and two different times -patterns in gender violence discourse appear to be similar - both focusing on institutions and perpetrators more than on victims and society. This opens up further questions still in terms of how much more understanding or progress still needs to be made in terms of how cases such as these are discussed, and if these kinds of attitudes towards discussions on gender violence exist across various cultures. In any case, for both events that were studied for this research, it appears that media discourse remains somewhat silent when it comes to analyzing societal culture; as a result, victims may still find themselves in the background of their own injustice.

References

- Michele Black, Kathleen Basile, Matthew Breiding, and Sharon Smith. National intimate partner and sexual violence survey: 2010 summary report, November 2011. (Accessed on 07/10/2016).
- Judith Butler. Performative acts and gender constitution: An essay in phenomenology and feminist theory. *Theatre Journal*, 40(4):519–531, 1988.
- Louise du Toit. 5 how not to give rape political significance. *Confronting Global Gender Justice: Womens Lives, Human Rights*, page 85, 2010.
- Bettina Frese, Miguel Moya, and Jesús L. Megías. Social perception of rape. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 19(2):143–161, feb 2004.
- Barbara Hudson. Restorative justice and gendered violence: Diversion or effective justice? *The British Journal of Criminology*, 42(3):616–634, 2002.
- Niamh Joyce-Wojtas and Marie Keenan. Is restorative justice for sexual crime compatible with various crim-

inal justice systems? *Contemporary Justice Review*, 19(1):43–68, 2016.

- Daniel H. Kaiser. He said, she said: Rape and gender discourse in early modern russia. *Kritika: Explorations* in Russian and Eurasian History, 3(2):197–216, 2002.
- Ven hwei Lo, Clement Y.K. So, and Guoliang Zhang. The influence of individualism and collectivism on internet pornography exposure, sexual attitudes, and sexual behavior among college students. *Chinese Journal of Communication*, 3(1):10–27, mar 2010.
- Randelle Nixon Kristin Rodier, Michelle Meagher. Cultivating a critical classroom for viewing gendered violence in music video. *Feminist Teacher*, 23(1):63–70, 2012.
- Catherine A MacKinnon. Sexuality, pornography, and method:" pleasure under patriarchy. *Ethics*, 99(2):314–346, 1989.
- Donna Maeda. Transforming the representable: Asian women in anti-trafficking discourse. 2011.
- Tasha A. Menaker and Cortney A. Franklin. Gendered violence and victim blame: subject perceptions of blame and the appropriateness of services for survivors of domestic sex trafficking, sexual assault, and intimate partner violence. *Journal of Crime and Justice*, 38(3):395–413, jan 2015.
- A. Morrison, M. Ellsberg, and S. Bott. Addressing gender-based violence: A critical review of interventions. *The World Bank Research Observer*, 22(1):25– 51, feb 2007.
- Sara Murphy. Traumatizing feminism: Prevention discourse and the subject of sexual violence. *Traumatizing Theory: The Cultural Politics of Affect in and beyond Psychoanalysis*, 2007.
- Dana M. Olwan. Gendered violence, cultural otherness, and honour crimes in canadian national logics. *The Canadian Journal of Sociology / Cahiers canadiens de sociologie*, 38(4):533–556, 2013.
- Laura Sjoberg. Women and the genocidal rape of women. Confronting Global Gender Justice: Womens lives, human rights, page 21, 2010.
- World Health Organization. Who violence against women, January 2016. (Accessed on 07/10/2016).
- Niwako Yamawaki. Differences between japanese and american college students in giving advice about help seeking to rape victims. *The Journal of Social Psychology*, 147(5):511–530, oct 2007.
- Yvonne Yarbro-Bejarano. Diane gamboa's invasion of the snatch the politics and aesthetics of representing gendered violence. *Cultural Critique*, 85:61–83, 2013.