Compiling Bilingual Lexicon Entries From a Non-Parallel English-Chinese Corpus

Pascale Fung¹

Computer Science Department Columbia University New York, NY 10027 pascale@cs.columbia.edu

Abstract

We propose a novel **context heterogeneity** similarity measure between words and their translations in helping to compile bilingual lexicon entries from a non-parallel English-Chinese corpus. Current algorithms for bilingual lexicon compilation rely on occurrence frequencies, length or positional statistics derived from parallel texts. There is little correlation between such statistics of a word and its translation in non-parallel corpora. On the other hand, we suggest that words with productive context in one language translate to words with productive context in another language, and words with rigid context translate into words with rigid context. Context heterogeneity measures how productive the context of a word is in a given domain, independent of its absolute occurrence frequency in the text. Based on this information, we derive statistics of bilingual word pairs from a non-parallel corpus. These statistics can be used to bootstrap a bilingual dictionary compilation algorithm.

1 Introduction

Building a domain-specific bilingual lexicon is one significant component in machine translation and machine-aided translation systems. These terms are often not found in standard dictionaries. Human translators, not being experts in every technical or regional domain, cannot produce their translations effectively. Automatic compilation of such a bilingual lexicon in specific domains is therefore highly desirable.

We present an algorithm in finding word correlation statistics for automatic bilingual lexicon compilation from a non-parallel corpus in Chinese and English. Most previous automatic lexicon compilation techniques require a sentence-aligned clean parallel bilingual corpus (Kupiec 1993; Smadja & McKeown 1994; Kumano & Hirakawa 1994; Dagan *et al.* 1993; Wu & Xia 1994). We have previously shown an algorithm which extracts a bilingual lexicon from *noisy* parallel corpus without sentence alignment (Fung & McKeown 1994; Fung 1995). Although bilingual parallel corpora have been available in recent years, they are still relatively few in comparison to the large amount of monolingual text. Acquiring and processing of parallel corpora are usually labour-intensive and time-consuming. More importantly, the existence of a parallel corpus in a particular domain means *some* translator has translated it, therefore, the bilingual lexicon compiled from such a corpus is at best a reverse engineering of the lexicon this translator used. On the other hand, if we can compile a dictionary of domain-specific words from non-parallel corpora of monolingual texts, the results would be much more meaningful and useful.

¹This research is partly supported by the Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, Hong Kong University of Science and Technology.

As demonstrated in all the bilingual lexicon compilation algorithms, the foremost task is to identify word features which are similar between a word and its translation, yet different between a word and other words which are not its translations. In parallel corpora, this feature could be the positional co-occurrence of a word and its translation in the other language in the same sentences (Kupiec 1993; Smadja & McKeown 1994; Kumano & Hirakawa 1994; Dagan *et al.* 1993; Wu & Xia 1994) or in the same segments (Fung & Church 1994; Fung 1995). In a non-parallel corpus, there is no corresponding sentence or segment pairs, so the co-occurrence feature is not applicable. In Fung & McKeown (1994); Fung (1995), the word feature used was the positional difference vector. Whereas this is more robust than sentence co-occurrence feature, the matching between two positional difference vectors presumes the two texts are rough translations of one anther. Moreover, whereas the occurrence frequency of a word and that of its translation are relatively similar in a parallel corpus, they have little correlation in non-parallel texts. Our task is, therefore, to identify a word feature correlating a pair of words even if they appear in texts which are not translations of each other. This feature should also be language and character set independent, i.e. it should be applicable to pairs of languages very different from each other. We propose that **context heterogeneity** is such a feature.

2 A Non-parallel Corpus of Chinese and English

We use parts of the HKUST English-Chinese Bilingual Corpora for our experiments (Wu 1994), consisting of transcriptions of the Hong Kong Legislative Council debates in both English and Chinese. We use the data from 1988-1992, taking the first 73618 sentences from the English text, and the next 73618 sentences from the Chinese text. There are no overlapping sentences between the texts. The topic of these debates varies though is to some extent confined to the same domain, namely the political and social issues of Hong Kong. Although we select the same number of sentences from each language, there are 22147 unique words from English, and only 7942 unique words from Chinese.

