JoeyS2T: Minimalistic Speech-to-Text Modeling with JoeyNMT

Mayumi Ohta Computational Linguistics Heidelberg University, Germany jkr ohta@cl.uni-heidelberg.de

Julia Kreutzer Google Research jkreutzer@google.com Stefan Riezler Computational Linguistics & IWR Heidelberg University, Germany riezler@cl.uni-heidelberg.de

Abstract

JoeyS2T is a JoeyNMT (Kreutzer et al., 2019) extension for speech-to-text tasks such as automatic speech recognition and end-to-end speech translation. It inherits the core philosophy of JoeyNMT, a minimalist NMT toolkit built on PyTorch, seeking simplicity and accessibility. JoeyS2T's workflow is self-contained, starting from data pre-processing, over model training and prediction to evaluation, and is seamlessly integrated into JoeyNMT's compact and simple code base. On top of JoeyNMT's state-of-the-art Transformer-based encoderdecoder architecture, JoeyS2T provides speechoriented components such as convolutional layers, SpecAugment, CTC-loss, and WER evaluation. Despite its simplicity compared to prior implementations, JoeyS2T performs competitively on English speech recognition and English-to-German speech translation benchmarks. The implementation is accompanied by a walk-through tutorial and available on https://github.com/may-/joeys2t.

1 Introduction

End-to-end models recently have been shown to be able to outperform complex pipelines of individually trained components in many NLP tasks. For example, in the area of automatic speech recognition (ASR) and speech translation (ST), the performance gap between end-to-end models and cascaded pipelines, where an acoustic model is followed by an HMM for ASR, or an ASR model is followed by a machine translation (MT) model for ST, seems to be closed (Sperber et al., 2019; Bentivogli et al., 2021). An end-to-end approach has several advantages over a pipeline approach: First, it mitigates error propagation through the pipeline. Second, its data requirements are simpler since intermediate data interfaces to bridge components can be skipped. Furthermore, intermediate components such as phoneme dictionaries in ASR or transcriptions in ST need significant amounts of additional human expertise to build. For end-to-end models, the overall model architecture is simpler, consisting of a unified end-to-end neural network. Nonetheless, end-to-end components can be initialized from non end-to-end data, e.g., in audio encoding layers (Xu et al., 2021) or text decoding layers (Li et al., 2021).

ASR or ST tasks usually have a higher entry barrier than MT, especially for novices who have little experience in machine learning, but also for NLP researchers who have previously only worked on text and not speech processing. This can also be seen in the population of the different tracks of NLP conferences. For example, the "Speech and Multimodality" track of ACL 2022 had only a third of the number of papers in the "Machine Translation and Multilinguality" track.¹ However, thanks to the end-to-end paradigm, those tasks are now more accessible for students or entry-level practitioners without huge resources, and without the experience of handling the different modules of a cascaded system or speech processing. The increased adoption of Transformer architectures (Vaswani et al., 2017) in both text (Kalyan et al., 2021) and speech processing (Dong et al., 2018; Karita et al., 2019a,b) has further eased the transfer of knowledge between the two fields, in addition to making joint modeling easier and more unified.

Reviewing existing code bases for end-to-end ASR and ST—for example, DeepSpeech (Hannun et al., 2014), ESPnet (Inaguma et al., 2020; Watanabe et al., 2020), fairseq S2T (Wang et al., 2020), NeurST (Zhao et al., 2021) and Speech-Brain (Ravanelli et al., 2021)—it becomes apparent that the practical use of open-source toolkits still requires significant experience in navigating large-scale code, using complex data formats, preprocessing, neural text modeling, and speech processing in general. High code complexity and a

¹https://public.tableau.com/views/ACL2022map/ Dashboard1?:showVizHome=no

lack of documentation are frustrating hurdles for novices. We propose JoeyS2T, a minimalist and accessible framework, to help novices get started with speech recognition and translation, to accelerate their learning process, and to make ASR and ST more accessible and transparent, that is directly targeting novices and their needs.

We hope that making more accessible implementations will also have trickle-down effects of making the research built on top of it more accessible and more linguistically and geographically diverse (Joshi et al., 2020). This effect has already been observed for the adoption of JoeyNMT for text MT for low-resource languages (\forall et al., 2020; Camgoz et al., 2020; Zhao et al., 2020; Zacarías Márquez and Meza Ruiz, 2021; Ranathunga et al., 2021; Mirzakhalov et al., 2021). Furthermore, speech technology has an even higher potential for language inclusivity (Black, 2019; Abraham et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2022; Liu et al., 2022).

2 Speech-to-Text Modeling

Automatic speech recognition and translation require mapping a speech feature sequence X = $\{\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$ to a text token sequence $Y = \{y_t \in \mathcal{V}\}.$ The continuous speech signal in its raw wave form is pre-processed into a sequence of discrete frames that are each represented as d-dimensional speech feature vectors x_i , e.g., log Mel filterbanks at the *i*-th time frame. In contrast, a textual sequence is naturally composed of discrete symbols that can be broken down into units of different granularity, e.g. characters, sub-words, or words. These units then form a vocabulary, so in the above formulation y_t is the t-th target token from the vocabulary \mathcal{V} . The goal of S2T modeling is then to find the most probable target token sequence \hat{Y} from all possible vocabulary combinations $\mathcal{V}*:$

$$\hat{Y} = \underset{Y \in \mathcal{V}_*}{\operatorname{arg\,max}} p(Y \mid X). \tag{1}$$

