## **MULTITALE:** linking medical concepts by means of frames

## Isa Maks and Willy Martin

Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam Dept. of Lexicology De Boelelaan 1105, 1081 HV Amsterdam makse@jet.let.vu.nl martin\_w@jet.let.vu.nl

## ABSTRACT

In this paper MULTITALE, a system for the semantic tagging of medical neurosurgical texts and for the semi-automatic expansion of the medical lexicon, will be presented. Given the textual information explosion (in particular in, though not restricted to, specialized domains) there is an urgent need for tools enabling to exploit the information available in natural language texts. MULTITALE has been devised therefore primarily with the aim to make explicit semantic information in medical texts, which should lead to more refined information retrieval results. By making "educated guesses" the system moreover has a possibility to expand its own lexicon of medical terms so to be able to cope with new texts.

## I. INTRODUCTION

MULTITALE has been developed as part of an EU project (MLAP 93-04) which has been started in 1994 and has been completed recently. The English part of it was carried out by the Belgian partner (Office Line Engineering NV, Zonnegem; RAMIT, Gent), the Dutch part by the Dutch partner (Lexicology Research Group, Free University Amsterdam<sup>1</sup>). Although both groups share the same starting point and objectives, the methods followed show some idiosyncracies, therefore if in what follows MULTITALE is mentioned, actually the MULTITALE Dutch module is meant.

## II. SEMANTIC MODEL

The semantic tagging is based on the CEN/TC251-model for Surgical Procedures (CEN,1994). This model is a classification and coding system of medical procedures. It distinguishes the following concept types:

CC\_Surgical\_Deed (indicating the surgical intervention),

CC\_Anatomy (indicating anatomical concepts),

CC\_Pathology (indicating pathological concepts),

CC\_Interventional\_Equipment (indicating the instrument), CC\_Combi (a term which has a medical meaning only in combination with another -medical- term), CC\_modifier\_bodyside,CC\_modifier\_extent,

CC\_modifier\_number (terms which modify other medical terms.

The Surgical deed concept is classified into 12 subtypes, among others: CS\_remove,CS\_close, CS\_create, CS\_close, CS\_install, CS\_make\_appear. The Surgical deed concept is considered as the nucleus of the surgical procedure and may have different types of relationships with the other medical concepts:

the R\_Direct\_object indicates the object on which the surgical deed is carried out;

the R\_Indirect\_Object indicates the object to or from or in which the surgical deed is carried out;

the R\_Location indicates the place where the Surgical Deed is carried out;

the R\_means indicates that with which the Surgical Deed is carried out;

the R\_manner indicates how the Surgical Deed is carried out.

The next example illustrates the CEN\TC251-model. The input is taken from a report of a neurosurgical intervention; the output is generated by the MULTITALE system.

(ex. 1)

INPUT:

Enkele fragmenten discus worden nog verwijderd, dan worden met een beiteltje de osteofytaire randen van de dekplaat weggenomen.

(Some fragments of the discus are removed, thereafter the osteophytic edges of the cover plate are taken away with a chisel)

#### OUTPUT:

Enkele fragmenten discus	
R_dir_object CC_anatomy	S_NP
Enkele	
fragmenten CC_combi	
discus CC_anatomy	

worden s\_verb nog s\_adverb verwijderd CC\_surg\_deed CS\_remove s\_verb +R\_dir\_object Enkele fragmenten discus

## dan

worden		s verb
met een beiteltje		—
R_means	CC_interv_equip	S_PP
met		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> T. Frizzanin (syntax), A. Kramer (lexicon), I. Maks (syntax and semantics), W. Martin (overall supervision)

een		
beiteltje	CC_interv_equip	s_noun
de osteofytaire ra	nden van de dekplaat	
R_dir_obje	ct CC_pathology	S_NP
de		
osteofytaire	CC_pathology	s_adj
randen	CC_combi	s_noun
van		
de		
dekplaat	CC_anatomy	s_noun
weggenomen	CC_surg_deed CS_remove	s verb
+R dir object	de osteofytaire randen van de	
	dekplaat	
+R_means	met een beiteltje <sup>2</sup>	

## III. OVERALL ARCHITECTURE OF THE MULTITALE SYSTEM

The MULTITALE system consists of 5 modules :

**1**. - A syntactic tagger and lemmatizer for Dutch medical language.

**2**. - A syntactic module for the formation of minimal NPs and PPs. The syntactical module functions as a preprocessor. As a consequence syntax is kept to a minimum and partial: only clause segmentation, verb-detection and NP/PP demarcation is considered to be necessary.

