## GraphRAG Analysis for Financial Narrative Summarization and A Framework for Optimizing Domain Adaptation

Neelesh K Shukla, Prabhat Prabhakar, Sakthivel Thangaraj, Sandeep Singh, Weiyi Sun, C Prasanna Venkatesan, Viji Krishnamurthy

OCI Generative AI Services, Oracle Corporation

Correspondence: neelesh.kumar.shukla@oracle.com

#### Abstract

Large Language Models (LLMs) have shown promise in summarizing complex documents, but their limitations in handling lengthy documents and capturing global information hinder their performance in tasks like Query-Focused Summarization (QFS). To address these limitations, we explore GraphRAG, a retrievalaugmented generation approach that utilizes a globally summarized knowledge graph derived from an LLM. We apply GraphRAG to the Financial Narrative Summarization (FNS) dataset, which consists of lengthy financial reports. Our results show that a naive RAG approach outperforms GraphRAG in terms of comprehensiveness, directness, conciseness and completeness. However, we demonstrate that optimizing entity and relation extraction using an LLM as an optimizer can enhance GraphRAG's performance. Our study highlights the need for domain-specific optimization to improve GraphRAG's capabilities for summarization tasks in facts-heavy domains like finance. We propose an optimization framework that extends GraphRAG's original domain adaptation strategy by incorporating entity and relations optimization, leading to improved performance in capturing relevant entities and relationships. Our findings contribute to the development of more effective summarization models for complex documents in finance and other domains.

#### 1 Introduction

Large Language Models (LLMs) have shown promise in analyzing complex documents and generating summaries, but they face significant challenges in summarizing lengthy documents due to restrictions on their context windows. The expansion of such windows may not be enough given that information can be "lost in the middle" of longer contexts (Liu et al., 2024). Retrieval-augmented generation (RAG) (Lewis et al., 2020) is a method that can overcome these limitations, but it struggles with capturing global information and addressing global queries, such as 'What are the main themes in the dataset?' This limitation, particularly its inability to effectively capture global information hinders its performance in tasks such as Query-Focused Summarization (QFS), where a broader understanding of the data is necessary (Peng et al., 2024). To address these limitations, an approach called GraphRAG (Edge et al., 2024) has been proposed, which utilizes a globally summarized knowledge graph derived from an LLM to unlock LLM discovery on narrative private data<sup>1</sup>. Building on this work, our research efforts focus on two key areas:

Our work is mainly directed towards two key areas:

· Analysis of GraphRAG on financial narratives: Previous research has explored the effectiveness of GraphRAG on datasets comprising podcast transcripts and news articles (Edge et al., 2024). We aim to broaden the scope by investigating the effectiveness of GraphRAG-based query-focused summarization in fact-rich domains like finance. Specifically, we apply GraphRAG to the Financial Narrative Summarization (FNS) shared task (Zavitsanos et al., 2023) which involves summarizing lengthy financial documents, such as annual reports around narrative sections. This makes this an ideal case study for the GraphRAG approach. The complexity of financial reports, characterized by technical terminology, numerical data, and domainspecific jargon, presents an ideal test case for GraphRAG's capabilities. To our knowledge, this study is the first to explore the application of GraphRAG to the FNS dataset, providing

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>https://www.microsoft.com/en-

us/research/blog/graphrag-unlocking-llm-discovery-onnarrative-private-data



Figure 1: Example of Entity and Relationship Extraction Prompt

new insights into the model's performance in this challenging domain.

• **Optimizing domain adaptation:** We propose an optimization framework to enhance the performance of GraphRAG by incorporating entity and relation optimization. This framework ensures better alignment between ground-truth summaries and generated summaries with respect to an objective function, using an LLM as an optimizer.

## 2 Overview of Financial Narrative Summarization 2023 Dataset

The FNS 2023 task dataset<sup>2</sup> has been extracted from annual financial reports in PDF file format. The reports were written in English, Spanish, and Greek. For the dataset compilation, two to three people had to work for each language. For this work, we utilized English dataset which contains approximately 4,000 UK annual reports for firms listed on LSE, covering the period between 2002 and 2022 (El-Haj et al., 2014; El-Haj et al., 2022). In total, there are 4,013 annual reports divided into training, testing, and validation sets. Table 1 shows the dataset details.

| Data Type        | Train  | Validation |
|------------------|--------|------------|
| Report Full-Text | 3050   | 413        |
| Gold Summaries   | 10.007 | 1383       |

Table 1: FNS 2023 Shared Task English Dataset

# **3** Background of GraphRAG and It's Domain Adaptation

### 3.1 Default GraphRAG

GraphRAG employs large language models (LLMs) to construct a detailed knowledge graph that captures entities and their relationships from a collection of text documents. This graph allows GraphRAG to utilize the semantic structure of the data to respond to complex queries, offering a broad contextual understanding. The process of creating this graph, known as indexing, involves guiding an LLM through the source content using domain-specific prompts. The LLM extracts relevant entities and relationships to form the graph. Key prompts used during the indexing process include: A) Entity and relationship extraction: Identifies entities and defines the relationships between them. B) Entity and relationship summarization: Merges instances of entities and relationships into a concise description. C) Community report generation: Provides summary reports for each community within the graph. These steps enable GraphRAG to efficiently organize and lever-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>http://wp.lancs.ac.uk/cfie/fns2023/



Figure 2: Domain Adaptation Flow: GraphRAG's Auto-Tuning Process (Blue) and Our Optimized Auto-Tuning Approach Utilizing LLM as an Optimizer (Red)

age the extracted knowledge for enhanced query responses<sup>3</sup>.