2.1 Why End-to-End Modeling?

In conventional HMM modeling, the posterior probability $p(Y \mid X)$ from Eq. 1 is decomposed into three components by introducing the HMM state sequences $S = \{s_t\}$:

$$p(Y \mid X) \approx \underbrace{p(X \mid S)}_{\text{Acoustic Model Lexical Model}} \underbrace{p(S \mid Y)}_{\text{LM}} \underbrace{p(Y)}_{\text{LM}}.$$
 (2)

The components correspond to an acoustic model $p(X \mid S)$, a lexical representation model $p(S \mid S)$

Y), and a language model p(Y). For practitioners, this means that three individual models need to be implemented, trained and combined. This comes with a large overhead, since each of them requires dedicated linguistic resources and experience in training and tuning. Attention-based deep neural networks have reduced this burden significantly since they implicitly model all three components in a single neural network, mapping X directly to Y (Chorowski et al., 2015; Chan et al., 2016).

2.2 Optimization

Most approaches to sequence-to-sequence learning tasks like MT use the cross-entropy (Xent) loss for optimization, and break the sequence prediction task down to a token-level objective. The posterior probability from above is modeled as the product of output token probabilities conditioned on the entire input sequence X and the target prefix $y_{<t}$:

$$p_{\text{xent}}(Y \mid X) := \prod_{t} p(y_t \mid y_{< t}; X).$$
 (3)

A popular alternative in ASR is to employ Connectionist Temporal Classification (CTC) loss (Graves and Jaitly, 2014). CTC uses a Markov assumption to model the transition of states similar to conventional HMM:

$$p_{\rm ctc}(Y \mid X) := \sum_{\mathcal{A}} \prod_{t} p(a_t \mid X), \qquad (4)$$

where \mathcal{A} denotes the set of valid alignments from X to Y, $a_t \in \mathcal{A}$ is one possible alignment at the t-th time step, and marginalizing the conditional probability $p(a_t \mid X)$ over all valid possible alignments yields the sequence-level probability.

This CTC formulation is suitable to learn monotonic alignments between audio and text, and it also can handle very long sequences efficiently by solving dynamic programming on the state transition graph. The assumption of conditional independence at different time steps is a potentially harmful simplification which is compensated for by a token-level objective and by jointly minimizing cross-entropy and CTC loss (Hori et al., 2017; Watanabe et al., 2017). The final optimization objective in the JoeyS2T implementation is a logarithmic linear combination of the label-smoothed cross-entropy loss and the CTC loss defined above:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{total}} := (1 - \lambda) \log p_{\text{xent}}(Y \mid X) + \lambda \ \log p_{\text{ctc}}(Y \mid X), \tag{5}$$

where $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ is an interpolation parameter.

3 Design Principles

Simplicity: We devoted considerable effort to keep JoeyS2T's module structure simple and flat. It directly employs the PyTorch (Paszke et al., 2019) backend and has a low level of abstraction (details in Section 4.6). JoeyS2T has a minimal list of external dependencies that can be easily installed via the PyPI² tool. Even for pre-processing, external dependencies on tools such as Kaldi (Povey et al., 2011) are avoided. For filterbank feature extraction, we use TorchAudio³ which is seamlessly integrated into PyTorch. In contrast to other toolkits, speech modules extended in JoeyS2T are only built for speech-to-text modeling. It does not implement speech enhancement, nor speaker detection or speech generation. While this might appear like a limitation, we believe that the reduction of functionalities to a carefully identified minimum for ST and ASR is the key for increased accessibility.⁴

Accessibility: We also have written extensive documentation and walk-through tutorials to help newcomers become more familiar with speech technologies. JoeyS2T also provides pretrained models including configuration files which lower the barrier to get started. To guarantee the accessibility of the code, we open-sourced JoeyS2T under a very permissive license (Apache 2.0). The JoeyS2T developer community actively supports user questions and requests. We maintain an open platform to discuss bug fixes, possible extensions etc. All contributions are first automatically controlled by the internal unit tests and will manually be reviewed by our team.

Reproducibility: To ensure that the reported results are comparable and reproducible, we release models trained on publicly available data. Our evaluation metrics are described in detail (tokenization, punctuation handling etc.). All pre- and postprocessing scripts are published with a data download path and explicit hyperparameter configurations. We track all code changes in our repository and provide version information which is often a critical factor for reproducibility as bug fixes can affect evaluation scores.

4 Implementation and Usage

4.1 Hyperparameter Configuration

JoeyS2T sets up experiments based on a YAMLstyle configuration file which declares the whole pipeline, just like JoeyNMT. Processes are run in a Python interface without relying on external Bash or Perl scripts. In the configuration file, users can choose between the tasks MT (Machine Translation) or S2T (Speech-to-Text) in order to inform JoeyS2T about the input data type: audio or text. The hyperparameters of speech-related modules such as SpecAugment, 1d-Conv etc. can also be specified in the same configuration file.⁵

4.2 Data Loading and Pre-processing

Source Audios: We separated computationally heavy pre-processing steps from model training, e.g., the conversion from raw wave forms to spectrograms by Fourier transformation. We employ the TorchAudio API to extract audio features in the pre-processing scripts. JoeyS2T includes modules for Cepstral Mean Variance Normalization (CMVN) (Viikki and Laurila, 1998) and SpecAugment (Park et al., 2019) by default. These are applied minibatch-wise before the input data are fed into the encoder.

