Event Coercion of Mandarin Chinese Temporal Connective hou 'after'

Zuoyan Song School of Chinese Language and Literature Beijing Normal University Beijing, China meszy@163.com

Abstract

Unlike its English equivalent after, which often takes NP complement, Chinese temporal connective hou tends to take VP complement. In terms of type coercion, while after seems to generally license event coercion, Chinese hou does not (with a few exceptions), as in most cases the presence of a verb is required for the houconstruction (and the sentence) to be correct. Rather than attributing this difference to the different lexicalization of nouns in these two languages, this paper argues that it is due to the difference between *hou* and *after*. In particular, *hou* is weaker in its coercion force than after because of its polysemy. It is either a temporal connective or a locative connective.

1 Introduction

Natural language often leaves many meaning facets unexpressed in the surface form, which will lead to type-mismatch, underspecification or semantic incongruity. For example, there is some covert event meaning in the sequence in (1), which must be recovered in understanding or interpretation. Type mismatch occurs because *the book* is an entity type and *begin* requires its complement to be an event type.

(1) John began the book.

The theory of Generative Lexicon (Pustejovsky,1995,2001,2006) proposes in particular that the mismatch is solved by the

operation of type coercion, which is defined as follows (Pustejovsky, 1995: 111).

(2) Type Coercion

A semantic operation that converts an argument to the type which is expected by a function where it would otherwise result in a type error.

It is redefined as (3) (Pustejovsky, 2006).

(3)Type Coercion: the type a function requires is imposed on the argument type. This is accomplished by either:

- ①Exploitation: taking a part of the argument's type to satisfy the function;
- ②Introduction: wrapping the argument with the type required by the function.

In essence, it confers to the predicate the ability to change the argument type. The eventive verb *begin* in (1) coerces its argument to assume an event type (i.e. *read/write the book*) from an entity type (i.e. *the book*). *Read* and *write* are the telic role and agentive role of *book* respectively. The type coercion discussed above will be called event coercion below, which makes an entity type shift to an event type.

Similarly, some temporal connectives can coerce its complement to be an event type. Consider the following examples. Some events like *eating dessert* and *drinking coffee* can be reconstructed respectively (Pustejovsky, 1995:231).

(4) Let's leave after dessert.

(5) Let's leave after the coffee.

(6) is a case of French temporal connective *après* (Godard & Jayez, 1993).

(6) Après ce livre, je me seas fatigué. After this book I feel tired.

Lin & Liu (2005) claim that most of the coercion mechanisms postulated by GL do not seem to work in Mandarin Chinese. While event coercion mechanism works in English as shown in (1), it does not in Mandarin Chinese as shown in (7). To obtain a grammatical expression, a verb such as $\overleftarrow{\notin}$ 'read' or $\underline{\Xi}$ 'write' must be explicitly provided.

(7)*张三 开始 一本书。
 zhangsan kaishi yi ben shu
 Zhangsan begin one CL book
 'Zhangsan began a book.'

However, other researches paint a different picture. According to Huang & Ahrens (2003), Liu (2005), Lin et al. (2009), Song(2011a, 2011b), coercion is a universal linguistics mechanism and pervasive in Mandarin Chinese which is exemplified by (8). 赶 'rush' is an eventive verb like *begin*.

(8) 我 在 赶 这 篇 论文。
wo zai gan zhe pian lunwen I being rush the CL paper.
'I am rushing (to write) the paper'.

To my knowledge, so far no study on Chinese temporal connectives has been done from the perspective of type coercion. Based on data from bilingual corpora, this paper aims to show that event coercion of $filther hou^1$ is not as pervasive as that of its English equivalent *after* and give an explanation for the phenomenon.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 I first list the argument types of *hou*. Section 3 compares *hou* with *after* and shows the difference between them in the respect of type coercion through analyzing the data from Chinese-English bilingual corpora. Section 4 attempts to account for the difference. Finally, I summarize the paper in Section 5.

2 Argument Type of *hou*

The argument types of *hou* can be classified into intervals, events and entities. As a temporal connective, *hou* normally selects for an interval type argument as its complement as in (9). $\pm \pm$ 'ten o'clock' refers to a point of time and $\pm \pm$ 'three days' refers to a period of time, respectively. Here the mechanism at work is pure selection since the type requirement of *hou* is satisfied directly.

(9) a. +	点	<u>后</u>
shi	dian	hou
ten	o'cloc	k after
'afte	er ten o	'clock'
b. 三	天	<u>后</u>
san	tian	hou
thre	e day	after
'afte	er three	davs'

Secondly, expressions denoting events can combine with *hou* naturally because time is the basic element of event and an event always extends over time. See the following examples.

