Association for Machine Translation in the Americas

AMTA - 2006 CONFERENCE TUTORIAL ON An Overview of Statistical

Machine Translation

Presenters:

David Smith

and

Charles Schafer

BOSTON MARRIOTT CAMBRIDGE. CAMBRIDGE, MA

8-12 AUGUST 2006

An Overview of Statistical Machine Translation

David Smith Charles Schafer Johns Hopkins University

AMTA 2006

Overview of Statistical MT

Overview of the Overview

- The Translation Problem and Translation Data
 "What do we have to work with?"
- Modeling
 - "What makes a good translation?"
- · Search
 - "What's the best translation?"
- Training
 - "Which features of data predict good translations?"
- Translation Dictionaries From Minimal Resources
 "What if I don't have (much) parallel text?"
- Practical Considerations

Overview of Statistical MT

2

Tŀ	e Translation Problem and Translation Data	ר
AMTA 2006	Overview of Statistical MT	3
মানব পরিবা ে এবং সহজাত ন্যায়বিচারের	The Translation Problem রর সকল সদস্যের সমান ও অবিচ্ছেদ্য অ মর্যাদার স্বীকৃতিই হচ্ছে বিশে শান্তি, স্বা ডিন্তি	
19	Thereas recognition of the information against and of the equal and achenable rights or all members of the himan family is the boundaries (needon), justice and peace in the world	

Why Machine Translation?

* Cheap, universal access to world's online information regardless of original language. (That's the goal)

Why Statistical (or at least Empirical) Machine Translation?

* We want to translate real-world documents. Thus, we should model real-world documents.

* A nice property: design the system once, and extend to new languages automatically by training

on existing data.

FMCreening data, model Procephrameterized MT system

Ideas that cut across empirical language processing problems and methods

Real-world: don't be (too) prescriptive. Be able to process (translate/summarize/identify/paraphrase) relevant bits of human language as they are, not as they "should be". For instance, genre is important: translating French blogs into English is different from translating French novels into English.

Model: a fully described procedure, generally having variable parameters, that performs some interesting task (for example, translation).

Training data: a set of observed data instances which can be used to find good parameters for a model via a training procedure.

Training procedure: a method that takes observed data and refines the parameters of a model, such that the model is improved according to some objective function. AMTA 2006 Overview of Statistical MT 6







The Translation Problem	
<u>Document</u> translation? <u>Sentence</u> trans	alation? <u>Word</u> translation?
What to translate? The mo use case is probably <u>docu</u>	
Most MT work focuses on <u>s</u>	sentence translation.
What does sentence trans] - Discourse properties/ - Inter-sentence corefe	structure.
AMTA 2006 Overview of Statistical	MT 10

	<u>Document Translation:</u> ation Exploit Discourse Structure?
<doc> <sentenc<u>e></sentenc<u></doc>	Documents usually don't begin with "Therefore"
playwright widel the English langu	eare was an English poet and y regarded as the greatest writer of age, as well as one of the greatest ure, and the world's pre-eminent
	hirty-eight plays and 154 sonnets, ety of other poems.
He wrote about t	
He wrote about t as well as a varie	ety of other poems.
He wrote about t as well as a varie	ety of other poems.

<u>Se</u>	entence Translation
	- SMT has generally ignored extra-sentence
	structure (good future work direction
	for the community).
	- Instead, we've concentrated on translating
	individual sentences as well as possible.
	This is a very hard problem in itself.
	- Word translation (knowing the possible
	English translations of a French word)
	is not, by itself, sufficient for building
	readable/useful automatic document
	translations - though it is an important
	component in end-to-end SMT systems.
	Sentence translation using only a word translation
	dictionary is called "glossing" or "gisting".
мта	A 2006 Overview of Statistical MT 12

Word Translation (learning from minimal resources)
We'll come back to this later...
and address learning the word
translation component (dictionary)
of MT systems without using
parallel text.
(For languages having little
parallel text, this is the best
we can do right now)

Sentence Tra	anslation	
- Training r	esource: parallel text (bitext)	
of 20M-200M	ext (with English) on the order words (roughly, 1M-10M sentence for a number of languages.	
human trans (\$0.05-\$0.2 training dat results. So This is ofte	text is expensive to generate: lators are expensive of per word). Millions of words ta needed for high quality SMT we take what is available. En of less than optimal genre lamentary proceedings, exts).	
AMTA 2006	Overview of Statistical MT	14