We will compare Default GraphRAG with Naive RAG, a basic version that chunks the documents in fixed sizes and indexes, then uses cosine similarity to retrieve relevant chunks which combined with the original prompt to generate a response via an LLM.

## 3.2 GraphRAG's Approach for Domain Adaptation

Each domain possesses unique entity and relationship types, rendering manual prompt creation a time-intensive process. To address this, the GraphRAG team developed an automated tool for generating and refining domain-specific prompts efficiently. Consider the example of auto-tuning prompt for 'Entity and Relationship Extraction'. This prompt incorporates essential components: entity and relationship extraction instructions, fewshot examples, real data placeholders. An example is illustrated in Figure 1. The flow, illustrated in 'Blue' in Figure 2 demonstrates this approach. To begin, a sample of the source content is provided to the language model (LLM) to identify the domain and define a suitable persona. This persona is subsequently used in the Entity Type Generation Prompt to determine entity types relevant to the identified

domain. Next, these domain-specific entity types are input into the 'Entity Relationship Example Generation' prompt to generate representative examples of relationships among entities within the domain. Finally, the extracted entity types and relationship examples are combined to construct a comprehensive 'Entity and Relationship Extraction' prompt. This prompt is employed by a Graph Generator LLM to extract entities and their relationships from any given text. The following are the entity types identified using this methodology. **Entity Types:** [organization, market, location, financial metric, product, time]

## 4 Optimizing Domain-Adaptation: Integrating LLM-as Optimizer and Ground Truth Summaries

The sole dependence on domain knowledge and persona-based methods for entity type identification is inadequate in capturing the dynamic nature of real-world data. While domain knowledge offers a baseline understanding and persona customization improves prompt design, these static strategies fall short in accommodating the intricate relationships and variations inherent in diverse datasets. To overcome this limitation, we propose an approach that integrates GraphRAG's domain adaptation with training data, leveraging ground truth summaries to enhance entity type recognition for enhanced domain-adaptation. Our proposed

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>https://www.microsoft.com/en-

us/research/blog/graphrag-unlocking-llm-discovery-onnarrative-private-data/

method employs Large Language Models (LLMs) as optimizer, framing the optimization task through natural language instructions. This enables the dynamic refinement of prompts, adapting them to the specific context and data nuances. By integrating this optimization process, we aim to achieve a more accurate and adaptable entity type identification.

Prompt for Optimizer LLM is designed in a three-step manner:

- **Comparison and Error Identification:** Similar to traditional machine learning, Optimizer LLM is asked compare the golden summary (actual output) with the generated summary (predicted output) to identify missing entities, relationships, or facts.
- Objective Function and Analysis: In this step, the objective of Optimizer LLM is to analyze the identified errors with focus on improving specific metrics, such as comprehensiveness, directness, completeness, and conciseness.
- **Instruction Generation:** Based on the analysis and insights from the previous step, Optimizer LLM is asked to generate instructions to enhance the entity relation extraction process in subsequent iterations, thereby leading to improved summary generation.

The optimizer prompt and objective function employed are as follows:

#### **Optimizer Prompt and Objective Function:**

- Compare the GENERATED\_SUMMARY with the GOLDEN\_SUMMARY to assess how well the entities, and relationships were extracted and captured.
- Determine why these entities or relationships might have been missed.
- Make modifications to the EN-TITY\_TYPES\_PROMPT that would improve the extraction of entities and relationships in the next iteration, based on the optimisation metrics provided (Comprehensiveness, Directness, Completeness, and Conciseness)

As depicted in Figure 2, in 'Red', this iterative process begins with the Generator LLM producing summaries based on <Report Chunks, Ground Summaries>, utilizing original GraphRAG's domain adaptation technique described in Section 3.2. Subsequently, the Optimizer LLM, guided by an outlined optimizer prompt and objective function, evaluates the generated summaries against ground truth, iteratively generating recommendations to improve 'Entity Type Generation Prompt' to align generated entities and relationship with the specified objectives. This adaptive approach ensures a continuous improvement in entity type identification, leading to enhanced precision and an increased alignment with domain-specific objectives.