Data Loading: As a precautionary measure to avoid memory allocation errors (which can happen for large audio inputs) we implemented on-the-fly data loading: we only store the path to the data in the iterator, and load the actual spectrogram features into memory every time a minibatch is constructed.

Target Texts: For target texts, we expect users to prepare a tokenization model independently and to specify the path to the trained tokenizer. Besides rule-based character-level tokenization and basic white space splitting, we currently support subword-nmt tokenizers (Sennrich et al., 2016) and SentencePiece tokenizers (Kudo and Richardson, 2018). Users can specify tokenizer options in JoeyS2T's configuration file. During training, JoeyS2T applies text tokenization on the fly. Since the text length can be calculated only after tokenization, instance filtering by length is applied in this step. Thanks to this flexible on-the-fly tokenization, dynamic data augmentation methods i.e., BPE Dropout (Provilkov et al., 2020), SwitchOut (Wang

²https://pypi.org/

³https://github.com/pytorch/audio

⁴A clean code base can always be extended by users once they are more proficient. For example, JoeyNMT has been successfully extended to other modalities and integrated into web interfaces by advanced users. See https://github.com/ joeynmt/joeynmt#projects-and-extensions

⁵Sample configuration files for different datasets are available at https://github.com/may-/joeys2t/configs



Figure 1: Architecture of JoeyS2T. We reuse JoeyNMT's basic building blocks and extended them by essential audio-specific modules.

et al., 2018) or ADA (Lam et al., 2021) can be easily integrated.

4.3 Architectures

JoeyS2T supports a Transformer-based encoderdecoder architecture (see Figure 1). We reuse the self-attention encoder and decoder layers of JoeyNMT, and modify them in order to support speech-specific components.

Input Representations: Instead of converting token embeddings from discrete one-hot encodings to continuous vectors (as done for text input), we directly feed the sequence of filterbank vectors to the encoder. The embedding size in text-based JoeyNMT thus corresponds to the filterbank frequency size in JoeyS2T.

Encoder: The biggest difference to the original text-to-text Transformer architecture is the 1dimensional convolution layer (1d-Conv) placed before the self-attention encoder. It compresses potentially redundant features along the time dimension in order to capture phonetic structures. Each 1d-Conv layer has a stride of 2. This further downsamples the sequence by a factor of 2^l , where *l* is the number of 1d-Conv layers. The reduction of the input length is essential for computation speed: Speech feature sequences are usually much longer than text token sequences, and the computational complexity of one self-attention block is $\mathcal{O}(u^2 \cdot d)$ (Vaswani et al., 2017), where u is the maximal input length (number of tokens in textual input, or number of time frames in speech input), and d is the embedding size.

Decoder: We reuse the decoder construction of the original JoeyNMT code, but add one additional

linear layer for the CTC loss on top of the selfattentive decoder layers.

Inference: We support greedy and beam search based on the token probability distributions. All inference enhancements introduced in JoeyNMT v2.0 such as repetition penalty, n-gram blocker, probability scoring, attention visualization of cross-attention heads in transformer layers, etc. are supported by JoeyS2T as well.

4.4 Evaluation Metrics

JoeyS2T supports Character F-score (ChrF) (Popović, 2015), BLEU (Papineni et al., 2002) and Word Error Rate (WER) based on Levenshtein distance (Navarro, 2001) as evaluation metrics for ASR and ST. We import sacrebleu⁷ (Post, 2018) for ChrF and BLEU, and editdistance⁸ (Hyyrö, 2001) for WER. In addition, perplexity and accuracy can be monitored during training on Tensorboard (Abadi et al., 2015).

4.5 Documentation and Tutorial

We follow the documentation strategy of JoeyNMT, which means that all extended functions have their own docstring and in-line comments for tensor shapes. Unit tests covering essential modules are automatically triggered on every commit to the repository.

In the hands-on tutorial, we present working examples for ASR and ST as Jupyter notebooks.⁹ The walk-through tutorial is self-contained and explains the whole pipeline: installation steps, data downloading, data pre-processing, configuration, model training/fine-tuning, inference and evaluation. We will keep the tutorial up to date with potential future API changes.

4.6 Code complexity

JoeyNMT exhibits the spirit of minimalism by aiming to achieve 80% of the output quality with 20% of a common toolkit's code size (80/20 principle; (Pareto, 1896)). Table 3 gives statistics on code

```
<sup>6</sup>nrefs:1|case:mixed|eff:no|tok:13a|smooth:exp|version:2.1.0
```

```
<sup>7</sup>https://github.com/mjpost/sacrebleu
```

```
<sup>8</sup>https://github.com/roy-ht/editdistance
<sup>9</sup>Demo video: https://youtu.be/bpBtq2jLolQ
```

```
<sup>10</sup>https://github.com/espnet/espnet/tree/master/
```

```
espnet2 (commit hash 039cc5d)
```

```
<sup>11</sup>https://github.com/pytorch/fairseq/tree/main/
fairseq (commit hash ad3bec5)
```

```
<sup>12</sup>https://github.com/may-/joeys2t/tree/main/
joeynmt (commit hash a80802a)
```