- (10) 会 <u>后</u> 有 茶点
 hui <u>hou</u> you chadian
 meeting after there-be refreshments
 供应。
 gongying
 provide
 'Refreshments will be served after the meeting.
- (11) 写 完 论文 <u>后</u>,我 就 睡 了。 xie wan lunwen <u>hou</u> wo jiu shui le write ASP paper after I EMP sleep ASP 'After finishing the paper, I went to sleep.'

The event-denoting expression can be an NP involving an event nominal (会hui'meeting') as in (10) or a VP (写完论文xie wan lunwen 'finishing the paper') as in (11). Here hou coerces an event to shift to an interval.

Finally, some nouns denoting entities such as 酒 *jiu*'wine' can be a complement of *hou* occasionally. For the case in (12), the NP 三杯马提尼 san bei *matini* 'three martinis' does not satisfy the type required by the temporal connective *hou* since it denotes entities, but the sentence is acceptable. It is

¹ 后 *hou* stands for 后 *hou*, 之后 *zhihou* and 以后 *yihou*, all of which have a sense equivalent to *after*.

because *hou* coerces the NP into obtaining an event denotation, one which is available from the NP's qualia structure. That is, an event reading such as 喝完三杯马提尼后 *he wan san bei matini hou* 'after drinking three martinis' can be reconstructed. 喝 'drink' is the telic qualia of the 酒. Type Coercion as defined in (2) and (3) makes this possible. Here an entity shifts to an event.

(12) 三 杯 马提尼 <u>后</u>,约翰 感觉 好 了。 san bei matini <u>hou</u> yuehan ganjue hao le three CL martini after John feel well ASP 'After three martinis John felt well.'

In this paper, I confine my research to an analysis of the type coercion as shown in (12), i.e. event coercion. I will illustrate how *hou* and *after* are different in event coercion and further explain where the difference comes from.

3 A Comparison of *hou* and *after*

In this section I will take advantage of bilingual corpora to compare *hou* and *after*. My analysis is based on Chinese-English bilingual Corpus of Peking University and Jukuu Chinese-English bilingual Corpus. Also, a few data are collected by informants' intuition.

In section 2, I classify the kinds of complement of *hou*. At a first glance it seems that there is no significant difference between *hou* and *after* since both of them can take interval, event and entity type complement. To put it in another way, both basically select for the arguments of type interval and event, and can license event coercion whereby an entity type shifts to an event type. However, the data from bilingual corpora show that event coercion of *hou* is not so pervasive as that of *after*. *hou* tends to take VP rather than NP complement when the complement nouns are entity type. My analysis focuses on this type. In addition, the complex type of *physobj*•*event* will be touched on.

3.1 Entity Type Nouns

hou can't combine with entity type nouns as freely as *after* can. Data show the frequency of event coercion involving *hou* in the bilingual corpora is very low, suggesting that it is not a pervasive phenomenon. Actually, no relevant instances of [entity type noun+ *hou*] construction were found in both bilingual corpora, whereas 23 instances of [after+ entity type noun] construction were found. All the missing verbs in English sentences appear overtly in the corresponding Chinese sentences. See the following examples.

- (13) 喝 了 Л 杯 马提尼酒 后, le ji bei matiniiiu he hou martini drink ASP some CL after 他的 表演 发挥 到了 最佳 ta de biaoyan fahui dao le zuijia he POSS performance develop to ASP best 状态。 zhuangtai status 'He played best after a couple of martinis.'
- (14) 吃 过 中餐 <u>后</u>,来 一 杯
 chi guo zhongcan <u>hou</u> lai yi bei *eat* ASP Chinese food after come one CL 绿茶 很 棒。
 lvcha hen bang green tea very good
 'after a Chinese food a cup of green tea is perfect.'
- (15) 通过 海关 必须 之后, 你 haiguan **zhihou** ni tongguo bixu go through customs after you must 你的 护照。 在 移民局 出示 zai viminju chushi ni de huzhao at immigration show you POSS passport 'After the Customs, you must show your passport to the office at Immigration.'

In (13), the verb 喝he'drink' shows up although the Chinese sentence is still allowed without it. In (14) and (15), the verbs 吃chi 'eat' and 通过 tongguo 'go through' can't be absent. Otherwise the hou-construction (and the sentence) would be ungrammatical. In most cases, the construction of [after + entity type noun] can't be translated into Chinese word for word and a verb must be explicitly provided to obtain a grammatical expression. More examples are presented in (18).