Below are the Optimizer LLM's final suggestions for refining the prompt, along with the identified entity types:

#### **Recommendations for prompt refinement:**

To improve the entity extraction prompt, I suggest modifying the ENTITY\_TYPES\_PROMPT

- The user's task is to analyze the financial report and extract relevant entities and relationships.
- To include more specific entity types relevant to the task such as company, acquisition, financial metric, product, location, CEO, division, market, revenue, operating profit, cash generation, ROCE, health and safety, footprint, integration
- Change task in Real data section as: REAL DATA: Task: Analyze the financial report and extract relevant entities and relationships.

**Entity Types:** [organization, financial\_report, metric, location, person, date, investment, revenue, customer, product, website, property, brand, safety, certification, acquisition, debt, employee, factory, construction, asset, cost, strategy, cash, dividend, drilling, committee, principle, environmental\_impact, growth, appointment, performance, acquisition, sales]

#### **5** Experimental Setup

Instead of summarizing the complete report, the FNS task requires locating key narrative sections found in the annual reports and generate a single structured summary for them in not more than 1000 words (Figure 3). We utilized DiMSum (Shukla et al., 2022) for narrative section identification and extraction . This system was the top performer in the FNS 2023 task (Zavitsanos et al., 2023).



Figure 3: Two step summarization

#### 5.1 Query

To evaluate the effectiveness of RAG systems on FNS task, we formed the query that convey the task requirement and only a high-level understanding of dataset contents.

**Query:** Please extract narrative summary of [COMPANY\_NAME]'s annual financial report in not more than 1000 words.

#### 5.2 Evaluation Metrics

Large Language Models (LLMs) have been shown to be effective in evaluating natural language generation, achieving results comparable to human judgments (Wang et al., 2023; Zheng et al., 2024). To assess the quality of generated text, we employed four metrics that utilize LLMs as evaluators.

For direct comparison, we adapted two metrics from GraphRAG for FNS task:

- *Comprehensiveness:* Does the system summary adequately cover all relevant details found in the human summaries? Evaluate how well it captures the breadth and depth of key information.
- *Directness:* How concise and straightforward is the system summary? Assess the extent to which it clearly and effectively distills the essential points from the human summaries without unnecessary complexity.

Additionally, we used FineSurE (Song et al., 2024), a fine-grained summarization evaluation approach that leverages LLMs to evaluate summary quality at a detailed level. This method identifies key facts utilizing LLMs, which are concise sentences conveying a single piece of information (Bhandari et al., 2020), and evaluates summaries based on two metrics:

- *Conciseness:* Avoiding unnecessary details. Interpreted as precision of Key Facts.
- *Completeness:* Encompassing the majority of key facts in the summary. Interpreted as Recall of Key Facts.

#### 5.3 Configurations

GraphRAG is designed to use Microsoft Supported LLMs and Embedding (OpenAI Models). In our experiments, we employ Ollama's Mistral-7B LLM<sup>4</sup> and Nomic-Embed-Text<sup>5</sup> embedding model due to limited access to Microsoft's models. Consistent settings is applied across all experiments: chunk size (1200), overlap (100), and summary length (1000). ChromaDB is used as vector store in NaiveRAG. For domain-adaptation, taking advantage of Llama3.1-405B's larger context window and expanded parameter set, it is used for generating various prompts and LLM as an optimizer, as depicted in Figure 2. System performance is evaluated by a Judge LLM, Cohere Command  $R+^{6}$ , which is a separate LLM from the generator and optimizer LLM.

### 6 Results and Analysis

Our results (Table 2) reveal that the Naive RAG approach surpasses the GraphRAG on FNS. Appendix A, contains examples of summaries. The key takeaways from the analysis are summarized below.

- Comprehensiveness: Naive RAG provides more comprehensive summaries, capturing key aspects of the financial reports, including financial highlights, performance, strategy, and market trends In contrast Graph RAG's focus on broader themes such as role of employees and the management development program, but omitting detailed financial and strategic insights which may limit its usefulness for stakeholders seeking detailed financial information.
- Directness: Naive RAG exhibits a higher degree of directness, maintaining a tight alignment with the source material and concentrating on key financial metrics, financial performance, strategic initiatives, and outlook. In contrast, Graph RAG tends to deviate from the main theme, emphasizing peripheral aspects such as employee contributions, community dynamics, and external events, rather than providing a straightforward account of financial performance and strategic initiatives, due to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>https://ollama.com/library/mistral

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>https://ollama.com/library/nomic-embed-text

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>https://docs.cohere.com/v2/docs/command-r-plus

| Approach         | Comprehen- | Directness | Complete- | Concise- |
|------------------|------------|------------|-----------|----------|
|                  | siveness   |            | ness      | ness     |
| Default GraphRAG | 57.66      | 67.48      | 5.99      | 18.57    |
| Naive RAG        | 79.81      | 79.79      | 27.18     | 49.53    |

| Approach                     | Comprehen- | Directness | Complete- | Concise- |
|------------------------------|------------|------------|-----------|----------|
|                              | siveness   |            | ness      | ness     |
| Default GraphRAG             | 57.66      | 67.48      | 5.99      | 18.57    |
| GraphRAG's Domain Adaptation | 67.81      | 79.69      | 10.43     | 26.06    |
| Optimized Domain Adaptation  | 75.45      | 83.17      | 10.04     | 24       |

Table 2: Comparison of NaiveRAG vs GraphRAG on Validation Dataset

Table 3: GraphRAG Domain Adaptation Results: Comparison of GraphRAG's Domain Adaptation vs. Our Optimized Domain Adaptation on Validation Dataset.

its prioritization of entity relationships that can introduce tangential information.