3 Some Linguistic Characteristics of Chinese

We have chosen Chinese and English as the two languages from which we will build a bilingual dictionary. Since these languages are significantly different, we need to develop an algorithm which does not rely on any similarity between the languages, and which can be readily extended to other language pairs.

It is useful to point out some significant differences between Chinese and English in order to help explain the output of our experiments:

- 1 Chinese texts have no word delimiters. It is necessary to perform tokenization on the text by using a Chinese tokenizer. Since the tokenizer is not perfect, the word translation extraction process is affected by this preprocessing.
- 2 Chinese part-of-speech classes are very ambiguous; many words can be both adjective or noun, noun or verb. Many adjectives can also act as adverbs with no morphological change.
- 3 Chinese words have little or no morphological information. There are no inflections for nouns, adjectives or verbs to indicate gender, number, case, tense or person (Xi 1985). There is no capitalization to indicate the beginning of a sentence.
- 4 There are very few function words in Chinese compared to other languages, especially to English. Moreover, function words in Chinese are frequently omitted.

5 A vast number of acronyms are employed in Chinese, which means many single words in Chinese can be translated into compound words in English. Hong Kong Chinese use many terms borrowed from classical Chinese which tend to be more concise. The usage of idioms in Chinese is significantly more frequent than in English.

Points 3,4, and 5 contribute to the fact that the Chinese text of our corpus has fewer unique words than in English.

4 Context Heterogeneity of a Word

Ŧ

In a non-parallel corpus, a domain-specific term and its translation are used in different sentences in the two texts. Take the example of the word *air* in the English text. Its concordance is shown partly in Table 4. It occurred 176 times. Its translation 2π occurred 37 times in the Chinese text and part of its concordance is shown in Table 4. They are used in totally different sentences. Thus, we cannot hope that their occurrence frequencies would correspond to each other in any significant way.

On the other hand, $air/22\pi$ are domain-specific words in the text, meaning something we breathe, as opposed to of some kind of ambiance or attitude. They are used *mostly* in similar *contexts*, as shown in the concordances. If we look at the content word preceding *air* in the concordance, and the content word following it, we notice that *air* is not randomly paired with other words. There are a limited number of word bigrams (x, W) and a limited number of word bigrams (W, y) where W is the word *air*; likewise for 2π . The number of such unique bigrams indicate a degree of heterogeneity of this word in a text in terms of its neighbors.

We define the context heterogeneity vector of a word W to be an ordered pair (x, y) where:

left heterogeneity x	=	$\frac{a}{c};$
right heterogeneity y	=	$\frac{b}{c};$
a	=	number of different types of tokens
		immediately preceding W in the text;
b	=	number of different types of tokens
		immediately following W in the text;
с	=	number of occurrences of W in the text;

The context heterogeneity of any function word, such as *the*, would have x and y values very close to one, since it can be preceded or followed by many different words. On the other hand, the x value of the word *am* is small because it always follows the word I.

We postulate that the context heterogeneity of a given domain-specific word is more similar to that of its translation in another language than that of an unrelated word in the other language, and that this is a more salient feature than their occurrence frequencies in the two texts.

For example, the context heterogeneity of *air* is (119/176, 47/176) = (0.676, 0.267) and the context heterogeneity of its translation in Chinese, 2π is (29/37, 17/37) = (0.784, 0.459). The context heterogeneity of the word $\frac{\pi}{a}$ adjournment, on the other hand, is (37/175, 16/175) = (0.211, 0.091). Notice that although *air* and $\frac{\pi}{a}$ have similar occurrence frequencies, their context heterogeneities have very different

values, indicating that *air* has much more productive context than 休會. On the other hand, 空氣 has more similar context heterogeneity values as those of *air* even though its occurrence frequency in the Chinese text is much lower.