| | | LibriSpeech 100h (WER ↓) | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--|
| System | Architecture | dev-clean | dev-other | test-clean | test-other | |
| Kahn et al. (2020) [†] | BiLSTM | 14.00 | 37.02 | 14.85 | 39.95 | |
| Laptev et al. (2020) [†] | Transformer | 10.3 | 24.0 | 11.2 | 24.9 | |
| ESPnet [‡] | Transformer | 8.1 | 20.2 | 8.4 | 20.5 | |
| ESPnet [‡] | Conformer | 6.3 | 17.4 | 6.5 | 17.3 | |
| JoeyS2T | Transformer | 10.66 ± 0.36 | 23.82 ± 0.34 | 12.02 ± 0.32 | 24.75 ± 0.37 | |
| | | LibriSpeech 960h (WER ↓) | | | | |
| System | Architecture | dev-clean | dev-other | test-clean | test-other | |
| Gulati et al. (2020) [†] | Conformer | 1.9 | 4.4 | 2.1 | 4.9 | |
| ESPnet [‡] | Conformer | 2.3 | 6.1 | 2.6 | 6.0 | |
| SpeechBrain [*] | Conformer | 2.13 | 5.51 | 2.31 | 5.61 | |
| fairseq S2T* | Transformer | 3.23 | 8.01 | 3.52 | 7.83 | |
| fairseq wav2vec2* | Conformer | 3.17 | 8.86 | 3.39 | 8.57 | |
| JoeyS2T | Transformer | 3.79 ± 0.27 | 8.84 ± 0.39 | 4.31 ± 0.52 | 8.66 ± 0.35 | |

Table 1: Averaged results in WER on the English **LibriSpeech** dataset over three runs with standard deviations (\pm) . We compute the WER on lowercased transcriptions without punctuations using SacreBLEU's 13a tokenizer. †: results were reported in the papers linked above. ‡: results were taken from the repository linked above. *: we downloaded their pretrained models from the repository, and ran the inference and the evaluation on the same test data as we use in JoeyS2T.

| | MuST | -C ver. | ASR (WER \downarrow) | | MT (BLEU ↑) | |
|----------------------------------|-------------|---------|--------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| System | train | eval | tst-COMMON | tst-HE | tst-COMMON | tst-HE |
| Gangi et al. (2019) [†] | v1 | v1 | 27.0 | - | 25.3 | - |
| Zhang et al. $(2020)^{\dagger}$ | v1 | v1 | - | - | 29.69 | - |
| ESPnet [‡] | v1 | v1 | 12.70 | - | 27.63 | - |
| fairseq S2T* | v1 | v1 | 12.72 | 10.93 | - | - |
| JoeyS2T | v2 | v1 | $18.86 {\pm} 0.37$ | $15.19{\pm}0.56$ | $23.07 {\pm} 0.14$ | $20.21 {\pm} 0.17$ |
| fairseq S2T* | v1 | v2 | 11.88 | 10.43 | - | - |
| JoeyS2T | v2 | v2 | 12.95 ± 0.32 | $11.16 {\pm} 0.31$ | $27.17 {\pm} 0.63$ | $24.85{\pm}0.68$ |
| | MuST-C ver. | | Cascade ST (BLEU ↑) | | End2End ST (BLEU ↑) | |
| System | train | eval | tst-COMMON | tst-HE | tst-COMMON | tst-HE |
| Gangi et al. (2019) [†] | v1 | v1 | 18.5 | - | 17.3 | - |
| Zhang et al. (2020) [†] | v1 | v1 | 22.52 | - | 20.67 | - |
| ESPnet [‡] | v1 | v1 | - | - | 22.91 | - |
| fairseq S2T* | v1 | v1 | - | - | 22.70 | 21.70 |
| JoeyS2T | v2 | v1 | 21.89±0.64 | $21.03 {\pm} 0.66$ | $20.53 {\pm} 0.29$ | $21.13 {\pm} 0.46$ |
| fairseq S2T* | v1 | v2 | - | - | 23.20 | 22.23 |
| JoeyS2T | v2 | v2 | 23.95 ± 0.59 | $22.65{\pm}0.58$ | $23.33 {\pm} 0.39$ | $22.90 {\pm} 0.69$ |

Table 2: Averaged results on the **MuST-C en-de** dataset over three runs with standard deviations (\pm) . We compute the BLEU on truecased translations with punctuations using SacreBLEU's 13a tokenizer.⁶ †: results were reported in the papers linked above. ‡: results were taken from the repository linked above. *: we downloaded their pretrained models from the repository, and ran the inference and evaluation on the same test data as we use in JoeyS2T.

complexity. In terms of the numbers of Python files and code lines, JoeyS2T is 10–11 times more compact than ESPnet (Inaguma et al., 2020; Watanabe et al., 2020) and fairseq (Wang et al., 2020). However, both ESPnet and fairseq are general-purpose toolkits, covering a wide range of tasks beyond MT, ASR or ST, such as language modeling or speech synthesis, while JoeyS2T is designed for a speechto-text tasks only. Yet JoeyS2T's comment-to-code ratio is much higher than that of the competitors.