- Completeness: The Naive RAG approach achieves a high degree of completeness, successfully extracting key financial metrics, strategic information, and important business details from the input text. In contrast, the Graph RAG approach falls short, frequently omitting crucial metrics and details that are present in the reference summaries. This disparity in performance is attributed to the limitations of the graph-based approach, specifically its structure and entity list, which hinder its capacity to thoroughly retrieve relevant information, ultimately leading to less comprehensive summaries.
- Conciseness: Our evaluation reveals that Naive RAG generates concise summaries, effectively balancing brevity and informativeness by focusing on key financial figures and insights without unnecessary elaborations. In contrast, Graph RAG sometimes includes irrelevant or overly abstract information, reducing its precision and conciseness. Specifically, it occasionally introduces extraneous concepts and details not directly related to the main topic of the financial report, detracting from the summary's focus.

Our optimized domain adaptation approach enhanced Graph RAG's ability to generate more accurate and detailed summaries by embedding enriched entity relationships as context. As shown in Appendix A (Table 6, 7), summaries contains relevant entities like revenue, net income, and operating expenses, resulting in a more comprehensive summary. The broader entity list improved coverage of key financial and operational concepts, while entity relationships provided deeper insights into interconnected financial details. The expanded graph structure included both strategic and granular financial metrics. Our results (Table 3) demonstrate improvements in comprehensiveness and directness, reflecting the better capture of relevant entities.

Despite the optimization, Naive RAG still outperforms GraphRAG (Table 2,3). The Naive RAG technique achieves a highly relevant summary by directly integrating information from the source document, effectively capturing key financial metrics and contextual elements. Unlike GraphRAG, Naive RAG successfully identifies critical aspects such as acquisition targets, executive leadership changes, and the impact of external factors like Brexit. This direct integration results in summaries that align closely with ground-truth references.

In contrast, while entity recognition is improved, GraphRAG's ability to extract all relevant entities and establish detailed relationships remains limited. This constraint hampers its capacity to construct a comprehensive knowledge graph. By prioritizing relational and community-level summarization, GraphRAG often sacrifices critical details, leading to summaries that are high-level and less informative. For example, it mentions growth trends without providing comparative figures and references acquisitions without specifying details. Furthermore, it omits external contextual factors, such as Brexit, which are essential for a nuanced analysis.

#### 7 Conclusion and Future Work

In conclusion, our study reveals that Naive RAG outperforms Graph RAG in extracting actionable

insights from financial metrics, strategies, and market trends, as the latter's reliance on identified entities can lead to off-topic deviations. Nevertheless, Graph RAG shows potential by harnessing the power of training data and Large Language Models (LLMs) as optimizers, which successfully align entities and relationships to produce relevant objective summaries. Notably, our findings suggest that the incorporation of an entity-relationship graph does not necessarily guarantee superior content summaries. Future studies would benefit from incorporating metrics to assess the accuracy of extracted entities and relationships, offering insights into GraphRAG's potential in this domain. Exploring methods to construct graphs based on thematic elements, such as revenue performance variations, and generating subgraphs for each theme, could further enhance GraphRAG's capabilities. Thematic summaries can be employed to identify relevant entities and relationships tied to specific themes, improving the graph's alignment with the narrative content. Our findings underscore the importance of domain-specific optimization to refine GraphRAG for summarization tasks in data-intensive sectors like finance.

#### **Ethical Considerations**

This research emphasizes ethical considerations by basing all claims on experimental results, ensuring transparent documentation of methodologies, and sourcing datasets ethically with the necessary permissions.

#### References

- Manik Bhandari, Pranav Narayan Gour, Atabak Ashfaq, Pengfei Liu, and Graham Neubig. 2020. Reevaluating evaluation in text summarization. In *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP)*, pages 9347–9359, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Darren Edge, Ha Trinh, Newman Cheng, Joshua Bradley, Alex Chao, Apurva Mody, Steven Truitt, and Jonathan Larson. 2024. From local to global: A graph rag approach to query-focused summarization.
- Mahmoud El-Haj, Paul Rayson, Paulo Alves, Carlos Herrero-Zorita, and Steven Young. *Multilingual Financial Narrative Processing: Analyzing Annual Reports in English, Spanish, and Portuguese*, chapter Chapter 13.
- Mahmoud El-Haj, Paul Rayson, Steve Young, and Martin Walker. 2014. Detecting document structure in a

very large corpus of UK financial reports. In *Proceedings of the Ninth International Conference on Language Resources and Evaluation (LREC'14)*, pages 1335–1338, Reykjavik, Iceland. European Language Resources Association (ELRA).