<u>less literal transla</u>	tions in bitext
French, English from Bitext	Closely Literal English Translation
Le débat est clos . The debate is closed .	The debate is closed.
Accepteriez - vous ce principe ? Would you accept that principle ?	Accept-you that principle?
Merci , chère collègue . Thank you , Mrs Marinucci .	Thank you, dear colleague.
Avez - vous donc une autre propo	sition ?
Can you explain ?	Have you therefore another proposal?
(from French-English Europe AMTA 2006 Overview (an Parliament proceedings) of Statistical MT 15



Translation and Alignment

- As mentioned, translations are expensive to commission and generally SMT research relies on already existing translations

- These typically come in the form of aligned documents.

- A sentence alignment, using pre-existing document boundaries, is performed automatically. Low-scoring or non-one-to-one sentence alignments are discarded. The resulting aligned sentences constitute the training bitext.

- For many modern SMT systems, induction of word alignments between aligned sentences, using algorithms based on the IBM word-based translation models, is one of the first stages of processing. Such induced word alignments are generally treated as part of the observed data and are used to extract aligned phrases or subtrees. AMTA 2006 Overview of Statistical MT 17

```
Target Language Models
```

The translation problem can be described as modeling the probability distribution P(E|F), where F is a string in the source language and E is a string in the target language.

Using Bayes' Rule, this can be rewritten

 $P(E|F) = \frac{P(F|E)P(E)}{P(F)}$

= P(F|E)P(E) [since F is observed as the sentence to be translated, P(F)=1]

18

P(F|E) is called the "translation model" (TM).
P(E) is called the "language model" (LM).
The LM should assign probability to sentences
which are "good English".
AMTA 2006 Overview of Statistical MT

Target Language Models - Typically, N-Gram language models are employed - These are finite state models which predict the next word of a sentence given the previous several words. The most common N-Gram model is the trigram, wherein the next word is predicted based on the previous 2 words. - The job of the LM is to take the possible next words that are proposed by the TM, and assign a probability reflecting whether or not such words constitute "good English". p(the|went to) p(the|took the) p(happy|was feeling) p(sagacious|was feeling) p(time|at the) p(time|on the) AMTA 2006 Overview of Statistical MT

19

Translating Words in a Sentence

- Models will automatically learn entries in probabilistic translation dictionaries, for instance p(elle|she), from co-occurrences in aligned sentences of a parallel text.

```
- For some kinds of words/phrases, this
is less effective. For example:
  numbers
  dates
  named entities (NE)
The reason: these constitute a large open
class of words that will not all occur even in
the largest bitext. Plus, there are
regularities in translation of
numbers/dates/NE.
```

Handling Named Entities

- For many language pairs, and particularly those which do not share an alphabet, transliteration of person and place names is the desired method of translation.

- General Method:

- 1. Identify NE's via classifier
- 2. Transliterate name
- 3. Translate/reorder honorifics

- Also useful for alignment. Consider the case of Inuktitut-English alignment, where Inuktitut renderings of European names are highly nondeterministic.

AMTA 2006

Overview of Statistical MT

21

Transliterat Inuktitut renderin English names ch string significant	ng of anges the	Williams ailiams vialims vilialums viliam uiliam viliams viliams viliams viliams	McLean makalain makkalain maklaain maklain maklait maklii maklii maklii makliin	
deterministically	,	Campbell kaampu kaampul kaamvul kamvul	makiin matain matain matiin miklain mikliin mikliin	:
АМТА 2006	Overview of Statistical MT			22





































































