**3**. - A module for the attribution of concept type to the minimal NPs and PPs. Given syntactical "chuncks" (verbs, NPs, PPs) within a certain space (clause), MULTITALE tries to assign concepts to them. The system is preferential in that it has defined - based upon corpus observation and sublanguage modelling - a priority ranking/hierarchy of concepts when occurring in combination, to form one complex concept. This priority ranking/ hierarchy looks as follows:

CC\_Surgical Deed

CC\_Pathology

CC\_Interventional Equipment

CC\_Anatomy

CC\_Combi

It governs the concept type calculus so that e.g. in an expression with a Surgical Deed, the latter overrules all other concepts as in *rechter* [CC-Modifier-Bodyside] *retromastoidale* [CC-Anatomy] *incisie* [CC-Surgical deed]. So, too in the expression *osteofytaire* [CC-Pathology] *randen* [CC-Combi] *van de dekplaat* [CC-Anatomy] the Pathology concept will overrule the other concepts.

**4.**- A linking module for linking surgical deeds concepts with other medical concepts within the clause.

5.- A guessing module for suggesting the concept type of unknown words The last two modules will be discussed in section IV. and V. below.

2

subtype tag

During this process MULTITALE uses the following knowledge bases:

(A) the surgical deed lexicon: a lexicon of surgical deed concepts, containing about 250 tokens with their concept type (CC\_surgical\_deed), surgical deed

subtype and part-of-speech (=<CAT>).

<lemma></lemma>	wegnemen	to remove
<cat></cat>	verb	
<concept type=""></concept>	CC surgical deed	
<concept subtype<="" td=""><td>&gt;CS remove</td><td></td></concept>	>CS remove	
<endlemma></endlemma>	_	

(B) the non-surgical-deed-lexicon: a lexicon of nonsurgical-deed concepts, containing about 800 tokens with their concept type and part-of-speech

<lemma></lemma>	beitel chisel
<cat></cat>	noun
<concept type=""></concept>	CC_intervent_equipment
<endlemma></endlemma>	

(C) the type lexicon: a knowledge base with an entry for each of the 12 surgical deed subtypes and 1 "neutral" subtype . For each entry are specified, the possible Semantic Links and the possible concept types related with those Semantic Links. For an example see section V.2 (ex. 8c) and section V.3 (ex. 10).

(**D**) a database with prepositions and their functions (called I values)

<lemma></lemma>	ор	on
<i_value></i_value>	I_site	
<[_value>	[]location	
<endlemma></endlemma>		

# IV.THE LINKING MODULEIV.1INTRODUCTION

The input of the linking module is the sentence segmented in NPs, PPs and verbs with - if relevant - their concept type (assigned by the concept type assignment module).:

(ex. 2)

enkele fragmenten discus

worden weggenomen met een beiteltje

omen[ CC\_surgical\_deed, CS\_remove]beiteltje[PP CC\_Interventional Equipment]

CC\_Anatomy]

The task of the linking module is to combine the concepts of the sentence in order to build a composite surgical procedure concept, respecting the CEN norms. Since the CEN-model has much in common with Fillmore's Case Grammar (Fillmore, 1968), Case grammar-like frames for the implementation of the model have been used. Case grammar gives an analysis of a sentence, centered around the verb. CEN gives an analysis of a surgical procedure, centered around the Surgical deed concept (which is often a verb). The definition of the cases of Case Grammar is similar to the definition of CEN Semantic Links, both of them expressing relations between various parts of the sentence. In Case grammar, selection restrictions are specified for cases, in CEN those parts of the sentence which are candidate for a Semantic Link, refer to one of the CEN-defined medical concepts.

(ex. 3)

## Het aneurysma wordt afgeclipt met een rechte clip. (The aneurysm is cut off with a straight clip)

Considering a sentence like example 3, the system has to decide which noun phrase can be related to the verb and what is the nature of that relationship. The possible Semantic Links correspond to the CEN Semantic Links. So, in relation to the verb *afclippen (to cut off)* we find - among others- Direct Object and Means. Like for Case Grammar, there are markers, like prepositions or the lack of prepositions, which point to a certain Semantic Link. In the above example, the preposition *met (with)* is an indicator for Means and it is the absence of a preposition which points to the Direct Object. For identifying the Semantic Links, three particular kinds of information are needed:

1. the surgical deed concept and its possible Semantic Links

**2**. the NP and its concept type

3. the Prepositions and their values (I\_values).