- Mahmoud El-Haj, Paul Rayson, and Nadhem Zmandar, editors. 2022. *Proceedings of the 4th Financial Narrative Processing Workshop @LREC2022*. European Language Resources Association, Marseille, France.
- Patrick Lewis, Ethan Perez, Aleksandra Piktus, Fabio Petroni, Vladimir Karpukhin, Naman Goyal, Heinrich Küttler, Mike Lewis, Wen-tau Yih, Tim Rocktäschel, Sebastian Riedel, and Douwe Kiela. 2020. Retrieval-augmented generation for knowledgeintensive nlp tasks. In *Proceedings of the 34th International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*, NIPS '20, Red Hook, NY, USA. Curran Associates Inc.
- Nelson F. Liu, Kevin Lin, John Hewitt, Ashwin Paranjape, Michele Bevilacqua, Fabio Petroni, and Percy Liang. 2024. Lost in the middle: How language models use long contexts. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 12:157–173.
- Boci Peng, Yun Zhu, Yongchao Liu, Xiaohe Bo, Haizhou Shi, Chuntao Hong, Yan Zhang, and Siliang Tang. 2024. Graph retrieval-augmented generation: A survey. *Preprint*, arXiv:2408.08921.
- Neelesh Shukla, Amit Vaid, Raghu Katikeri, Sangeeth Keeriyadath, and Msp Raja. 2022. DiMSum: Distributed and multilingual summarization of financial narratives. In *Proceedings of the 4th Financial Narrative Processing Workshop @LREC2022*, pages 65–72, Marseille, France. European Language Resources Association.
- Hwanjun Song, Hang Su, Igor Shalyminov, Jason Cai, and Saab Mansour. 2024. FineSurE: Fine-grained summarization evaluation using LLMs. In Proceedings of the 62nd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers), pages 906–922, Bangkok, Thailand. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Jiaan Wang, Yunlong Liang, Fandong Meng, Zengkui Sun, Haoxiang Shi, Zhixu Li, Jinan Xu, Jianfeng Qu, and Jie Zhou. 2023. Is ChatGPT a good NLG evaluator? a preliminary study. In *Proceedings of the 4th New Frontiers in Summarization Workshop*, pages 1–11, Singapore. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Elias Zavitsanos, Aris Kosmopoulos, George Giannakopoulos, Marina Litvak, Blanca Carbajo-Coronado, Antonio Moreno-Sandoval, and Mo El-Haj. 2023. The financial narrative summarisation shared task (fns 2023). In 2023 IEEE International Conference on Big Data (BigData), pages 2890– 2896.
- Lianmin Zheng, Wei-Lin Chiang, Ying Sheng, Siyuan Zhuang, Zhanghao Wu, Yonghao Zhuang, Zi Lin,

Zhuohan Li, Dacheng Li, Eric P. Xing, Hao Zhang, Joseph E. Gonzalez, and Ion Stoica. 2024. Judging Ilm-as-a-judge with mt-bench and chatbot arena. In *Proceedings of the 37th International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*, NIPS '23, Red Hook, NY, USA. Curran Associates Inc.

## A Appendix: Examples of Summaries generated

This appendix includes example summaries for Vodafone Group PLC and Volution Group PLC, generated using two different methods: Naive RAG vs GraphRAG (Tables 4 and 5), as well as Auto-Tuning vs our optimized Auto-Tuning approach (Tables 6 and 7).

