#### Responsible NLP Checklist

Paper title: SMARTMiner: Extracting and Evaluating SMART Goals from Low-Resource Health Coaching

Authors: Iva Bojic, Qi Chwen Ong, Stephanie Hilary Xinyi Ma, Lin Ai, Zheng Liu, Ziwei Gong, Julia Hirschberg, Andy Hau Yan HO, Andy W. H. Khong

How to read the checklist symbols:	
the authors responded 'yes'	
X the authors responded 'no'	
the authors indicated that the question does not apply to their work	
the authors did not respond to the checkbox question	
For background on the checklist and guidance provided to the authors, see the Responsible NLP Checklist page at ACL Rolling Review.	:

## ✓ A. Questions mandatory for all submissions.

- ✓ A1. Did you describe the limitations of your work? *This paper has a Limitations section.*
- A2. Did you discuss any potential risks of your work? *Please refer to the section on Limitations (Page 10).*
- **B.** Did you use or create scientific artifacts? (e.g. code, datasets, models)
  - ☑ B1. Did you cite the creators of artifacts you used? Please refer to Experiment Setting section (Pages 5-7).
  - B2. Did you discuss the license or terms for use and/or distribution of any artifacts? Although the paper does not explicitly state the license, it is clearly specified in the cited GitHub repository, which hosts all associated data and code.
  - B3. Did you discuss if your use of existing artifact(s) was consistent with their intended use, provided that it was specified? For the artifacts you create, do you specify intended use and whether that is compatible with the original access conditions (in particular, derivatives of data accessed for research purposes should not be used outside of research contexts)?
    - All models and datasets used in this study are open-source and employed strictly within a research context, although this was not explicitly stated in the manuscript.
  - ☑ B4. Did you discuss the steps taken to check whether the data that was collected/used contains any information that names or uniquely identifies individual people or offensive content, and the steps taken to protect/anonymize it?
    - Please refer to SMARTSpan Dataset (Anonymization paragraph) section (Pages 3-4).
  - ☑ B5. Did you provide documentation of the artifacts, e.g., coverage of domains, languages, and linguistic phenomena, demographic groups represented, etc.?

    Please refer to SMARTSpan Dataset (Data Collection) section (Page 3).
  - B6. Did you report relevant statistics like the number of examples, details of train/test/dev splits, etc. for the data that you used/created?

Please refer to SMARTSpan Dataset section (Pages 3-4).

The Responsible NLP Checklist used at ACL Rolling Review is adopted from NAACL 2022, with the addition of ACL 2023 question on AI writing assistance and further refinements based on ARR practice.

## ☑ C. Did you run computational experiments?

- ✓ C1. Did you report the number of parameters in the models used, the total computational budget (e.g., GPU hours), and computing infrastructure used?

  Please refer to Experiment Setting section (Pages 5-7).
- ☑ C2. Did you discuss the experimental setup, including hyperparameter search and best-found hyperparameter values?

Please refer to Experiment Setting section (Pages 6-7).

✓ C3. Did you report descriptive statistics about your results (e.g., error bars around results, summary statistics from sets of experiments), and is it transparent whether you are reporting the max, mean, etc. or just a single run?

Please refer to Results and Discussion section (Pages 7-9).

✓ C4. If you used existing packages (e.g., for preprocessing, for normalization, or for evaluation, such as NLTK, SpaCy, ROUGE, etc.), did you report the implementation, model, and parameter settings used?

Please refer to Experiment Setting section (Page 5).

#### **D.** Did you use human annotators (e.g., crowdworkers) or research with human subjects?

- ✓ D1. Did you report the full text of instructions given to participants, including e.g., screenshots, disclaimers of any risks to participants or annotators, etc.?

  Please refer to Appendix B (Page 14).
- ☑ D2. Did you report information about how you recruited (e.g., crowdsourcing platform, students) and paid participants, and discuss if such payment is adequate given the participants' demographic (e.g., country of residence)?

Please refer to Appendix B (Page 14).

- ☑ D3. Did you discuss whether and how consent was obtained from people whose data you're using/curating (e.g., did your instructions explain how the data would be used)?

  Please refer Ethical Considerations section (Page 10).
- ✓ D4. Was the data collection protocol approved (or determined exempt) by an ethics review board? *Please refer Ethical Considerations section (Page 10).*
- ✓ D5. Did you report the basic demographic and geographic characteristics of the annotator population that is the source of the data?

  Please refer to Appendix B (Page 14).

# **E.** Did you use AI assistants (e.g., ChatGPT, Copilot) in your research, coding, or writing?

☑ E1. If you used AI assistants, did you include information about their use?

Please refer to SMARTSpan Dataset (Anonymization paragraph) section (Pages 3-4) and Limitations section (Page 9).