Frames are chosen for the implementation of the model. They have a top level which is fixed and represents things that are true of a certain situation. They have a lower level with slots, conditions on the slots and fillers, which represent things that are expected for a certain situation (Minsky, 1975). As regards the top level, three different kinds of frames are defined for Multitale.

a. standard surgical deed frame:

6	
LEXICAL ELEMENT	surgical deed concept
PART OF SPEECH	verb
CONCEPT TYPE	CC_surgical_deed
CONCEPT SUBTYPE	subtype of CC_surgical_deed:
	CS
b. variant surgical deed fra	me:
LEXICAL ELEMENT	surgical deed concept
PART_OF_SPEECH	noun
CONCEPT TYPE	CC-surgical-deed
CONCEPT SUBTYPE	subtype of CC_surgical_deed :
	CS
c. non-surgical-deed frame	
LEXICAL ELEMENT	non-surgical-deed concept
PART OF SPEECH	noun
CONCEPT TYPE	non surgical-deed concept type

The lower level of the non-surgical-deed frame will be discussed below being different from the lower level of both the surgical-deed-frames. The lower level of the surgical\_deed\_frames contains sets of slots for the identification of Semantic Links. The set consists of a slot - called ROLE- for the type of Semantic Link, a slot -called ARG- for the pointer to the element in the sentence which is linked to the surgical deed concept, a slot -called CC - for the concept type of the element which is linked and finally a slot -called IND- for the indication (I-value) of the function of the linked element. Each slot can specify conditions its filler must meet.

slots:	conditions on the fillers are marked with *:
ROLE	*one of the CEN-defined Semantic Links
ARG	
CC	*CEN-defined concept types
IND	*I-values
ROLE	

ARG CC IND ROLE

The conditions on the fillers are found in the surgical deed lexicon and the type lexicon. The type lexicon gives general information for the surgical deed subtype; the surgical deed lexicon gives information for the individual token, the individual surgical deed concept.

## IV.2 THE LINKING MODULE STEP BY STEP

For filling in the frame and analyzing the sentence, the system performs the following steps for each Surgical-deed-clause.

(ex. 4a)

...de catheter wordt in de wond geplaatst (the catheter has been installed in the wound)

a. consultation of the surgical deed lexicon for finding the subtype entry of *plaatsen* in the surgical deed lexicon: <lemma> plaatsen <cat> verb CC surgical deed <concept type> <concept subtype> CS install b. consultation of the type lexicon for defining the frame The following frame will be built (conditons on the fillers of the slots are marked with \*): LEXICAL ELEMENT geplaatst PART OF SPEECH verb CONCEPT TYPE CC surgical deed CONCEPT SUBTYPE CS install R DIRECT OBJECT ROLE ARG CC \*CC inter equipment/ \*CC combi/ CC WAY/ \*CC anatomy \*I NONE IND **\***R INDIRECT OBJECT ROLE ARG \*CC\_pathology/CC\_anatomy CC IND \*I SITE \*R MEANS ROLE ARG CC\*CC inter equipment/ \*CC\_anatomy IND \*I\_MEANS ROLE \*R LOC ARG CC\*CC anatomy/ CC pathology/ \*CC\_combi \*I\_LOC IND \*R\_MANNER ROLE ARG CC \*CC surgical deed IND \*I MANNER

c. if necessary, adaptation of the frame with information from the surgical deed lexicon will take place.

**d**. if necessary, adaptation of the frame will be carried out if the surgical deed concept is a noun or a non-finite form of the verb.