(18) 大学 **毕业** <u>后</u> daxue biye <u>hou</u> College graduate after 'after college'

喝 完 茶 后 he wan cha hou Drink ASP tea after 'after tea' 喝 过 咖啡 后 guo kafei hou he drink ASP coffee after 'after coffee' 打 完 高尔夫 后 da wan gaoerfu hou play ASP golf after 'after golf' 听到 信号 后 tingdao xinhao hou hear tone after 'after the tone' 收 讨 小麦 以后 shou guo xiaomai yihou gather ASP wheat after 'after wheat' 在 写 7 部 练笔 两 zai xie le liang bu lianbi write ASP two CL apprentice MOD at 小说 之后 xiaoshuo zhihou novel after 'after two apprentice novels'

In the above cases, the complements of hou are VPs and the verbs (and aspectual markers) in italics must be present. The complements of after, however, are NPs.

To confirm further the frequency of [entity type noun+ hou] construction, I have consulted Modern Chinese corpus of Peking University which consists of more than 1.5 hundred million words. As a result, only 2 instances are found which is presented below. In these cases, coercion can facilitate type satisfaction and an event reading can be recovered from the complement nouns. The hidden verb is 烧 shao 'burn' in (16) and 喝 he 'drink' in (17), which are the telic role of 香 xiang 'incense' and 酒 jiu 'wine' respectively.

7 (16) 一 炷 香 后,和尚 推 开 hou heshang tui kai le yi zhu xiang one CL incense after monk push open ASP ΓŢ. men door 'After one stick of incense burnt out, the monk pushed open the door.'

(17)于是三 杯 洒 后, 就 说: yushi san jiu hou jiu shuo bei then three CL wine after EMP say 像 Nancy Carol1。" "你 的 太太 真 taitai zhen xiang Nancy Caro11 de ni you POSS wife very like Nancy Carol1 'Then after three glasses of wine, (he) said "your wife is just like Nancy Carol1""

Note that the cardinal-classifier phrase in (16) can't be deleted, otherwise error will occur. Namely, 香后 is impossible as shown in (18c). Although 酒后is grammatical in Mandarin Chinese, it is a compound and can't combine with other words freely. It is usually used in some fixed expressions like four- character idioms, e.g.酒后驾 车 jiuhoujiache 'drive after having drunk'. Moreover, not all the imaginable occurrences of the sequence [bare noun+hou] are allowed as shown in (18c-d). According to my data, only 酒后 and 茶后 are possible.

(18) a. 酒后jiuhou 'after drinking' b.茶后chahou 'after tea' c.*香后xianghou literal translation: incense after d.*咖啡后kafeihou 'after coffee'

It appears that the [cardinal + CL + N+hou] construction can license event coercion as both -炷香 and 三杯酒 are sequences of this kind of construction. However, it is not so for all the imaginable occurrences of construction. Hou imposes some restrictions on this construction. Firstly, the [cardinal+classifier+N] construction has no definite reading and only indefinite reading is available. Secondly, generally speaking, the cardinals involved in this construction are limited to # ban 'half', -yi 'one', $_er$ 'two' and $\equiv san$

的

de

'three', and the nouns are limited to those denoting incenses, liquors and teas. (19) is acceptable but it means 'behind the three books'. Here *hou/zhihou* is not a temporal connective but a locative connective. $\equiv \pm \pm$

(19) 三本书<u>后/之后</u> san ben shu <u>hou/zhihou</u> three CL book behind 'behind the three books'

3.2 Complex Type Nouns

It seems that some nouns denoting to other entities can be complement of *hou* as shown in (20a). 早餐 *zaocan* 'breakfast' can refer to food, so it can be a entity type. Oddly enough, (20b) is not allowed even though 中餐*zhongcan* 'Chinese food' also refers to food.

(20) a. 早餐 以后,我们 去 巡视 yihou women qu xunshi zaocan breakfast after we go make-a-tour 柏林墙。 bolingiang Berlin Wall 'After breakfast we made a tour of the Wall.'

b. *中餐² <u>后</u> zhongcan <u>hou</u> Chinese food after 'after Chinese food'

It is because these two nouns belong to different types. 中餐 *zhongcan* 'Chinese food' is an artifactual type and only refers to an entity, while 早餐 *zaocan* 'breakfast' is a complex type and refers to more than one aspect, an entity or an event. It identifies both an eventuality of eating and the physical manifestation of food: *event*•food. (20a) is acceptable because coercion by dot exploitation takes place (cf. Pustejovsky, 2011). In this example, it is the event manifestation of the noun meaning that is selected for by *hou*. More examples are presented in (21), all the nouns in

which are typed as a dot object *event*•*physobj* ³ and the event aspect are selected for by *hou*.

