Neural Domain Adaptation for Biomedical Question Answering

Georg Wiese^{1,2}, Dirk Weissenborn², Mariana Neves¹

¹Hasso Plattner Institute, August Bebel Strasse 88, Potsdam, Germany ²Language Technology Lab, DFKI, Alt-Moabit 91c, Berlin, Germany

Motivation

- Neural question answering (QA) systems outperform traditional methods in open-domain factoid QA.
- In biomedicine, datasets are too small to apply deep learning directly.
- Can we bridge this gap via **domain adaptation**?

Architecture & Training

Domain Adaptation

- Our system is pre-trained on SQuAD, a large-scale (10⁵) open-domain factoid QA dataset.
- Then, we adapt the system to the biomedical domain, using **BioASQ**, a small (10³) biomedical QA dataset.



- Our architecture wraps an existing **neural QA** system (FastQA [1]), with the following changes:
 - Input Layer: In addition to GloVe embeddings and character embeddings, we feed biomedical token embeddings and question type features.
 - **Output Layer**: We generalize our activation and decoding process to support list questions in addition to factoid questions.
- During **training**, we explore several domain adaptation techniques, including mere fine-tuning, joint training, and forgetting cost regularization [2].



Results

- Pre-training on SQuAD and fine-tuning on BioASQ already improves performance significantly over training on BioASQ only.
- The **forgetting cost** improves results slightly for factoid questions.

| Experiment | Factoid MRR | List F1 |
|--|-------------|---------|
| Training on BioASQ only | 17.9% | 19.1% |
| Training on SQuAD only | 20.0% | 8.1% |
| Fine-tuning on BioASQ | 24.6% | 23.6% |
| Fine-tuning on BioASQ w/ forgetting cost | 26.2% | 21.1% |

Comparison to state of the art

- In order to compare our system to the state of the art in biomedical QA, we tested it on the **2016 BioASQ** challenge.
- We compared a **single** model and model **ensemble**.
- Our system achieves state-of-the-art results on factoid questions and competitive results on list questions.

| Experiment | Factoid MRR | List F1 |
|-----------------|-------------|---------------|
| Single model | 24.8% | 27.8% |
| Ensemble model | 27.5% | 26.5% |
| Best competitor | 24.0% | 28.1 % |



- [1] Weissenborn et al., "Making Neural QA as Simple as Possible but not Simpler"
- [2] Riemer et al., "Representation Stability as a Regularizer for Improved Text Analytics Transfer Learning"