The subtype frame is specified for the finite form of the verb and the past participle. If the surgical deed concept is a noun or an infinitive form of the verb, the R\_direct\_object is marked by the preposition *van* (I\_value = I\_van). Therefore, the condition on the  $\langle IND \rangle$ -slot of the R\_Direct\_object, will be changed to I\_van. e. filling in the slots:

#### (ex. 4b)

... de catheter wordt in de wond geplaatst

LEXICAL ELEMEN PART_OF_SPEECH CONCEPT TYPE CONCEPT SUBTYP	verb CC_surgical_deed
CONCEPT SUBTYP	E CS_install
ROLE	R_DIRECT_OBJECT
ARG	-> de catheter
CC	CC_INTERVENT_EQUIPMENT
IND	I_NONE (-)
ROLE	R_INDIRECT_OBJECT
ARG	-> in de wond
CC	CC_pathology
IND	I_SITE (in)

The linking module tries to match the specifications of the elements of a Surgical-Deed-clause with the conditions on the fillers of a slot.

# IV.3 THE LINKING MODULE AND PREPOSITIONAL PHRASE ATTACHMENT

For all non-surgical-deed concepts, namely CC\_anatomy, CC\_pathology, CC\_combi, and CC\_intervent\_equipment, the following frame has been defined:

top-level:

LEXICAL ELEMENT non-surgical-deed concept PART OF SPEECH NP or PP CONCEPT TYPE CC anatomy/ CC combi CC pathology/ CC intervent equipment lower level: ROLE R POST MOD ARG CC \*non-surgical-deed concept type IND \*I VAN POS \*+1

There is only one set of slots, expressing the link between two non-surgi-cal-deed concepts in a sentence:

## (ex. 5)

..het intracellair gedeelte van de tumor wordt uitgecuretteerd..

(the intracellar part of the tumour is cleaned)

The prepositional phrase *van de tumor* modifies the noun phrase *het intra- cellair gedeelte*. The link between these two phrases is called post-modification link. The slot POS(ition) in combination with the constraint +1 requires

that the postmodifying phrase directly follows the NP for which this frame is defined.

(ex. 6-a)

non-surgical-deed frame with slots filled in: LEXICAL ELEMENT het intracellair gedeelte PART OF SPEECH NP CONCEPT TYPE CC combi R POST MOD ROLE ARG -> van de tumor CC CC pathology IND I-VAN POS  $\pm 1$ 

(ex. 6-b) surgical deed frame with slots filled in: LEXICAL ELEMENT uitgecuretteerd PART OF SPEECH verb CONCEPT TYPE CC surgical deed CONCEPT SUBTYPE CS clean R DIRECT OBJECT ROLE ARG -> het intracellair gedeelte van de tumor CC CC pathology IND I NONE (-)

We have established an order for the matching of the Semantic Links, giving priority to these Links which connect a surgical deed concept with another concept.

#### (ex. 7)

..waarna de frontale lob van zijn adherenties wordt vrijgemaakt

(.. after that the frontal lobe has been freed ... )

The specifications of *van zijn adherenties*, CC\_pathology and Lvan meet both the conditions on the filler for the R\_indirect\_object of the surgical deed concept and the conditions on the filler of the R\_POST\_MOD of the nonsurgical-deed concept. Since the R\_Indirect\_Object precedes the R\_Post\_Mod *van zijn adherenties* will be linked - correctly - with *vrijgemaakt*.

## V. THE GUESSING MODULE V.1 INTRODUCTION

The guessing module of the Multitale system deals with the semi-automatic augmentation of the concept lexicons (=lexicons of surgical deeds and non- surgical deeds). The performance of the tagger depends for a great deal on the completeness of the lexicon. If the lexicon does not contain a medical term, the tagger cannot assign a semantic link to this unknown term and another one in the sentence. The guessing module is an important help for the augmentation of the concept lexicon, and consequently an important part of the Multitale system when tagging unknown texts. The function of the module is twofold:

**1**. - generation of a list of words which are likely to be medical terms and CEN concepts. The list does not present just a list of words unknown to the system but a selection of words relevant to CEN.

2. - suggestions regarding the concept type for each word of the generated list. The suggested concept types are

CC\_surgical\_deed (without subtype) ,CC\_anatomy, CC\_pathology, CC\_intervent\_equipment and CC\_way.

The module works semi-automatically: the list of unknown words is generated in an automatic way, but the user of the system has to decide whether the suggestion is correct or not before adding it to the lexicon.