(21) 午餐<u>后</u>wucan hou 'after lunch' 晚餐<u>后</u>wancan hou 'after supper' 雨<u>后</u>yuhou 'after the rain' 雪<u>后</u>xuehou 'after the snow'

3.3 Summary

In short, when the complement noun is an entity type, *hou* tends to take VP rather than NP complement. It is different from its English equivalent *after*, which often takes NP complement. In terms of type coercion, while *after* seems to generally license event coercion, Chinese *hou* does not (with a few exceptions), as in most cases the presence of a verb is required for the *hou*-construction (and the sentence) to be correct. In rare cases, the [cardinal+CL+N+*hou*] construction licenses coercion. Many restrictions, however, are imposed on it and therefore the examples of event coercion of *hou* are few and far between.

4 Discussion

Data from bilingual corpora prove event coercion of *hou is* much less than that of *after*. My findings are in line with the studies of Liu (2004) and Lin & Liu (2005). By comparing complement coercion in Chinese and English, they come to a conclusion that while in English some event information is left unexpressed in surface syntactic form, in Chinese it tends to be expressed directly. Lin & Liu (2005) claim that coercion involving event information (i.e. event coercion) does not work in Chinese as shown in (7). They further propose a hypothesis, which assume that being an analytical language, Chinese lexicon does not share the same degree of richness in sub-lexical event information as in a language like English. In English the primitives that carry event information are extensively incorporated into individual lexical forms, but in Mandarin Chinese they are sent directly to syntactic computation. In other words, it is because nouns in Mandarin Chinese don't have sub-lexical event information that complement coercion

² 中餐 *zhongcan* has another sense. In this sense, it is a synonym of 午餐 *wucan* 'lunch', which is a complex type and can combine with *hou* as shown in (21).

³ Not all the nouns of complex type *event•physobj* can be the complement of *hou*. For example, *电影后 *dianying hou* 'after the film' is not allowed.

doesn't work. For example, (1) is acceptable but its (7) is not, because 书 *shu* 'book' doesn't have sublexical event information while *book* does. According to this account, it is because 中餐 *zhongcan* 'Chinese food' does not have sub-lexical event information that 中餐后 *zhongcan hou* 'after Chinese food' is impossible (cf.(20b)).

However, there is a problem with this analysis. If it is the poverty of sub-lexical event information that makes coercion inapplicable in Mandarin Chinese. 一炷香后 yi zhu xian ghou and 三杯酒后 san bei jiu hou (cf.(16) and (17)) should be unacceptable since 香 xiang 'incense' and 酒 jiu 'wine' have no sub-event information to be retrieved. But that is not the case as shown in (16) and (17). It suggests that they are not short of event information at all and instead they can provide a verb 烧 shao 'burn' and 喝 he 'drink' respectively for the reconstruction of event reading.

Rather than attributing this difference to the different lexicalization of nouns in these two languages, this paper argues that it is due to the temporal different coercion force of the connectives. Hou is weaker in its coercion force than its English equivalent after. Specifically, after is a temporal connective referring to time sequence and means "later in time than". hou, however, can be either a temporal connective or a locative connective. In particular, it is polysemous and has at least two senses. One is equivalent to after and refers to time sequence. The other is equivalent to behind and refers to location. The temporal meaning is derived metaphorically from the spatial meaning. As a locative connective, it usually selects for entity type nouns as complement. As a temporal connective, if it also combines with entity type nouns, ambiguity will arise in [entity type noun + hou] construction. For example, 海关之后 haiguan zhihou might mean either "behind the "after (going customs" or through) the customs"(cf.(17)).To avoid this ambiguity, the verb 通过 tongguo 'go through' must be present. This is why the temporal connective hou does not take an entity type complement and license event coercion.

Against the analysis above, 一炷香后 yi zhu xian ghou and 三杯酒后 san bei jiu hou can license coercion. There seem to be two reasons for such counterexamples. First, cardinal-classifier

plays an important role. Despite in Chinese cardinal-classifier-noun phrases have definite explanation in certain context (cf.(19)), they have only indefinite readings in this context. Hence, the physical objects denoted by them occupy no specific position and can not be used as a reference to specify the location of the other objects. *hou* gets only the temporal meaning. But, if the cardinal-classifier-noun phrases are preceded by demonstrative pronouns such as $i \ge zhe$ 'this', its definite reading will be salient and *hou* will get spatial meaning other than temporal meaning. So (22) denotes some locations other than time.