JoeyS2T offers a flat code structure in order to make debugging along the stack trace easier

| | ESPnet2 ¹⁰ | fairseq ¹¹ | JoeyS2T ¹² |
|------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Python files | 287 | 407 | 24 |
| Code lines | 41427 | 65097 | 5450 |
| Comment lines | 10260 | 11042 | 2137 |
| Comment/Code Rat | io 0.25 | 0.17 | 0.39 |

Table 3: Code complexity measured using https://github.com/AlDanial/cloc v1.94.

and to reduce the number of code files and nested classes/functions to read through. In contrast, fairseq's codebase is organized hierarchically. This deep hierarchy comes from the structured class inheritance, which is an important component of object-oriented programming for experienced developers. However, such hierarchical class inheritance is sometimes a big stumbling block for novices (Wiedenbeck et al., 1999). We intentionally abandon deeply inherited class design and use novice-friendly flat structure instead. As a result, developers do not have to allocate their cognitive resources to framework-specific software design principles, but they can concentrate on the logic they want to realize. JoeyS2T encourages novices to dive into speech-to-text research before they mature in high-context system design such as hierarchical class inheritance or decorators.

5 Experimental Results on Benchmarks

Despite its simplicity, JoeyS2T achieves a performance on standard benchmarks that is comparable to other high-functional speech-to-text toolkits.

5.1 ASR on LibriSpeech

LibriSpeech (Panayotov et al., 2015) is the de-facto standard English ASR benchmark that contains 960 hours of audiobooks in Project Gutenberg. The corpus is publicly available under the CC BY 4.0 license and many works set their goal to achieve state-of-the-art WER on its test splits.

Tables 1 present the results of models trained on 100h and 960h audio, respectively. JoeyS2T shows comparable performance with current Transformerbased models, which are generally outperformed by Conformer (Gulati et al., 2020) models.

5.2 ST on MuST-C

MuST-C (Cattoni et al., 2021) is a publicly available speech translation corpus built from English TED Talks. It consists of English transcriptions and translations into 14 languages, contributed by volunteers. We trained our model on the English-German subset of version 2, and evaluated the model both on version 1 and version 2 tst-COMMON, and tst-HE splits.

MuST-C is a challenging dataset due to its spontaneous speech that contains hesitations, disfluent utterances, etc. on the source side. Furthermore, the ground-truth target texts derived from the subtitles are also noisy. There are some additional descriptions of non-verbal information, i.e., "(applause)" "(laughter)", or " \checkmark (music)". Those are not actually pronounced in the source, but provided in the target, which makes learning more difficult. We normalized such noisy expressions and specified them as special tokens during the subword training, so that they are not tokenized into subwords but kept as single tokens. For the sake of reproducibility, we provide a preprocessing script for all normalization steps.

For ST tasks, we first pretrained ASR models and MT models using the gold transcriptions. Then we initialized the encoder layers of an end-to-end ST model with the pretrained ASR encoders and the decoder layers with the pretrained MT decoders, and further trained it on the end-to-end ST task.

The ST results can be found in Table 2. JoeyS2T shows competitive results, both in end-to-end scenarios and in a cascade using the same pre-trained models. We also include the ASR and MT pretraining results for reference.

6 Conclusion & Future Work

We described JoeyS2T, an extension of the JoeyNMT toolkit to the spoken language processing tasks ASR and ST. JoeyS2T is characterized by its minimalist design, prioritization of simplicity, accessibility and reproducibility in its code and documentation. The code is self-contained and requires minimal prior experience with speech or language processing. In benchmark evaluations, JoeyS2T performed comparable or superior to other ASR or ST code bases, while having much lower code complexity.

While its functionality is kept minimal, support for state-of-the-art architectures such as wav2vec and Conformer might be desired for future extensions.

Limitations

The limitations of our work mainly concern the reproducibility of comparable state-of-the-art re-

sults. First, there are many different preprocessing variants which are quite complex (length filtering, speed shift, lowercasing, punctuation normalization etc.) and not always clearly documented. Second, the same problem appears in evaluation. There is no commonly accepted evaluation scheme (including lower-cased vs. true-cased results, with or without punctuation, etc.). While the sacrebleu library is a first step to addressing this problem in MT, we believe that the speech processing community also needs such efforts to standardize speech-to-text evaluation.

Since the goal of our work is not to present a new state-of-the-art in speech-to-text modeling, we did not invest a large effort into hyperparameter tuning, but only varied three different random seeds in our setup, and used the default settings for competitor systems.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the members of the StatNLP group at Heidelberg University and the AIMS Senegal students for their feedback on the tutorial. Furthermore, we appreciate the discussions with the Masakhane¹³ community in the early stages of the toolkit development. We also thank Yaraku Inc.¹⁴ for the opportunity to publish JoeyS2T tutorial articles.¹⁵

References

- Martín Abadi, Ashish Agarwal, Paul Barham, Eugene Brevdo, Zhifeng Chen, Craig Citro, Greg S. Corrado, Andy Davis, Jeffrey Dean, Matthieu Devin, Sanjay Ghemawat, Ian Goodfellow, Andrew Harp, Geoffrey Irving, Michael Isard, Yangqing Jia, Rafal Jozefowicz, Lukasz Kaiser, Manjunath Kudlur, Josh Levenberg, Dandelion Mané, Rajat Monga, Sherry Moore, Derek Murray, Chris Olah, Mike Schuster, Jonathon Shlens, Benoit Steiner, Ilya Sutskever, Kunal Talwar, Paul Tucker, Vincent Vanhoucke, Vijay Vasudevan, Fernanda Viégas, Oriol Vinyals, Pete Warden, Martin Wattenberg, Martin Wicke, Yuan Yu, and Xiaoqiang Zheng. 2015. TensorFlow: Large-scale machine learning on heterogeneous systems. Software available from tensorflow.org.
- Basil Abraham, Danish Goel, Divya Siddarth, Kalika Bali, Manu Chopra, Monojit Choudhury, Pratik Joshi, Preethi Jyoti, Sunayana Sitaram, and Vivek Seshadri. 2020. Crowdsourcing speech data for low-resource

languages from low-income workers. In *Proceed*ings of the 12th Language Resources and Evaluation *Conference*, pages 2819–2826, Marseille, France. European Language Resources Association.