| Naive RAG  | Default GraphRAG  |
|--|---|
| Vodafone Group Plc, in its Annual Report for the   | Vodafone Group Plc, a key player within the Europe  |
| year 2022, highlights its commitment to enabling   | TV Subscribers community, has shown a significant   |
| an inclusive and sustainable digital society while   | financial standing with a total revenue of $\in$ 46,123   |
| ensuring responsible business practices. The report  | million in the year under review [Data: Reports (1)].   |
| covers strategic, operational, and financial progress.   | This substantial income suggests a strong position  |
| In terms of financial performance, the Group's rev-  | within this market.   |
| enue stood at £45,580 million in 2022, represent-  | The report also reveals that Vodafone Group Plc's   |
| ing a growth from £43,809 million in 2021 and a  | net profit for the year was €4,286 million, indi-   |
| slight decrease from £44,974 million in 2020. The  | cating a profitable operation within the Europe TV  |
| Group's service revenue amounted to £38,203 mil-   | Subscribers community [Data: Reports (1)]. This   |
| lion in 2022, up from £37,141 million in 2021 but  | profitability underscores the company's ability to  |
| slightly lower than the £37,871 million recorded in  | generate earnings and reinvest in its services.   |
| 2020.  | At the end of the year under review, Vodafone   |
| Employee engagement was another area of focus  | Group Plc's total assets were €84,672 million, high-  |
| for Vodafone. The average number of employees  | lighting its substantial financial resources within   |
| and contractors remained relatively stable at 104  | this community [Data: Reports (1)]. This asset  |
| thousand in both 2021 and 2022, compared to 103  | base provides a strong foundation for future growth   |
| thousand in 2020. Employee engagement index  | and expansion.  |
| also saw a slight increase from 73% in 2021 to 74%   | While the annual financial report does not provide  |
| in 2022.   | specific information about Vodafone Group Plc's   |
| Vodafone prides itself on its responsible business   | subscriber base within the Europe TV Subscribers  |
| practices and operating lawfully with integrity. The   | community, it is known that this community has a  |
| company's progress towards its purpose is reflected  | large number of subscribers [Data: Entities]. This  |
| in these key performance indicators.   | suggests potential opportunities for growth and ex-   |
| The Annual Report also includes references to the  | pansion for Vodafone Group Plc within this market.  |
| Group's website for additional information, such as  | In conclusion, Vodafone Group Plc's annual finan-   |
| videos, ESG Addendum, and TCFD report. Readers<br>are advised that online content is for convenience | cial report presents a positive outlook for the com-  |
| only and does not form part of this annual report.   | pany within the Europe TV Subscribers community.<br>With its strong financial standing, profitable opera- |
| The document provided is the UK version of the An-   | tion, substantial resources, and potential for growth,  |
| nual Report, with the US Securities and Exchange   | Vodafone Group Plc is well-positioned to capitalize   |
| Commission (SEC) filing to follow at a later date.   | on opportunities in this market.  |
| Some of the highlights from Vodafone's FY22 in-  | on opportunities in this market.  |
| clude:   |   |
| - Strong focus on digital society and responsible  |   |
| business practices - Financial progress: Group rev-  |   |
| enue increased from £43.8 billion in 2021 to £45.6   |   |
| billion in 2022, with a slight decrease in service   |   |
| revenue from £37.9 billion in 2020 to £38.2 billion  |   |
| in 2022 - Employee engagement index rose slightly  |   |
| from 73% in 2021 to 74% in 2022 - Commitment   |   |
| to sustainability and ethical business practices   |   |
| The Annual Report emphasizes that the company's  |   |
| success is measured through its key performance  |   |
| indicators, which reflect both financial and non-  |   |
| financial aspects of its business. These indicators  |   |
| help underpin the delivery of Vodafone's purpose   |   |
| and ensure sustainable, long-term growth.  |   |

Table 4: Comparision of Sumamries Genertated by NaiveRAG vs Default GraphRAG for Vodafone Group PLC.

| Naive RAG  | Default GraphRAG   |
|--|--|
| Volution Group plc, in its Annual Report for the   | Volution Group PLC, a company that has integrated                          |
| year ended July 31, 2017, reported strong financial  | two new businesses, attributes its success to the sig-                     |
| results despite the uncertainties brought about by   | nificant contributions made by its employees [Data:                        |
| the UK's vote to leave the European Union and the  | Reports (0)]. The central entity within the Ver-                           |
| devaluation of Sterling against major currencies.  | dant Oasis Plaza community, Volution Group PLC,                            |
| The company's strategy revolves around building a  | values its Management Development Programme                                |
| more valuable Volution Group through brand port-   | which plays a pivotal role in the integration of new                       |
| folio expansion and market and geographical diver-   | acquisitions and the formation of the overall Group                        |
| sification.  | culture [Data: Reports (0)].   |
| The financial highlights revealed a 19.8% increase   | The employees of Volution Group PLC are not only                           |
| in revenue, with figures reaching £185.1 million   | integral to its success but also significantly con-                        |
| in 2017 compared to £154.5 million in 2016. Ad-  | tribute to the organization's performance [Data: Re-                       |
| justed operating profit grew by 9.6% to £35.6 mil-   | ports (0)]. The dynamics of the Verdant Oasis Plaza                        |
| lion (19.3% of revenue), and there was a significant   | community are crucial in understanding the rela-                           |
| increase in adjusted EPS, with basic EPS standing  | tionship between the plaza and any events that may                         |
| at 35.9p and diluted EPS at 32.5p. The net debt  | take place there, such as the Unity March, which                           |
| stood at £37.0 million, an increase from the previ-  | could potentially pose a threat [Data: Reports (0)].                       |
| ous year's £21.2 million. Reported profit before tax   | Currently, Verdant Oasis Plaza is experiencing me-                         |
| was $\pounds 17.9m$ with a loss of (4.2)p per share.   | dia attention due to the Unity March taking place                          |
| Volution Group reported strong cash generation and   | within the plaza. This increased exposure could                            |
| continued revenue and underlying operating profit  | amplify its impact on the community [Data: Re-                             |
| growth for the sixth consecutive year. The com-  | ports (0)]. It's essential to consider the nature of                       |
| pany also uses alternative performance measures  | the Unity March and the reactions it provokes when                         |
| to assess the business's underlying performance,   | analyzing the relationship between the march and                           |
| including adjusted operating profit, adjusted profit   | the plaza.   |
| before tax, adjusted basic and diluted EPS, and ad-  | In conclusion, Volution Group PLC's success is                             |
| justed operating cash flow.  | largely due to the contributions made by its employ-                       |
| Chairman Peter Hill expressed his satisfaction with  | ees, and its Management Development Programme                              |
| the progress made during the year and the com-   | plays a crucial role in integrating new acquisitions                       |
| pany's confidence in its long-term prospects despite   | and shaping the overall Group culture. The dynam-                          |
| Brexit uncertainties. The Group continued to make  | ics of the Verdant Oasis Plaza community are sig-                          |
| strategic moves by making selective value-adding   | nificant when understanding events that take place                         |
| acquisitions and expansions, all while focusing on   | within the plaza, such as the Unity March. The in-                         |
| growth opportunities in both established and emerg-  | creased media attention on the Unity March could                           |
| ing markets.   | potentially impact the community. For more de-                             |
| In conclusion, Volution Group plc reported a suc-  | tailed information, please refer to the relevant data records $(0, +more)$ |
| cessful financial year with revenue and underlying   | records (0, +more)   |
| operating profit growth, significant cash generation,<br>and strong strategic progress, despite the economic |  |
| and strong strategic progress, despite the economic<br>uncertainties brought about by Brexit. The com-       |  |
| pany remains committed to its strategy of build-   |  |
| ing a more valuable Volution Group through brand   |  |
| portfolio expansion and market and geographical  |  |
| diversification.   |  |
|  |  |