Word		
position	concordance	
in text 1		
8754	people to enjoy fresh	air, exercise, and a complete change of
14329	, is it possible for room	air - conditioners to be provided
14431	houses and institutions. I believe that	air - conditioners
20294	Chicago Expo told people all about	air - conditioning and the 1 9 3 9 Expo in
31780	likely to be attracted to visit Expo by	air would only aggravate the problem .
86604	overnment needs to come out of its old	air - tight armour suit which might serve
102837	the problems of refuse, sewage, polluted	air, noise and chemical
118017	ociety marching parallel with decline our	air and water and general
118113	. It will cover whole spectrum pollution :	air, noise, water and wastes.
119421	KMB is now experimenting with	air - conditioned double - deckers

Table 1: Pa	art of the co	ncordance fo	r air
-------------	---------------	--------------	-------

Table 2: Part of the concordance for air in Chinese

Word		
position	concordance	
in text 2		
32978	上 沒有 免費 東西,即使 我 們 呼 吸	空氣,由於 需要 解決 污染 問題,也 絶 非 免費 的
65488	減低 了 燃 油 含 硫 量 , 從而 大大 提高	空氣 質素 。 這項 措施 旨在 解決 影響 民 居 最
153687	下列 各項 新 措施 : (a) 推 出 兩 條 新	空氣 調節 特 快 巴士 線 , 來 往 九龍
202338	及 公布 有關 本港 使用 無 鉛 汽 油 後	空氣 含 苯 量 資料,以及 會否 採取 管制 措施,
202594	環境保護署 目 前 正 進行 測 量 " 周 圍	空氣 每月 含 苯 量 " , 作為 空氣污染 監察 程序
240355	一些 令人 鼓舞 成績 : - 大大 減輕 了	空氣 污染 程度 ; 一 在 實施 新 廢物
261651	電 工程 師 設計 輸 電 管 , 排 水 , 通 風 及	空氣 調節 等 系統 。 完成 此等 工程
284517	服 務 建議 。 我 提出 建議 包括 改善	空氣 調節 糸統 , 以及 與 小 輪 公司 加強 合作 , 推
284547	鼓勵 乘客 使用 渡輪 和 輕鐵 服 務 。(1)	空氣 調節 不足,尤 其 是 在 夏 天
293127	國際間 所 採用 規定 來 立例 規定	空氣 中 危險 化 學 品 含 量 標準 ?

5 Distance Measure between two Context Heterogeneity Vectors

•

To measure the similarity between two context heterogeneity vectors, we use simple Euclidean distance \mathcal{E} where :

$$\mathcal{E} = \sqrt{(x_1 - x_2)^2 + (y_1 - y_2)^2}$$

The Euclidean distance between *air* and 空氣 is 0.2205 whereas the distance between *air* and 休會 is 0.497. We use the ordered pair based on the assumption that the word order for nouns in English and Chinese are similar most of the times. For example, *air pollution* is translated into 空氣污染.

6 Filtering out Function Words in English

There are many function words in English which do not translate into Chinese. This is because in most Asian languages, there are very few function words compared to Indo-European languages. Function words in Chinese or Japanese are frequently omitted. This partly contributes to the fact that there are far fewer Chinese words than English words in two texts of similar lengths.

Since these functions words such as *the*, *a*, *of* will affect the context heterogeneity of most nouns in English while giving very little information, we filter them out from the English text. This heuristic greatly increased the context heterogeneity values of many nouns. The list of function words filtered out are *the*, *a*, *an*, *this*, *that*, *of*, *by*, *for*, *in*, *to*. This is by no means a complete list of English function words. More vigorous statistical training methods could probably be developed to find out which function words in English have no Chinese correspondences. However, if one uses context heterogeneity in languages having more function words such as French, it is advisable that filtering be carried out on both texts.

7 Experiment 1: Finding Word Translation Candidates

Given the simplicity of our current context heterogeneity measures and the complexity of finding translations from a non-parallel text in which many words will not find their translations, we propose to use context heterogeneity only as a bootstrapping feature in finding a candidate list of translations for a word.

In our first experiment, we hand-compiled a list of 58 word pairs as in Tables 3 and 4 in English and Chinese, and then used 58 by 58 context heterogeneity measures to match them against each other. Note that this list consists of many single character words which have ambiguities in Chinese, English words which should have been part of a compound word, multiple translations of a single word in English, etc. The initial results are revealing as shown by the histograms in Figure 1.



