ACL 2014

The Second Workshop on Metaphor in NLP

Proceedings of the Workshop

26 June 2014 Baltimore, MD, USA ©2014 The Association for Computational Linguistics

Order copies of this and other ACL proceedings from:

Association for Computational Linguistics (ACL) 209 N. Eighth Street Stroudsburg, PA 18360 USA Tel: +1-570-476-8006 Fax: +1-570-476-0860 acl@aclweb.org

ISBN 978-1-941643-08-2

Introduction

Characteristic to all areas of human activity (from poetic to ordinary to scientific) and, thus, to all types of discourse, metaphor becomes an important problem for natural language processing. Its ubiquity in language has been established in a number of corpus studies and the role it plays in human reasoning has been confirmed in psychological experiments. This makes metaphor an important research area for computational and cognitive linguistics, and its automatic identification and interpretation indispensable for any semantics-oriented NLP application.

The work on metaphor in NLP and AI started in the 1980s, providing us with a wealth of ideas on the structure and mechanisms of the phenomenon. The last decade witnessed a technological leap in natural language computation, whereby manually crafted rules gradually give way to more robust corpus-based statistical methods. This is also the case for metaphor research. In the recent years, the problem of metaphor modeling has been steadily gaining interest within the NLP community, with a growing number of approaches exploiting statistical techniques. Compared to more traditional approaches based on hand-coded knowledge, these more recent methods tend to have a wider coverage, as well as be more efficient, accurate and robust. However, even the statistical metaphor processing approaches so far often focused on a limited domain or a subset of phenomena. At the same time, recent work on computational lexical semantics and lexical acquisition techniques, as well as a wide range of NLP methods applying machine learning to open-domain semantic tasks, open many new avenues for creation of large-scale robust tools for recognition and interpretation of metaphor.

This year's workshop is the second workshop focused on modeling of metaphor using NLP techniques, following the first workshop held at NAACL 2013. The 2013 workshop turned out to be a popular event, with 28 registered participants and more people in attendance. In 2013, accepted papers dealt with metaphor annotation, features for metaphor identification, and with generalization of the techniques across languages. These themes continue to be represented in this year's workshop, along with additional foci on interpretation, applications, and relationships with related phenomena. We received 11 submissions and accepted 7, based on detailed and careful reviews by members of the Program Committee.

Two of the accepted papers deal with aspects of interpretation, such as the affect carried by the metaphor (Strzalkowski et al) and the underlying plausible reasoning mechanisms such as abduction (Ovchinnikova et al). Another theme is the application of metaphor to support creative exploration of language and ideas through a dedicated web service (Veale). Additional papers address in depth issues that are known to bear on the phenomenon of metaphor, such as abstractness and topicality. Dunn analyzes different kinds of abstractness and their relation to metaphoricity. Beigman Klebanov et al and Schulder and Hovy address the relationship between metaphor and topic of discussion. At the corpus level, Beigman Klebanov et al show that texts sharing a topic also share a substantial proportion of metaphors. At the level of a single text, Schulder and Hovy show that off-topic words are good candidates for metaphoricity. While previous studies and annotation efforts concentrated mostly on well-edited texts, Jang et al address social media, as well as the gap between metaphor annotations provided by trained annotators and by laypeople on a crowdsourcing website.

Complementing this diverse technical program, the workshop also features two invited talks. Dr. Brad Pasanek, an Assistant Professor in the English department at the University of Virginia, begins the talks at this year's workshop. Dr. Pasanek has collected, curated and analyzed a large collection of metaphors of mind used in 18th century British poetry; his book on the subject is forthcoming from Johns Hopkins University Press. His quantitative analysis of metaphor use by various authors provides a historical perspective on the notions of conventionality, novelty, and change in metaphor. In the neoclassical poetic tradition, the main virtue of a metaphor was not a strikingly fresh and original turn of thought (a property

that is often stereotypically associated with poetic metaphor), but rather its ability to express a common thought in a particularly apt fashion ("what oft was thought, but ne'er so well expressed", to quote Alexander Pope). The idea of metaphors being in alignment with common ways of thinking while at the same time being noticeably different expressions of these thoughts has a complex and interesting relationship with the contemporary theories of conceptual metaphor.

Dr. Rebecca Resnik, Director of Mindwell Psychology Bethesda, completes the talks at this year's workshop. Dr. Resnik is a Licensed Psychologist in private practice, specializing in neuropsychological and emotional assessment of children and adults, as well as psychotherapy. The way people describe their experiences represents a pattern recognition task for clinicians, one that is at times enshrined in assessment tools (e.g., the Vanderbilt scale that asks if a child appears to be "driven by a motor"). The use of metaphor, for instance in identifying cognitive distortions and automatic negative thoughts, holds much interest for the clinical community. In exploring the relationship between metaphor and clinical diagnosis, Dr. Resnik offers a unique outlook on potential applications of metaphor-related technology.

Adjourning the workshop, a panel discussion is held to help elucidate the goals and directions of further research on metaphor in NLP. Panelists include Prof. Jerry Hobbs, University of Southern California and Dr. Tony Veale, University College Dublin.

We wish to thank everyone who showed interest and submitted a paper, all of the authors for their contributions, the members of the Program Committee for their thoughtful reviews, the invited speaker and panelists for sharing their perspectives on the topic, and all the attendees of the workshop. All of these factors contribute to a truly enriching event!