Table 5: Comparision of Sumamries Genertated by NaiveRAG vs Default GraphRAG for Volution Group PLC.

| GraphRAG's Domain Adaptation                                | Optimized Domain Adaptation  |
|---|--|
| Vodafone Group Plc, a leading telecommunications            | Vodafone Group Plc, a significant player in the IoT  |
| company, reported a total revenue of £46.2 billion          | sector, reported approximately 150.1 million IoT   |
| for the fiscal year [Data: Reports (1)]. This sig-          | SIM connections [Data: IOT SIM CONNECTIONS   |
| nificant financial performance indicates a strong           | MILLION (top 5 relevant record ids)], indicating   |
| position in the market. However, it is important            | a growing interest in IoT services and potential   |
| to note that the company's net debt stood at $\pounds 38.7$ | for further expansion. This figure suggests that   |
| billion, demonstrating a substantial debt level that        | Vodafone is well-positioned to capitalize on the   |
| could impact future financial decisions [Data: Re-          | increasing demand for IoT solutions.   |
| ports (2)].   | The total revenue generated by Vodafone Group Plc  |
| The report highlighted a focus on cost optimization         | stands at a substantial 24.7 billion Kenyan shillings  |
| and network investments, aiming to improve prof-            | [Data: REVENUE (top 5 relevant record ids)], indi-   |
| itability and customer experience [Data: Reports            | cating a strong financial performance and the ability  |
| (4)]. Despite these efforts, Vodafone Group Plc ex-         | to invest in future growth initiatives. This revenue   |
| perienced a decline in EBITDA margin to 31.4%,              | figure underscores the company's robust market po-   |
| suggesting operational efficiency challenges [Data:         | sition and its capacity to drive innovation.   |
| Reports (3)].   | However, it is important to note that while Vodafone   |
| On a positive note, Vodafone Group Plc's free cash          | Group Plc is profitable, there is room for improve-  |
| flow improved to £7.2 billion, signaling a positive         | ment in managing costs. The current net income   |
| cash position for the company [Data: Reports (5)].          | stands at 6.8 billion Kenyan shillings, while op-  |
| This improvement in cash flow could provide the             | erating expenses amount to 17.9 billion Kenyan   |
| necessary resources for the company to address its          | shillings [Data: NET INCOME (top 5 relevant  |
| debt and operational efficiency issues.                     | record ids), OPERATING EXPENSES (top 5 rel-  |
| In conclusion, while Vodafone Group Plc demon-              | evant record ids)]. This implies that the company  |
| strated a strong financial performance with a sig-          | could optimize its operations to increase profitabil-  |
| nificant revenue of £46.2 billion, it also faces chal-      | ity.   |
| lenges in terms of operational efficiency and a sub-        | The IoT Service Provider Community report, while   |
| stantial debt level. The company's focus on cost            | not explicitly naming Vodafone Group Plc, sug-   |
| optimization and network investments, as well as            | gests that the company's IoT operations are part   |
| the improvement in free cash flow, could potentially        | of a broader context [Data: Reports (other than  |
| help address these issues. Further analysis of addi-        | IOT SIM CONNECTIONS MILLION, REVENUE,  |
| tional reports [Data: Reports (+more)] may provide          | NET INCOME, OPERATING EXPENSES)]. This   |
| more insights into the company's future financial           | report provides insights into the performance and  |
| decisions and performance.                                  | growth trends within the IoT service provider com-   |
|   | munity, which could be beneficial for Vodafone in  |
|   | strategizing its future moves.   |
|   | Regarding ownership structure and allegations of wrongdoing, these details are not explicitly pro- |
|   | vided in the IoT Service Provider Community re-  |
|   | port [Data: Reports (other than IOT SIM CONNEC-  |
|   | TIONS MILLION, REVENUE, NET INCOME,  |
|   | OPERATING EXPENSES)]. For such information,  |
|   | it is recommended to consult other relevant reports  |
|   | [Data: Reports (2, 7, 34, 46, 64, +more)].   |
|   | [Data. Reports (2, 7, 34, 40, 04, ±111010)].   |