### V.2 GUESSING NON-SURGICAL-DEED CONCEPTS

The guessing module uses the frames of the linking module. For the guessing of the non-surgical-deed concepts, it uses the constraints given for the fillers of the slots of the surgical deed frame. The general rule is the following: if a phrase (noun phrase or prepositional phrase) has a Semantic Link with a surgical deed concept, at least one of the words of the phrase is a CEN- concept. Suppose a sentence contains a surgical deed concept, but the system is not able to make a semantic link between the surgical deed concept and another concept in the surgical-deedclause. In most cases, this is due to the fact that the concept type of the terms is not known, for example:

(ex. 8)
.. de tunor wordt verwijderd ..
-de tumor CC-?
-verwijderd CC\_surgical\_deed CS\_remove
(.. the tumour will be removed..)

Suppose that *tumor* is not present in the lexicon, then the system is not able to meet the conditions of the slots of *verwijderen* and cannot indicate the R\_direct\_object. The I\_value of *de tumor* meets the required value for the slot <IND>, but none of the concept classes required for the <CC> slot can be matched.

(ex. 8a)

· /		
LEXICAL ELI	EMENT	verwijderd
PART_OF_SP	EECH	verb
CONCEPT TY	PE	CC_surgical_deed
CONCEPT SU	BTYPE	CS_remove
ROLE	*R_DIREC	CT_OBJECT
ARG		
CC	*CC_patho	ology/ CC_combi/
	*CC_anato	omy/
	*CC_interv	vent_equipment
IND	*I_NONE	

The guessing module then 'relaxes' the conditions set and now considers the syntactic function of a noun phrase or a prepositional phrase (expressed by its I\_value) as a sufficient indication for a semantic link. In other words: if the I\_value of an element in the surgical-deed- clause satifies one of the I\_values required for an  $\langle IND \rangle$  slot, then the element will be linked.

(ex. 8b)	
LEXICAL ELEMENT	verwijderd
PART_OF_SPEECH verb	
CONCEPT TYPE	CC_surgical_deed
CONCEPT SUBTYPE	CS_remove
ROLER_DIRECT_OBJECT	
ARG	>de tumor

CC ? IND I\_NONE

The next step is to make a guess about the concept type of the filled-in element. The constraints - CC\_pathology, CC\_combi and CC\_anatomy (see frame for *verwijderen*) of the <CC> slot, are considered as good candidates. To be able to make a choice for one of them, the constraints are connected with priority numbers, obtained by corpus observation:

## (ex. 8c)

part of the entry CS\_remove of the type lexicon:

priority number:

<conceptclass></conceptclass>	CC_surgical_deed	2
<conceptsubclass< td=""><td>&gt;CS_remove</td><td></td></conceptsubclass<>	>CS_remove	
<rol></rol>	R_DIRECT_OBJECT	
<cc1></cc1>	CC_pathology	1
<cc2></cc2>	CC_anatomy	2
<cc3></cc3>	CC_intervent_equipment	3
<cc4></cc4>	CC_combi	2
<prep1></prep1>	I_NONE	
<rol></rol>		

The numbers are based on the occurrences of combinations of concept types in the corpus. These occurrences are translated into priority numbers for the constraints on the  $\langle CC \rangle$  slots which are registered in the type and surgical deed lexicon. The concept type with the highest occurrence (in combination with the given surgical deed concept and the given Semantic Link) was marked with the highest priority number (namely 1). The concept type with the highest number is considered the most likely candidate for the filled-in element. So, the system will suggest the concept type CC\_pathology for *tumor* in (ex. 8). In most cases the element, for which the concept type is guessed, consists of more than one word:

#### (ex. 9)

*.om de laterale tumorale expansie te kunnen verwijderen..* -de laterale tumorale expansie

	CC-? R_DIRECT_OBJECT			
-verwijderen	CC_surgical_deed CS_remove			
( to be able to remove the tumoral expansion)				

The guessed concept type is suggested for all the nouns and adjectives, being the meaningful words in the phrase. Words which have a meaning in general language are marked <GEN>, to indicate that the guess is more questionable than in other cases.

results of the guessing module for (ex.) 9:

laterale	CC_pathology?
tumorale	CC_pathology?
expansie	CC_pathology?

The suggestion is only correct for *tumorale*, whereas *laterale* is of the type MC\_bodyside and *expansie* of the CC\_combi. In a later phase we intend to correct these cases of overgeneration.

