dotsub[™]

Machine Assistance < Q in the Real World

A look at the real world of automation

Dave Bryant - Dotsub











9 4.	0.01	00.
Little or no jargon	Relatively short sentences	Simple, clear Language

Ideal Video for automation

()



Single Speaker

Lorem

lpsum

Good Audio Quality

02.

No background or ambient noise

02

Proceedings of the 15th Biennial Conference of the Association for Machine Translation in the Americas, Orlando, USA, September 12-16, 2022. Volume 2: Users and Providers Track and Government Track

Page 72



For this demonstration I will use a Dotsub explainer video. It is designed to be

- Clear, concise and easily understood by all
- Jargon Free
- Excellent audio quality
- Single Speaker with good diction



The foundation of this process are the English Captions

The captions need to be transcribed correctly.

The only errors should be with proper nouns and names They should be timed correctly

No captions on the screen for too long or not long enough Should not extend over scene changes

They should be well segmented

The captions that are on the screen need to be logically grouped Should be comfortable to read



Let's run it through the ASR engine

The first line of the video's dialogue is "Your awesome video is in the can"

The ASR engine gives

			Comple	eted: TU	U%
1	00:00:00.000 00:00:02.220		So you're amazing.		^
~	Dialogue	$\downarrow\downarrow$			
2	00:00:02.220 00:00:03.585		Video is in the can.		
~	Dialogue	$\downarrow\downarrow$			

Not a great start.

Completed: 100%

Let's run it through the ASR engine (continued)

Other errors

Should be "Not so. Welcome to Any Video, Any Language from Dotsub." ASR gave "Not so welcome to any video. Any language from dot sub."

Many examples of poor segmentation and therefore poor timing.



Comparing human captioner to ASR

Au	
3 1	3 1
4 - 00:00:00.000> 00:00:02.220 align:center	4 + 00:00:00.796> 00:00:03.837 align:center
5 - So you're amazing.	5 + So your amazing video
	6 + is in the can.
6	7
7 2	8 2
8 - 00:00:02.220> 00:00:03.585 align:center	9 + 00:00:03.837> 00:00:07.103 align:center
9 - Video is in the can.	10 + It conveys the message
	<pre>11 + you want to send perfectly,</pre>
10	12
11 3	13 3
12 - 00:00:03.585> 00:00:06.810 align:center	14 + 00:00:07.103> 00:00:09.727 align:center
13 - It conveys the message you want to send perfectly.	15 + and the dialogue is just right
	16 + with loads of appeal
14	17
15 4	18 4
16 - 00:00:06.810> 00:00:11.355 align:center	19 + 00:00:09.727> 00:00:11.688 align:center
17 - And the dialogue is just right with loads of appeal for your native audience.	20 + for your native audience,
18	21
19 5	22 5
20 - 00:00:11.355> 00:00:15.315 align:center	23 + 00:00:11.688> 00:00:13.098 align:center
21 - But what happens when your video gets seen and heard by non-Native viewers?	24 + but what happens
	25 + when your video
22	26
23 6	27 6
24 - 00:00:15.315> 00:00:18.615 align:center	28 + 00:00:13.098> 00:00:15.683 align:center
25 - In fact, why limit yourself to one language	29 + gets seen and heard
	<pre>30 + by non-native viewers?</pre>
26	31
27 7	32 7
28 - 00:00:18.615> 00:00:22.470 align:center	33 + 00:00:15.683> 00:00:18.704 align:center
29 - when you can reach a global audience in any language.	34 + In fact, why limit yourself
	35 + to one language
30	36
31 8	37 8
32 - 00:00:22.470> 00:00:28.080 align:center	38 + 00:00:18.704> 00:00:20.542 align:center
	39 + when you can reach
33 - But surely that level of translation would take ages and cost a small fortune	40 + a global audience
34	41

Most cues are very different

ASR Engines

We have the choice between 3 general purpose engines (as of August 2022)
All have their pros and cons.
We discourage the use of ASR without PE if translation is needed.
When using for translation the difference of speed and cost between human and ASR+PE

Machine Translation

MT for AVT is more difficult as a translation segment may be split across more than one cue

To maintain context you need to intelligently combine cues to make sure the correct concepts are translated

Once the translation is done then the timing and segmentation needs to be reapplied.

If used with excellent input (captions), then MT with light post editing works well





Synthetic Voice Overs - text to speech

This is the most exciting aspect of the whole scenario

Neural voices are generally very human like when used to voice videos that do not have a lot of emotional range. Good for explainer videos, how to videos, training videos and less useful for dramatic entertainment videos.

Demonstrate a few voices to show quality

Currently, Microsoft Azure Cognitive Services provides 87 languages, each language having at least a male and female versión, more common languages have multiple dialects and speakers



Synthetic Voice Overs (continued)

Functionality includes

- 1) Fully automated workflow
- 2) Speaker ID and multiple voice support
 - 1) User can designate different voices to different speakers in the original video
- 3) No limit to the length of a SVO video
 - 1) Overcome limits of vendors
- 4) Videos synced with videos using the timing of the captions
 - 1) Long and short languages dealt with.
- 5) Editor within the platform that allows the prosody, emphasis and pronunciation of the SVO to be modified
- 6) Voiceover burnin
 - The ability to demux the audio track so that the voice track is replaced while keeping the background audio (music or ambient)
- 7) Ability to create custom voices



Where we are today

Automation works but needs to be used cautiously ASR often needs heavy postediting MT only needs light postediting Synthetic Voice Over is excellent in some situations

We will provide examples of SVO's in multiple languages and dialects.

As of Q3 2022 – tomorrow, who knows?

Dave Bryant

CEO, Dotsub

dave.bryant@dotsub.com

Thanks!

https://dotsub.com