Figure 1: Results of word matching using context heterogeneity

In the left figure, we show that 12 words have their translations in the top 5 candidates. In the right figure, we show the result of filtering out the Chinese genitive 10 from the Chinese texts. In this case, we can see that over 50% of the words found their translation in the top 10 candidates, although it gives fewer words with translations in top 5.

In Sections 7.1 to 7.4, we will discuss the effects of various factors on our results.

English word	Chinese word	possible Chinese POS
Basic	基本法	noun
British	英國	noun-adj
CHIM	詹	ambiguous
CHOW	周	ambiguous
CHOW	淑	ambiguous
China	中國	noun-adj
Committee	委員會	noun
Council	局	ambiguous
Declaration	聲明	noun-verb
Financial	財政	noun-adj
Government	政府	noun-adj
Governor	總督	noun
Hong	香港	proper noun
Kong	香港	proper noun
LAM	林	ambiguous
LAU	劉	proper noun
Law	基本法	noun
Ltd	有限公司	noun
McGREGOR	覺	ambiguous
Mr	議員	noun
October	十月	noun
SECURITY	保安	noun-verb
Second	二讀	noun
TAM	霼	proper noun
TU	杜	ambiguous
WONG	黄	ambiguous
YIU	耀	ambiguous

Table 3:	Test set	words -	part one
Tuolo J.	1000 000	norus	puit one

7.1 Effect of Chinese Tokenization

We used a statistically augmented Chinese tokenizer for finding word boundaries in the Chinese text (Fung & Wu 1994; Wu & Fung 1994). Chinese tokenization is a difficult problem and tokenizers always have errors. Most single Chinese characters can be joined with other character(s) to form different words. So the translation of a single Chinese character is ill-defined. Moreover, in some cases, our Chinese tokenizer groups frequently co-occurring characters into a single word that does not have independent semantic meanings. For example, 條第/-th item, number. In the above cases, the context heterogeneity values of the Chinese

English word	Chinese word	possible Chinese POS
address	施政報告	noun
air	空氣	noun
colleagues	同事	noun
debate	辯論	noun-verb
decisions	領導	noun-verb
development	發展	noun-verb
employers	僱主	noun
employment	僱主	noun
expenditure	開支	noun-verb
figures	數字	noun
growth	增長	noun-verb
incidents	事件	noun
land	公頃	quantifier
land	土地	noun
laws	法例	noun
majority	大多數	noun-adj
proposals	建議	noun-verb
prosperity	繁榮	noun-adj
quality	素	ambiguous
rate	率	ambiguous
relationship	關係	noun
rights	人權(human rights)	noun
risk	險	ambiguous
safety	安全	noun-adj
services	服務	noun-verb
simple	簡單	adj
step	步	ambiguous
targets	目標	noun
tunnels	隧道	noun
vessels	船隻	noun
welfare	社會福利	noun
yesterday	昨天	noun

Table 4: Test set words - part two

translation is not reliable. However, translators would recognize this error readily and would not consider it as a translation candidate.

7.2 Effect of English Compound Words

As we have mentioned, our Chinese text has many acronyms and idioms which were identified by our tokenizer and grouped into a single word. However, the English text did not under go a collocation extraction process. We can use the following heuristic to overcome the problem:

For a given word W_i in a trigram of (W_{i-1}, W_i, W_{i+1}) with context heterogeneity (x, y):

Using this method, we have improved the context heterogeneity scores of 人權/human rights, 基本法 /Basic Law, ,二讀/Second Reading and 香港/Hong Kong.

7.3 Effect of Words with Multiple Functions

As mentioned earlier, many Chinese words have multiple part-of-speech tags such as the Chinese for *declaration/declare, development/developing, adjourned/adjournment*, or *expenditure/spend*. Therefore these words have one-to-many mappings with English words.

We could use part-of-speech taggers to label these words with different classes, effectively treating them as different words.

