## **Responsible NLP Checklist**

Paper title: Can Federated Learning Safeguard Private Data in LLM Training? Vulnerabilities, Attacks, and Defense Evaluation

Authors: Wenkai Guo, Xuefeng Liu, Haolin Wang, Jianwei Niu, Shaojie Tang, Jing Yuan

(	How to read the checklist symbols:		
	the authors responded 'yes'		
	X the authors responded 'no'		
	the authors indicated that the question does not apply to their work		
	the authors did not respond to the checkbox question		
	For background on the checklist and guidance provided to the authors, see the Responsible NLP Checklist page at ACL Rolling Review.		
✓ A. Questions mandatory for all submissions.			
	A1. Did you describe the limitations of your work?  This paper has a Limitations section.		
	A2. Did you discuss any potential risks of your work?  This paper is exactly aimed at uncovering potential risks of training LLMs with FL.		
$\checkmark$	B. Did you use or create scientific artifacts? (e.g. code, datasets, models)		
	B1. Did you cite the creators of artifacts you used?  We cite them in Section 4.1 and our Experiment section.		
	B2. Did you discuss the license or terms for use and/or distribution of any artifacts? All artifacts used in this paper are fully open-sourced.		
	B3. Did you discuss if your use of existing artifact(s) was consistent with their intended use, provie that it was specified? For the artifacts you create, do you specify intended use and whether that compatible with the original access conditions (in particular, derivatives of data accessed for resear purposes should not be used outside of research contexts)? All artifacts used in this paper are fully open-sourced.	at is	
	B4. Did you discuss the steps taken to check whether the data that was collected/used contains information that names or uniquely identifies individual people or offensive content, and the st taken to protect/anonymize it?  We only use the publically availbe dataset.	-	

B5. Did you provide documentation of the artifacts, e.g., coverage of domains, languages, and linguistic phenomena, demographic groups represented, etc.?

Not applicated.

☑ B6. Did you report relevant statistics like the number of examples, details of train/test/dev splits, etc. for the data that you used/created?

We describe it in our Experiment section.

The Responsible NLP Checklist used at ACL Rolling Review is adopted from NAACL 2022, with the addition of ACL 2023 question on AI writing assistance and further refinements based on ARR practice.

<b>√</b>	C. Did you run computational experiments?
<b>✓</b>	C1. Did you report the number of parameters in the models used, the total computational budget (e.g., GPU hours), and computing infrastructure used? <i>We describe it in Appendix A.</i>
	C2. Did you discuss the experimental setup, including hyperparameter search and best-found hyperparameter values? <i>We describe it in Appendix A.</i>
	C3. Did you report descriptive statistics about your results (e.g., error bars around results, summary statistics from sets of experiments), and is it transparent whether you are reporting the max, mean, etc. or just a single run?  We describe it in our Experiment section.
	C4. If you used existing packages (e.g., for preprocessing, for normalization, or for evaluation, such as NLTK, SpaCy, ROUGE, etc.), did you report the implementation, model, and parameter settings used?  We describe it in our Experiment section.
X	D. Did you use human annotators (e.g., crowdworkers) or research with human subjects?
N/A	D1. Did you report the full text of instructions given to participants, including e.g., screenshots, disclaimers of any risks to participants or annotators, etc.? <i>Not applicated.</i>
N/A	D2. Did you report information about how you recruited (e.g., crowdsourcing platform, students) and paid participants, and discuss if such payment is adequate given the participants' demographic (e.g., country of residence)? <i>Not applicated.</i>
N/A	D3. Did you discuss whether and how consent was obtained from people whose data you're using/curating (e.g., did your instructions explain how the data would be used)? <i>Not applicated.</i>
N/A	D4. Was the data collection protocol approved (or determined exempt) by an ethics review board? <i>Not applicated.</i>

D5. Did you report the basic demographic and geographic characteristics of the annotator population

**E.** Did you use AI assistants (e.g., ChatGPT, Copilot) in your research, coding, or writing?

☑ E1. If you used AI assistants, did you include information about their use?

that is the source of the data?

We describe it in Appendix.

*Not applicated.*