- Luisa Bentivogli, Mauro Cettolo, Marco Gaido, Alina Karakanta, Alberto Martinelli, Matteo Negri, and Marco Turchi. 2021. Cascade versus direct speech translation: Do the differences still make a difference? In Proceedings of the 59th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 11th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (Volume 1: Long Papers), pages 2873–2887, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Alan W Black. 2019. Cmu wilderness multilingual speech dataset. In ICASSP 2019 - 2019 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP), pages 5971–5975.
- Necati Cihan Camgoz, Oscar Koller, Simon Hadfield, and Richard Bowden. 2020. Sign language transformers: Joint end-to-end sign language recognition and translation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 10023–10033.
- Roldano Cattoni, Mattia Antonino Di Gangi, Luisa Bentivogli, Matteo Negri, and Marco Turchi. 2021. Mustc: A multilingual corpus for end-to-end speech translation. *Computer Speech & Language*, 66:101155.
- William Chan, Navdeep Jaitly, Quoc Le, and Oriol Vinyals. 2016. Listen, attend and spell: A neural network for large vocabulary conversational speech recognition. In 2016 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP), pages 4960–4964.
- Jan Chorowski, Dzmitry Bahdanau, Dmitriy Serdyuk, Kyunghyun Cho, and Yoshua Bengio. 2015. Attention-based models for speech recognition. In Proceedings of the 28th International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems - Volume 1, NIPS' 15, page 577–585, Cambridge, MA, USA. MIT Press.
- Linhao Dong, Shuang Xu, and Bo Xu. 2018. Speechtransformer: A no-recurrence sequence-to-sequence model for speech recognition. In 2018 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP), pages 5884–5888.
- ∀, Wilhelmina Nekoto, Vukosi Marivate, Tshinondiwa Matsila, Timi Fasubaa, Taiwo Fagbohungbe, Solomon Oluwole Akinola, Shamsuddeen Muhammad, Salomon Kabongo Kabenamualu, Salomey Osei, Freshia Sackey, Rubungo Andre Niyongabo, Ricky Macharm, Perez Ogayo, Orevaoghene Ahia, Musie Meressa Berhe, Mofetoluwa Adeyemi, Masabata Mokgesi-Selinga, Lawrence Okegbemi, Laura Martinus, Kolawole Tajudeen, Kevin Degila, Kelechi Ogueji, Kathleen Siminyu, Julia Kreutzer, Jason Webster, Jamiil Toure Ali, Jade Abbott,

¹³https://www.masakhane.io/

¹⁴https://www.yarakuzen.com/

¹⁵https://atmarkit.itmedia.co.jp/ait/articles/ 2208/17/news002.html

Iroro Orife, Ignatius Ezeani, Idris Abdulkadir Dangana, Herman Kamper, Hady Elsahar, Goodness Duru, Ghollah Kioko, Murhabazi Espoir, Elan van Biljon, Daniel Whitenack, Christopher Onyefuluchi, Chris Chinenye Emezue, Bonaventure F. P. Dossou, Blessing Sibanda, Blessing Bassey, Ayodele Olabiyi, Arshath Ramkilowan, Alp Öktem, Adewale Akinfaderin, and Abdallah Bashir. 2020. Participatory research for low-resourced machine translation: A case study in African languages. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2020*, pages 2144–2160, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.

- Mattia A. Di Gangi, Matteo Negri, and Marco Turchi. 2019. Adapting Transformer to End-to-End Spoken Language Translation. In *Proc. Interspeech 2019*, pages 1133–1137.
- Alex Graves and Navdeep Jaitly. 2014. Towards endto-end speech recognition with recurrent neural networks. *International conference on machine learning*, pages 1764–1772.
- Anmol Gulati, James Qin, Chung-Cheng Chiu, Niki Parmar, Yu Zhang, Jiahui Yu, Wei Han, Shibo Wang, Zhengdong Zhang, Yonghui Wu, and Ruoming Pang. 2020. Conformer: Convolution-augmented transformer for speech recognition. In Interspeech 2020, 21st Annual Conference of the International Speech Communication Association, pages 5036– 5040, Shanghai, China. ISCA.
- Awni Hannun, Carl Case, Jared Casper, Bryan Catanzaro, Greg Diamos, Erich Elsen, Ryan Prenger, Sanjeev Satheesh, Shubho Sengupta, Adam Coates, et al. 2014. Deep speech: Scaling up end-to-end speech recognition. arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.5567.
- Takaaki Hori, Shinji Watanabe, and John Hershey. 2017. Joint CTC/attention decoding for end-to-end speech recognition. In Proceedings of the 55th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers), pages 518–529, Vancouver, Canada. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Heikki Hyyrö. 2001. Explaining and extending the bitparallel approximate string matching algorithm of myers. Technical report, Citeseer.
- Hirofumi Inaguma, Shun Kiyono, Kevin Duh, Shigeki Karita, Nelson Yalta, Tomoki Hayashi, and Shinji Watanabe. 2020. ESPnet-ST: All-in-one speech translation toolkit. In Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics: System Demonstrations, pages 302–311, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Pratik Joshi, Sebastin Santy, Amar Budhiraja, Kalika Bali, and Monojit Choudhury. 2020. The state and fate of linguistic diversity and inclusion in the NLP world. In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 6282–6293, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.