- (22)a. 这 一 炷 香 <u>之后</u> zhe yi zhu xiang <u>zhihou</u> this one CL incense after 'behind the incense'
 - b.这 三 杯 酒 <u>之后</u> zhe san bei jiu <u>zhihou</u> This three CL wine after 'behind the three glasses of wine'

Second, the sequence of [cardinal+classifier+N] such as 一炷香 yi zhu xian is a highly conventionalized construction and functions as [cardinal+ CL+ temporal measure word] construction, which denotes a period of time. It can be observed from the contrast between (23) and (24).

(23) a.一 炷 香 的 时间
yi zhu xiang de shijian
one CL incense MOD time
'the time that it takes for one stick of incense to burn out'

(24) a. 一个小时的时间 yi ge xiaoshi de shijian one CL hour MOD time 'one hour'

one CL hour after 'after one hour'

In the examples above, both 一炷香 yi zhu xian and 一个小时 yi ge xiao shi can modify temporal nouns such as 时间 shijian 'time' and describe duration of time. Since 一个小时之后 yi ge xiao shi zhihou is allowed, it becomes logical for 一炷 香 yi zhu xian to combine with hou. Other such NPs includes 一盏茶 yi zhan cha 'one cup of tea', 三杯酒 san bei jiu 'three glasses of wine' and so on. Without denoting a period of time, 一本书 yi ben shu can't modify time nouns as shown in (25a) and therefore 一本书后 yi ben shu hou is impossible as shown in (25b).

(25) a. *一本书的时间
yi ben shu de shijian
one CL book MOD time
'the time that it takes for one to finished
one book'

b. *	本	书	<u>后</u> 4
yi	ben	shu	<u>hou</u>
one	CL	book	after

It is not difficult to conclude that only the NPs which can modify time nouns can combine with *hou*. Because of the lack of timer such as clock and watch, in ancient China, time can be measured in the duration of one stick of incense burning out, or having a cup of tea or a glass of wine. For example, it takes about one hour for one incense to burn out, so 一炷香的时间 *yi zhu xiang de shijian* is equivalent to one hour or so.

5 Conclusion

To conclude, this paper describes the difference between *hou* and its equivalent *after* in event coercion. Furthermore, an alternative account is given for the difference.

Future study is required to investigate more temporal connectives in different languages and further discuss this issue from a typological perspective.

Acknowledgments

This study is supported by the National Social Science Foundation of China (Grant No.10CYY032) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities. I am grateful to the three anonymous reviewers for detailed comments and suggestions.

References

- Godard, Danièle, and Jacques Jayez. 1993. Towards a proper treatment of coercion phenomena. *Proceedings of the 6th Conference of the European Chapter of the ACL*, 168-177. Utrecht: OTS Utrecht.
- Huang, Chu-Ren, and Kathleen Ahrens. 2003. Individuals, kinds and events: classifier coercion of nouns. *Language Sciences* 25.4:353–373.
- Lin, Shu-Yen, Shu-Kai Hsieh and Yann-Jong Huang. 2009. Exploring Chinese type coercion: a web-ascorpus study. Paper presented at 5th International Conference on Generative Approaches to the Lexicon. Italy: Pisa.
- Lin, T.-H. Jonah, and C.-Y. Cecilia Liu. 2005. Coercion, event structure, and syntax. *Nanzan Linguistics* 2:9-31.
- Liu, Mei-chun. 2005. Lexical information and beyond: meaning coercion and constructional inferences of Mandrain verb GAN. *Journal of Chinese Linguistics*. 33.2:310-332.
- Liu, Chiung-Yi. 2004. *Dynamic Generative Lexicon*. Master thesis. National Tsing Hua University, Taiwan.
- Pustejovsky, James. 1995. *The Generative Lexicon*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Pustejovsky, James. 2001. Type construction and the logic of concepts. *The Syntax of Word Meanings*, ed. by Federica Busa and Pierrette Bouillon, 91-123, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Pustejovsky, James. 2006. Type theory and lexical decomposition. *Journal of Cognitive Science* 6:39-76.
- Pustejovsky, James. 2011. Coercion in a generative theory of argument selection. *Linguistics* 49:1401-1431.
- Song, Zuoyan. 2011a. Light verb, event and complement in Mandarin Chinese. *Studies of the Chinese Language* 3:205-217.
- Song, Zuoyan. 2011b. The semi-productivity and multiple interpretations of logical metonymy. *Language Teaching and Linguistic Studies*3:43-50.

⁴ It can't mean 'behind the book', because unlike 三本书 san ben shu in (19), -本书 yi ben shu does not have definite reading.