Workshop co-chairs: Beata Beigman Klebanov, Educational Testing Service, USA Ekaterina Shutova, University of California at Berkeley, USA Patricia Lichtenstein, University of California at Merced, USA

Organizers:

Beata Beigman Klebanov, Educational Testing Service, USA Ekaterina Shutova, University of California at Berkeley, USA Patricia Lichtenstein, University of California at Merced, USA

Program Committee:

Yulia Badryzlova, Urals State Pedagogical University, Russia John Barnden, University of Birmingham, UK Danushka Bollegala, University of Tokyo, Japan Ted Briscoe, University of Cambridge, UK Stephen Clark, University of Cambridge, UK Paul Cook, University of Melbourne, Australia Gerard de Melo, University of California at Berkeley, USA Jonathan Dunn, Illinois Institute of Technology, USA Anna Feldman, Montclair State University, USA Jerry Feldman, University of California at Berkeley, USA Michael Flor, Educational Testing Service, USA Yanfen Hao, Electronics Industry Research Institute, China Eduard Hovy, Carnegie Mellon University, USA Alexander Koller, University of Potsdam, Germany Valia Kordoni, Humboldt University, Germany Mark Lee, University of Birmingham, UK Annie Louis, University of Edinburgh, UK Katja Markert, University of Leeds, UK James H. Martin, University of Colorado at Boulder, USA Yusuke Miyao, National Institute of Informatics, Japan Saif Mohammad, National Research Council Canada, Canada Behrang Mohit, Carnegie Mellon University in Qatar, Qatar Preslav Nakov, University of California at Berkeley, USA Srini Narayanan, University of California at Berkeley, USA Ani Nenkova, University of Pennsylvania, USA Yair Neuman, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Israel Malvina Nissim, University of Bologna, Italy Thierry Poibeau, Ecole Normale Superieure and CNRS, France Antonio Reyes, Instituto Superior de Iterpretes y Traductores, Mexico Paolo Rosso, Universidad Politécnica de Valencia, Spain Eyal Sagi, Northwestern University, USA Sabine Schulte im Walde, Stuttgart University, Germany Diarmuid Ó Séaghdha, University of Cambridge, UK Caroline Sporleder, Saarland University, Germany Mark Steedman, University of Edinburgh, UK Gerard Steen, VU University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands Mark Stevenson, University of Sheffield, UK Carlo Strapparava, Fondazione Bruno Kessler, Italy Tomek Strzalkowski, State University of New York at Albany, USA Marc Tomlinson, LCC, USA Oren Tsur, Hebrew University, Israel Peter Turney, National Research Council Canada, Canada Tony Veale, University College Dublin, Ireland Aline Villavicencio, Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil and MIT, USA Andreas Vlachos, University of Cambridge, UK Janyce Wiebe, University of Pittsburgh, USA

Invited Speakers:

Brad Pasanek, University of Virginia, USA Rebecca Resnik, Mindwell Psychology, USA

Panelists:

Jerry Hobbs, University of Southern California, USA Tony Veale, University College Dublin, Ireland

Table of Contents

Conversational Metaphors in Use: Exploring the Contrast between Technical and Everyday Notions of Metaphor Hyeju Jang, Mario Piergallini, Miaomiao Wen and Carolyn Rose
Different Texts, Same Metaphors: Unigrams and Beyond Beata Beigman Klebanov, Chee Wee Leong, Michael Heilman and Michael Flor
Metaphor Detection through Term Relevance Marc Schulder and Eduard Hovy 18
Multi-dimensional abstractness in cross-domain mappings Jonathan Dunn 27
Abductive Inference for Interpretation of Metaphors Ekaterina Ovchinnikova, Ross Israel, Suzanne Wertheim, Vladimir Zaytsev, Niloofar Montazeri and Jerry Hobbs
Computing Affect in Metaphors Tomek Strzalkowski, Samira Shaikh, Kit Cho, George Aaron Broadwell, Laurie Feldman, Sarah Taylor, Boris Yamrom, Ting Liu, Ignacio Cases, Yuliya Peshkova and Kyle Elliot
A Service-Oriented Architecture for Metaphor Processing Tony Veale

Conference Program

Thursday, June 26, 2014

9:00–9:05	Opening Remarks
9:05–10:00	Invited talk: Brad Pasanek "Giving Back the Image of the Mind: Computational Approaches to 'Propriety' in Eighteenth-Century British Literature"
10:00-10:30	Conversational Metaphors in Use: Exploring the Contrast between Technical and Everyday Notions of Metaphor Hyeju Jang, Mario Piergallini, Miaomiao Wen and Carolyn Rose
10:30-11:00	Coffee Break
11:00–11:30	<i>Different Texts, Same Metaphors: Unigrams and Beyond</i> Beata Beigman Klebanov, Chee Wee Leong, Michael Heilman and Michael Flor
11:30-12:00	<i>Metaphor Detection through Term Relevance</i> Marc Schulder and Eduard Hovy
12:00-12:30	<i>Multi-dimensional abstractness in cross-domain mappings</i> Jonathan Dunn

Thursday, June 26, 2014 (continued)

- 12:30-14:00 Lunch
- 14:00–14:30 Abductive Inference for Interpretation of Metaphors
 Ekaterina Ovchinnikova, Ross Israel, Suzanne Wertheim, Vladimir Zaytsev, Niloofar Montazeri and Jerry Hobbs
- 14:30–15:00 *Computing Affect in Metaphors* Tomek Strzalkowski, Samira Shaikh, Kit Cho, George Aaron Broadwell, Laurie Feldman, Sarah Taylor, Boris Yamrom, Ting Liu, Ignacio Cases, Yuliya Peshkova and Kyle Elliot
- 15:00–15:30 *A Service-Oriented Architecture for Metaphor Processing* Tony Veale
- 15:30–15:45 Coffee Break
- 15:45–16:30 Invited talk: Rebecca Resnik "Pandora's Box: Uses of metaphor in clinical psychology and computational linguistics"
- 16:30–17:30 Panel discussion: "Metaphors We Work On: Goals, Trajectories and Applications"