User report: MT selection in the Enterprise

Heidi Depraetere, Cross Language Pablo Vazquez, Cisco Systems



The specialists in translation automation







Machine Translation in Cisco Support



Navigating the best route

When is machine translation the right option?

How do you select the MT solution?

How to get the best quality and efficiency?

Defining Cisco's needs



Quality

Customization capabilities

Standard format support

Integration potential

Scalability

Cost

Quality of translation



In-context quality evaluation



Customization capabilities



Self-sufficiency in the engine customization is a must An engine that cannot be customized will not work for Cisco

Standard format support

We need to be able to get the assets, dictionary customization, TMs, and even linguistic rules in and out of the engines

LISA standards, such as TMX,TBX and SRX, are good examples



Integration potential

The system needs to adapt to our environment rather than vice versa

- Open APIs so we can plug in the connectors for all of our applications and CMS
- Ability to work in a multiengine setup



Scalability



When the quality reaches the turning point, we need to be prepared to increase the translation volume

Engine efficiency is a must:

- Processor use
- Handle large amounts of translation on the fly or queued

Cost



Main objective: Transparency

Full disclosure about:

- Initial investment (engine cost)
- Customizations

Maintenance and upgrade cost

The matrix: Minimum acceptance criteria

	Engine A	Engine B	Engine C
Quality			
Customization capabilities			
Standard format support			
Integration potential			
Scalability			
Cost			

Lessons learned

- Pre-purchase evaluation including in-context pilot program is key for successful deployment
- > Start small to build a robust, scalable process
- Communicate well and involve key stakeholders
- Take a target language approach rather than a technology or engine approach