Another way to reduce one-to-many mapping between Chinese and English words could be to use a morphological analyzer in English to map all English words of the same roots with different case, gender, tense, number, capitalization to a single word type.

7.4 Effect of Word Order

We had assumed that the trigram word order in Chinese and English are similar. Yet in a non-parallel text, nouns can appear either before a verb or after, as a subject or an object and thus, it is conceivable that we should relax the distance measure to be:

$$\mathcal{E} = \sqrt{(x_1 - x_2)^2 + (y_1 - y_2)^2 + (x_1 - y_2)^2 + (y_1 - x_2)^2}$$

We applied this measure and indeed improved on the scores for nouns such as vessels, Government, employers, debate, prosperity. In some other languages such as French and English, word order for trigrams containing nouns could be reversed most of the time. For example, *air pollution* would be translated into pollution d'air. For adjective-noun pairs, Chinese, English and even Japanese share similar orders, whereas French has adjective-noun pairs in the reverse order most of the time. So when we apply context heterogeneity measures to word pairs in English and French, we might map the left heterogeneity in English to the right heterogeneity in French, and vice versa.

8 Experiment 2: Finding the Word Translation Among a Cluster of Words

The above experiment showed to some extent the clustering ability of context heterogeneity. To test the discriminative ability of this feature, we choose two clusters of known English and Chinese word pairs *debate*/辯論. We obtained a cluster of Chinese words centered around 辯論 by applying the Kvec segment co-occurrence score (Fung & Church 1994) on the Chinese text with itself. The Kvec algorithm was previously used to find co-occurring bilingual word pairs with many candidates. In our experiment, the co-occurrence happens within the same text, and therefore we got a candidate list for 辯論 that is a cluster of words similar

to it in terms of occurrence measure. This cluster was proposed as a candidate translation list for *debate*. We applied context heterogeneity measures between *debate* and the Chinese word list, with the result shown in Table 5 with the best translation at the top.

0.117371	debate	觸/*
0.149207	debate	月十/*
0.155897	debate	辯論/debate
0.158305	debate	恢復/resumption
0.185699	debate	休會/adjournment
0.200486	debate	委員會審議階段/Amendment stage of the Council
0.233063	debate	月二十/*
0,246826	debate	條第/*
0.255721	debate	於一/*
0.268771	debate	二讀/Second Reading
0.284134	debate	條例草案二讀/Second Reading of the Bill
0.312637	debate	九九/*
0:315210	debate	條例草案二讀動議/moved to Second Reading of the Bill
0.349608	debate	委員會審議/Council Amendment
0:367539	debate	今午/this afternoon
0,376238	debate	這次/this time
0.389296	debate	全局/Council
0.389693	debate	照會議常規第/*
0.403140	debate	獲按/*
0.404000	debate	條例草案經過二讀/Second Reading of the Bill passed

	Table 5:	Sorted	candidate	list	for	debate
--	----------	--------	-----------	------	-----	--------

The asterisks in Table 5 indicate tokenizer error. The correct translation is the third candidate. Although we cannot say at this point that this result is significant, it is to some extent encouraging.

It is interesting to note that if we applied the same Kvec algorithm to the English part of the text, we would get a cluster of English words which contain individual translations to some of the words in the Chinese cluster. This shows that co-occurrence measure can give similar clusters of words in different languages from non-parallel texts.

9 Non-parallel Corpora Need to be Larger than Parallel Corpora

Among the 58 words we selected, there is one word *service* which occurred 926 times in the English text, but failed to appear even once in the Chinese text (presumably the Legco debate focused more on the issue of various public and legal *services* in Hong Kong during the 1988-90 time frame than later during 1991-92. And in English they frequently accuse each other of paying lip *service* to various issues). We expect there would be a great number of words which simply do not have their translations in the other text. Words which occur very few times also have unreliable context heterogeneity. A logical way to cope with such sparse data problem is to use *larger* non-parallel corpora. Our texts each have about 3 million words, which is much smaller than the parallel Canadian Hansard used for the same purposes. Because it was divided into two parts to form a non-parallel corpus, it is also half in size to the parallel corpus used for word alignment (Wu

& Xia 1994). With a larger corpus, there will be more *source* words in the vocabulary for us to translate, and more *target* candidates to choose from.