	String T	ransduction I	fodels Rauki	ing Spanish B	kidge Words	for Remania	a Source Wor	d inghin	
C1	(2	C3	R&Y	25110	UT	SN	AI	CDU	JOCO
ingrate	5:ingrate	Singrate	5:ingrato	Singnate	Singrate	Singrate	Singrate	Stingrato	Singrato
Ssingerin	Singeric	Stengaste	S.grito	S:aegrite	Singeric	Sincente	Starguite	Stinfarto	S:engaste
S:engaste	S:engaste	Singeric	Sigaita	S:grito	Signito	Singeric	Sinegrita	Scengaste	Stanguida
s:ingit-so	Singreso	Singlete	Signita	Sangerir	Signita	Single	Singerin	Simpreso	S:infanto
ingenido	Singeriac	S:ingreso	5:negrito	Sinegrita	Singlete	S:angra	5:gdto	Sintroite	Staguita
inglete	Signite	S:ingerido	Sinfacto	S:gritu	S:gaita	Singeride	S:gnta	Sauegrito	S.ingreso
Signite	Singlete	Scintario	Sinegrita	\$:guita	Sinegme	Sungenio	Sigana	S:ingerido	5-intriga
Sintarto	Sinfano	Signic	Sungerin	S:ingenide	Sinfarto	Stengan	Singenite	Sinegrita	Sintuir
Signita	Sinegrito	S:introito	Sengaste	Singreso	Stiatroite	S:engatado	Stinglete	Sungeric	Stinduleo
sintroite	5:grita	Stengreit	5 haiti	S:huiti	Sengreit	S:invita	Stahiti	S: anglete	Singlete
Cl Trevvelki	C2 Trevelki	C3 Tierrelki	R&Y Trevelki	2STEF Tyali	UIT Tevelki	SN T:edilgi	A) Tavyelki	CDU) Teyyelki	JDCO Trovelki
Trevvelka	Trevoelce	Tervelke	Tevveli	Toveli	Trevvelce	Tidalga	Tzvveli	Tievvelce	Trevelo
Tikalga	Tresvelkí	Tikalga	Tevyela	Tryais	Tedilai	T:delsi	Tanal	Texveli	Trevelki
Tævyelkí	Tikalga	Tisalgi	Trevvel	Tidelai	Talei	T:kalga	Talzi	Tervela	Tilkelci
Trais	Tisalgi	Tevats	Talgi	Trevelki	Tisalgi	Tevel	Tarvel	Tilkelci	Taivilee
Tisalgi	Tivals	Tiervelki	Tervelce	Tikalga	T:vals	Tidalg	Tavela	Teksilti	Talkelce
Tivilla	Toilla	Tidelyi	Tedilzi	Tidalga	Tidelgi	Tavyciki	T:salgi	Tizavaili	Takiki
T:silgi	Tisilgi	Tevalla	Travat	Tivilla	Tisilgi	Tievlat	Trafí	Trovelki	Teksiti
	Tilketci	Terveli	Trevet	Tavale	Tikalga	T:dolgu	Trevvelce	Trevvel	Tasilce
Cedal er	Takilci	T.silgi	Todgi	T:vilgi	Tadalga	Taveli	Trevyelkí	Tilkeke	Tereiri
T:edal gi Texoko	1.44			s.					1.04.163
Teologi Tevolta			****		141 41				
			Romani	ian indh		CTI.			
		±	Romani	-		ist) Is/forme			



	milarity Measures	
1. Probabil	listic string transducers	
2. Context	similarity	
3. Date dis	stribution similarity	
 Similari word pro 	ities based on monolingual operties	
AMTA 2006	Overview of Statistical MT	75
Sin	nilarity Measures	
1. Probabil	listic string transducers	
2. Context	similarity	

3. Date distribution similarity

AMTA 2006

4. Similarities based on monolingual word properties

Overview of Statistical MT

76

















Observations

* With <u>no Uzbek-specific supervision</u>, we can produce an Uzbek-English dictionary which is 14% exact-match correct

* Or, we can put a correct translation in the top-10 list 34% of the time (useful for end-to-end machine translation or cross-language information retrieval)

* Adding more bridge languages helps

AMTA 2006

Nultiple Bridge Language Results For Uzbek Lising Combined Similarity Measures Raok Tur+Rus Tur+Rus Tur+Rus Farsi +Farsi +Farsi +Farsi +Farsi						
1		+68631	+Eng	+Farsi +Kaz+Kyr	+Farsi +Kaz+Kyr+Eng	
1	0.12	0.13	0.13	0.14	4.14	
5	0.26	0.27	0.20	0.28	4.29	
10	0.30	0.31	031	0.34	0.34	
20	0.35	0.37	0.35	0.39	0_79	
50	0.39	0.41	0,39	0.42	6.43	
100	0.41	0.43	0.41	0.46	0.45	
200	0.43	0.45	0.42	0.48	0-46	

Practical Considerations