- Jacob Kahn, Ann Lee, and Awni Hannun. 2020. Selftraining for end-to-end speech recognition. In 2020 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP), pages 7084–7088. IEEE.
- Katikapalli Subramanyam Kalyan, Ajit Rajasekharan, and Sivanesan Sangeetha. 2021. AMMUS : A survey of transformer-based pretrained models in natural language processing. *CoRR*, abs/2108.05542.
- Shigeki Karita, N. Chen, Tomoki Hayashi, Takaaki Hori, H. Inaguma, Ziyan Jiang, Masao Someki, Nelson Yalta, Ryuichi Yamamoto, Xiao fei Wang, Shinji Watanabe, Takenori Yoshimura, and Wangyou Zhang. 2019a. A comparative study on transformer vs rnn in speech applications. 2019 IEEE Automatic Speech Recognition and Understanding Workshop (ASRU), pages 449–456.
- Shigeki Karita, Nelson Yalta, Shinji Watanabe, Marc Delcroix, Atsunori Ogawa, and Tomohiro Nakatani. 2019b. Improving transformer-based end-to-end speech recognition with connectionist temporal classification and language model integration. In *INTER-SPEECH*.
- Julia Kreutzer, Jasmijn Bastings, and Stefan Riezler. 2019. Joey NMT: A minimalist NMT toolkit for novices. In Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP): System Demonstrations, pages 109–114, Hong Kong, China. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Taku Kudo and John Richardson. 2018. SentencePiece: A simple and language independent subword tokenizer and detokenizer for neural text processing. In Proceedings of the 2018 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing: System Demonstrations, pages 66–71, Brussels, Belgium. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Tsz Kin Lam, Mayumi Ohta, Shigehiko Schamoni, and Stefan Riezler. 2021. On-the-fly aligned data augmentation for sequence-to-sequence ASR. In 22nd Annual Conference of the International Speech Communication Association, INTERSPEECH 2021, pages 4261–4265. International Speech Communication Association.
- Aleksandr Laptev, Roman Korostik, Aleksey Svischev, Andrei Andrusenko, Ivan Medennikov, and Sergey Rybin. 2020. You do not need more data: Improving end-to-end speech recognition by text-to-speech data augmentation. In 2020 13th International Congress on Image and Signal Processing, BioMedical Engineering and Informatics (CISP-BMEI), pages 439– 444. IEEE.
- Xian Li, Changhan Wang, Yun Tang, Chau Tran, Yuqing Tang, Juan Pino, Alexei Baevski, Alexis Conneau, and Michael Auli. 2021. Multilingual speech translation from efficient finetuning of pretrained models.

In Proceedings of the 59th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 11th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (Volume 1: Long Papers), pages 827–838, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.

- Zoey Liu, Crystal Richardson, Richard Hatcher, and Emily Prud'hommeaux. 2022. Not always about you: Prioritizing community needs when developing endangered language technology. In *Proceedings* of the 60th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers), pages 3933–3944, Dublin, Ireland. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Jamshidbek Mirzakhalov, Anoop Babu, Aigiz Kunafin, Ahsan Wahab, Behzod Moydinboyev, Sardana Ivanova, Mokhiyakhon Uzokova, Shaxnoza Pulatova, Duygu Ataman, Julia Kreutzer, Francis Tyers, Orhan Firat, John Licato, and Sriram Chellappan. 2021. Evaluating multiway multilingual nmt in the turkic languages. In *Proceedings of the Sixth Conference on Machine Translation*, Punta Cana, Dominican Republic.
- Gonzalo Navarro. 2001. A guided tour to approximate string matching. ACM Comput. Surv., 33(1):31–88.
- Vassil Panayotov, Guoguo Chen, Daniel Povey, and Sanjeev Khudanpur. 2015. Librispeech: An asr corpus based on public domain audio books. In 2015 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP), pages 5206–5210.
- Kishore Papineni, Salim Roukos, Todd Ward, and Wei-Jing Zhu. 2002. Bleu: a method for automatic evaluation of machine translation. In *Proceedings of the* 40th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics, pages 311–318, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Vilfredo Pareto. 1896. *Cours d'économie politique:* professé à l'Universi∀té de Lausanne, volume 1. F. Rouge.
- Daniel S Park, William Chan, Yu Zhang, Chung-Cheng Chiu, Barret Zoph, Ekin D Cubuk, and Quoc V Le. 2019. SpecAugment: A simple data augmentation method for automatic speech recognition. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1904.08779*.
- Adam Paszke, Sam Gross, Francisco Massa, Adam Lerer, James Bradbury, Gregory Chanan, Trevor Killeen, Zeming Lin, Natalia Gimelshein, Luca Antiga, et al. 2019. Pytorch: An imperative style, high-performance deep learning library. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 32:8026– 8037.
- Maja Popović. 2015. chrF: character n-gram F-score for automatic MT evaluation. In Proceedings of the Tenth Workshop on Statistical Machine Translation, pages 392–395, Lisbon, Portugal. Association for Computational Linguistics.