### V.3 GUESSING SURGICAL DEED CONCEPTS

The general rule for the guessing of surgical deeds is: each verb that has a Semantic Link with a CEN-concept, is a

surgical deed concept. For finding the unknown surgical deed concepts, Multitale makes use of the frames as well. For each verb in the text that is not in the concept lexicon, a frame is built. This frame is called CS\_neutral. Its semantic constraints - the allowed concept types - and its syntactic constraints - the L values - are less strict than the constraints which have been specified for the frames of the surgical deeds belonging to a specific subtype. Because of the 'neutral' character of the frame, no priority information can be given, so every constraint is labelled with the same degree of priority(=1).

#### (ex. 10)

entry of CS_neutral in the type lexicon:		
	CC surgical deed	
<conceptsubclass>CS_neutral</conceptsubclass>		
<role></role>	R_DIRECT_OBJECT	
<cc1></cc1>	CC_pathology	1
<cc2></cc2>	CC_anatomy	1
< <u>cc</u> 3>	CC_intervent_equipment	1
<cc4></cc4>	CC_combi	1
<prep1></prep1>	I_NONE	1
<role></role>	R_INDIRECT_OBJECT	
<cc1></cc1>	CC_pathology	1
<cc2></cc2>	CC_anatomy	1
<cc4></cc4>	CC_combi	1
<prep1></prep1>	I_SOURCE	1
<prep2></prep2>	I_SITE	1
<role></role>	R_MEANS	
<cc1></cc1>	CC_anatomy	1
<cc2></cc2>	CC_intervent_equipment	1
<prep1></prep1>	I_MEANS	1
<role></role>	R_MANNER	
<cc1></cc1>	CC_surgical_deed	1
<prep1></prep1>	I_MANNER	1
<endtype></endtype>		

If the verb has at least one of the Semantic Links of the entry CS\_neutral, it will be considered as a surgical deed concept:

#### (ex. 11)

...wordt de peritoneale drain intercutaan getunneld .. -peritoneale drain [CC\_intervent\_equipment

R\_DIRECT\_OBJECT?] -getunneld [CC\_surgical\_deed, CS\_neutral?] (the drain is .. connected)

#### (ex. 12)

.. wordt losgemaakt door wegboren .. -losgemaakt [CC\_surgical\_deed, CS\_neutral?] -door wegboren [CS\_remove, R\_MANNER?] (.. freed by removing..)

#### VI. EVALUATION AND CONCLUSION

By way of conclusion we will mention the main results obtained until now. MULTITALE has not yet been extensively tested, yet when confronted with new texts, results look quite satisfactorily and promising. The following table is based upon 5 new medical reports (each some 200 wordtokens in length), the words not being a priori in the lexicon.

#### syntax

present Nps	56
correctly assigned	49
successrate	87%
concept type assignment	
present medical concepts	121
correctly assigned	114
successrate	94%
concept linking	
links present	53
correctly assigned	45
successrate	85%

Although these results should be confirmed by further tests and although the restricted character of the domain, no doubt, has got an influence on the score, yet we hope to have shown that the approach as such to semantic/conceptual tagging of medical reports seems both to be promising and worth while of further exploration.

#### 

## References

CEN: European Committee for Standardisation\TC251\PT002s. 1994. Terminilogy and coding systems of medical procedures and surgical procedures.

Deville, G. 1989. *Modelization of task-oriented utterances in a man-machine dialogue system*. PHD-thesis, Antwerp.

Fillmore, C. J. 1968. The case for case. In Bach, E. and Harms, R. T., eds., *Universals in Linguistic Theory*. Holt, Rinchart and Winston, New York.

Martin, W. 1992 On the parsing of definitions. In *Euralex-Proceedings-92*, pp. 247-256.

Martin, W. 1992. Concept-oriented parsing of definitions. In *Coling-92 Proceedings*, pp. 988-992.

Martin, W. 1994. Knowledge-representation schemata and dictionary definitions. In Carlon, K., ed., *Perspectives* on English. Peeters, Leuven.

Minsky, M. 1975. A framework for representing knowledge. In Winston, P. H., ed., *The psycho-logy of Computer Vision.* Mc\_Graw-Hill,New York.

MULTITALE. 1996. *Final Report*, VU Amsterdam, RAMIT Gent, Office Line Engineering Zonnegem. (in press).

Pinkhof-Hilfman. 1992. Geneeskundig woordenboek. Bohn Stafleu van Loghum, Houten/Zaventum.

Wegner, I. 1985. Frame-Theorie in der lexicographie. Niemeyer, Tuebingen.