Table 6: Comparison of Summaries Generated by GraphRAG's Domain Adaptation vs. Our Optimized Domain Adaptation for Vodafone Group PLC.

| GraphRAG's Domain Adaptation  | Optimized Domain Adaptation  |
|---|--|
| Volution Group PLC, a company that has integrated   | Volution Group plc, a leading international venti-                     |
| two new businesses, attributes its success to the sig-  | lation technology group, has announced its annual                      |
| nificant contributions made by its employees [Data:   | financial results for the year ended December 31,                      |
| Reports (0)]. The central entity within the Ver-  | 2021. The report highlights a strong performance                       |
| dant Oasis Plaza community, Volution Group PLC,   | across various key areas, demonstrating resilience                     |
| values its Management Development Programme   | and growth despite the challenging global economic                     |
| which plays a pivotal role in the integration of new  | climate.   |
| acquisitions and the formation of the overall Group   | Revenue for the year increased by $14.6\%$ to £257.8                   |
| culture [Data: Reports (0)].  | million (2020: £223.9 million), driven primar-                         |
| The employees of Volution Group PLC are not only  | ily by organic growth and strategic acquisitions.                      |
| integral to its success but also significantly con-   | The Group's operating profit rose significantly, up                    |
| tribute to the organization's performance [Data: Re-  | 37.6% to £50.2 million (2020: £36.6 million). This                     |
| ports (0)]. The dynamics of the Verdant Oasis Plaza   | improvement was underpinned by a focus on opera-                       |
| community are crucial in understanding the rela-  | tional efficiency, cost management, and the success-                   |
| tionship between the plaza and any events that may  | ful integration of acquired businesses.                                |
| take place there, such as the Unity March, which  | The Group's adjusted earnings before interest, taxes,                  |
| could potentially pose a threat [Data: Reports (0)].  | depreciation, and amortization (EBITDA) increased                      |
| Currently, Verdant Oasis Plaza is experiencing me-  | by 27.4% to £59.8 million (2020: £46.3 million),                       |
| dia attention due to the Unity March taking place   | reflecting improved profitability across the business.                 |
| within the plaza. This increased exposure could   | The reported profit before tax was $\pounds 41.2$ million,             |
| amplify its impact on the community [Data: Reports (0)]. It's essential to consider the nature of | compared to £28.8 million in 2020, representing a growth of $43.7\%$ . |
| the Unity March and the reactions it provokes when  | The Group's net cash position at year-end stood at                     |
| analyzing the relationship between the march and  | £56.9 million (2020: £34.4 million), demonstrat-                       |
| the plaza.  | ing strong cash generation and financial discipline.                   |
| In conclusion, Volution Group PLC's success is  | This robust cash position will support the Group's                     |
| largely due to the contributions made by its employ-  | ongoing investment in innovation, strategic acquisi-                   |
| ees, and its Management Development Programme   | tions, and organic growth opportunities.                               |
| plays a crucial role in integrating new acquisitions  | The Board proposes a final dividend of 17.5 pence                      |
| and shaping the overall Group culture. The dynam-   | per share (2020: 13.0 pence), bringing the total                       |
| ics of the Verdant Oasis Plaza community are sig-   | dividend for the year to 24.0 pence per share, an                      |
| nificant when understanding events that take place  | increase of 89.3% compared to the previous year.                       |
| within the plaza, such as the Unity March. The in-  | This reflects the Group's strong financial perfor-                     |
| creased media attention on the Unity March could  | mance and commitment to returning value to share-                      |
| potentially impact the community. For more de-  | holders.   |
| tailed information, please refer to the relevant data   | Looking ahead, Volution Group remains confident                        |
| records (0, +more).   | in its strategic direction and market opportunities.                   |
|   | The Group will continue to focus on innovation,                        |
|   | operational efficiency, and strategic acquisitions                     |
|   | to drive growth and create long-term shareholder                       |
|   | value. The management team is excited about the                        |
|   | prospects for the future and looks forward to nav-                     |
|   | igating the challenges and opportunities that lie                      |
|   | ahead.   |

Table 7: Comparison of Summaries Generated by GraphRAG's Domain Adaptation vs. Our Optimized Domain Adaptation for Volution Group PLC.