- Matt Post. 2018. A call for clarity in reporting BLEU scores. In *Proceedings of the Third Conference on Machine Translation: Research Papers*, pages 186–191, Brussels, Belgium. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Daniel Povey, Arnab Ghoshal, Gilles Boulianne, Lukas Burget, Ondrej Glembek, Nagendra Goel, Mirko Hannemann, Petr Motlicek, Yanmin Qian, Petr Schwarz, et al. 2011. The kaldi speech recognition toolkit. In *IEEE 2011 workshop on automatic speech recognition and understanding*, CONF. IEEE Signal Processing Society.
- Ivan Provilkov, Dmitrii Emelianenko, and Elena Voita. 2020. BPE-dropout: Simple and effective subword regularization. In Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics, pages 1882–1892, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Surangika Ranathunga, En-Shiun Annie Lee, Marjana Prifti Skenduli, Ravi Shekhar, Mehreen Alam, and Rishemjit Kaur. 2021. Neural machine translation for low-resource languages: A survey. *CoRR*, abs/2106.15115.
- Mirco Ravanelli, Titouan Parcollet, Peter Plantinga, Aku Rouhe, Samuele Cornell, Loren Lugosch, Cem Subakan, Nauman Dawalatabad, Abdelwahab Heba, Jianyuan Zhong, et al. 2021. SpeechBrain: A general-purpose speech toolkit. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.04624*.
- Rico Sennrich, Barry Haddow, and Alexandra Birch. 2016. Neural machine translation of rare words with subword units. In *Proceedings of the 54th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 1715–1725, Berlin, Germany. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Matthias Sperber, Graham Neubig, Jan Niehues, and Alex Waibel. 2019. Attention-Passing Models for Robust and Data-Efficient End-to-End Speech Translation. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 7:313–325.
- Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N Gomez, Ł ukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. 2017. Attention is all you need. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 30.
- Olli Viikki and Kari Laurila. 1998. Cepstral domain segmental feature vector normalization for noise robust speech recognition. *Speech Communication*, 25(1-3):133–147.
- Changhan Wang, Yun Tang, Xutai Ma, Anne Wu, Dmytro Okhonko, and Juan Pino. 2020. Fairseq S2T: Fast speech-to-text modeling with fairseq. In Proceedings of the 1st Conference of the Asia-Pacific Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 10th International Joint Conference

on Natural Language Processing: System Demonstrations, pages 33–39, Suzhou, China. Association for Computational Linguistics.

- Xinyi Wang, Hieu Pham, Zihang Dai, and Graham Neubig. 2018. SwitchOut: an efficient data augmentation algorithm for neural machine translation. In *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 856–861, Brussels, Belgium. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Shinji Watanabe, Florian Boyer, Xuankai Chang, Pengcheng Guo, Tomoki Hayashi, Yosuke Higuchi, Takaaki Hori, Wen-Chin Huang, Hirofumi Inaguma, Naoyuki Kamo, et al. 2020. The 2020 ESPNet update: New features, broadened applications, performance improvements, and future plans. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2012.13006*.
- Shinji Watanabe, Takaaki Hori, Suyoun Kim, John R. Hershey, and Tomoki Hayashi. 2017. Hybrid CTC/Attention Architecture for End-to-End Speech Recognition. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Signal Processing*, 11(8):1240–1253.
- Susan Wiedenbeck, Vennila Ramalingam, Suseela Sarasamma, and Cynthia L Corritore. 1999. A comparison of the comprehension of object-oriented and procedural programs by novice programmers. *Interacting with Computers*, 11(3):255–282.
- Chen Xu, Bojie Hu, Yanyang Li, Yuhao Zhang, Shen Huang, Qi Ju, Tong Xiao, and Jingbo Zhu. 2021. Stacked acoustic-and-textual encoding: Integrating the pre-trained models into speech translation encoders. In Proceedings of the 59th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 11th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (Volume 1: Long Papers), pages 2619–2630, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Delfino Zacarías Márquez and Ivan Vladimir Meza Ruiz. 2021. Ayuuk-Spanish neural machine translator. In Proceedings of the First Workshop on Natural Language Processing for Indigenous Languages of the Americas, pages 168–172, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Biao Zhang, Ivan Titov, Barry Haddow, and Rico Sennrich. 2020. Adaptive feature selection for end-toend speech translation. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2020*, pages 2533–2544, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Shiyue Zhang, Ben Frey, and Mohit Bansal. 2022. How can NLP help revitalize endangered languages? a case study and roadmap for the Cherokee language. In *Proceedings of the 60th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 1529–1541, Dublin, Ireland. Association for Computational Linguistics.

- Chengqi Zhao, Mingxuan Wang, Qianqian Dong, Rong Ye, and Lei Li. 2021. NeurST: Neural speech translation toolkit. In Proceedings of the 59th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 11th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing: System Demonstrations, pages 55–62, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Xingyuan Zhao, Satoru Ozaki, Antonios Anastasopoulos, Graham Neubig, and Lori Levin. 2020. Automatic interlinear glossing for under-resourced languages leveraging translations. In *Proceedings of the 28th International Conference on Computational Linguistics*, pages 5397–5408, Barcelona, Spain (Online). International Committee on Computational Linguistics.