10 Future Work

We have explained that there are various immediate ways to improve context heterogeneity measures by including more linguistic information about Chinese and English such as word class correspondence and word order correspondence, as well as by using a larger context window. Meanwhile, much larger non-parallel corpora are needed for compilation of bilingual lexicons. We are currently experimenting on using some other similarity measures between word pairs from non-parallel corpora. We plan eventually to incorporate context heterogeneity measures and other word pair similarity measures into bilingual lexicon learning paradigms.

11 Conclusion

We have shown the existence of statistical correlations between words and their translations even in a nonparallel corpus. Context heterogeneity is such a correlation feature. We have shown initial results of matching words with their translations in a English-Chinese non-parallel corpus by using context heterogeneity measures. Context heterogeneity can be used both as a clustering measure and a discrimination measure. Given two corresponding clusters of words from the corpus, context heterogeneity could be used to further divide and refine the clusters into few candidate translation words for a given word. Its results can be used to bootstrap or refine a bilingual lexicon compilation algorithm.

12 Acknowledgment

I wish to thank Kathleen McKeown and Ken Church for their advice and support, and AT&T Bell Laboratories for use of software and equipments.

References

- DAGAN, IDO, KENNETH W. CHURCH, & WILLIAM A. GALE. 1993. Robust bilingual word alignment for machine aided translation. In *Proceedings of the Workshop on Very Large Corpora: Academic and Industrial Perspectives*, 1–8, Columbus, Ohio.
- FUNG, PASCALE. 1995. A pattern matching method for finding noun and proper noun translations from noisy parallel corpora. In *Proceedings of the 33rd Annual Conference of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, Boston, Massachusettes. To appear.
- FUNG, PASCALE & KENNETH CHURCH. 1994. Kvec: A new approach for aligning parallel texts. In *Proceedings of COLING 94*, 1096–1102, Kyoto, Japan.
- FUNG, PASCALE & KATHLEEN MCKEOWN. 1994. Aligning noisy parallel corpora across language groups: Word pair feature matching by dynamic time warping. In *Proceedings of the First Conference of the Association for Machine Translation in the Americas*, 81–88, Columbia, Maryland.
- FUNG, PASCALE & DEKAI WU. 1994. Statistical augmentation of a Chinese machine-readable dictionary. In Proceedings of the 2nd Annual Workshop on Very Large Corpora, Kyoto, Japan.

- KUMANO, AKIRA & HIDEKI HIRAKAWA. 1994. Building an mt dictionary from parallel texts based on linguistic and statistical information. In *Proceedings of the 15th International Conference on Computational Linguistics COLING 94*, 76–81, Kyoto, Japan.
- KUPIEC, JULIAN. 1993. An algorithm for finding noun phrase correspondences in bilingual corpora. In *Proceedings of the 31st Annual Conference of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 17–22, Columbus, Ohio.
- SMADJA, FRANK & KATHLEEN MCKEOWN. 1994. Translating collocations for use in bilingual lexicons. In Proceedings of the ARPA Human Language Technology Workshop 94, Plainsboro, New Jersey.
- WU, DEKAI. 1994. Aligning a parallel English-Chinese corpus statistically with lexical criteria. In Proceedings of the 32nd Annual Conference of the Association for Computational Linguistics, 80–87, Las Cruces, New Mexico.
- WU, DEKAI & PASCALE FUNG. 1994. Improving Chinese tokenization with linguistic filters on statistical lexical acquisition. In *Proceedings of the 4th Conference on Applied Natural Language Processing*, 180–181, Stuttgart, Germany.
- WU, DEKAI & XUANYIN XIA. 1994. Learning an English-Chinese lexicon from a parallel corpus. In *Proceedings of the First Conference of the Association for Machine Translation in the Americas*, 206–213, Columbia, Maryland.
- XI, ZHU DE. 1985. Yu fa da weng discussions on linguistics. Hanyu Zhi Shi Cong Shu. Beijing, China: Shang Wu Yin Shu Guan. In Chinese.